

**VILLAGE OF MILLERSPORT
FAIRFIELD COUNTY**

AGREED UPON PROCEDURES

FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2015 AND 2014

**Wilson, Phillips & Agin, CPA's, Inc.
1100 Brandywine Blvd., Building G
Zanesville, Ohio 43701**



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

Village Council
Village of Millersport
2245 Refugee Street
Millersport, Ohio 43046

We have reviewed the *Independent Accounts' Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures* of the Village of Millersport, Fairfield County, prepared by Wilson, Phillips & Agin, CPA's, Inc., for the period January 1, 2014 through December 31, 2015. Based upon this review, we have accepted this report in lieu of the audit required by Section 117.11, Revised Code.

Our review was made in reference to the applicable sections of legislative criteria, as reflected by the Ohio Constitution, and the Revised Code, policies, procedures and guidelines of the Auditor of State, regulations and grant requirements. The Village of Millersport is responsible for compliance with these laws and regulations.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Dave Yost".

Dave Yost
Auditor of State

August 3, 2016

This page intentionally left blank.

WILSON, PHILLIPS, & AGIN, CPA'S, INC.
1100 BRANDYWINE BLVD., BUILDING G
ZANESVILLE, OHIO 43701

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

Village of Millersport
Fairfield County
2245 Refugee Street
Millersport, Ohio 43046

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, with which the Village Council, Mayor and the management of Village of Millersport, Fairfield County, Ohio (the Village) and the Auditor of State agreed, solely to assist the Council and Mayor in evaluating receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in their cash-basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances. Management is responsible for recording transactions; and management, the Mayor, and/or the Council are responsible for complying with the compliance requirements. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' attestation standards and applicable attestation engagement standards included in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the parties specified in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

This report only describes exceptions exceeding \$10.

Cash and Investments

1. We tested the mathematical accuracy of the December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014 bank reconciliations. We found no exceptions.
2. We agreed the January 1, 2014 beginning fund balances recorded in the Fund Ledger Report to the December 31, 2013 balances to documentation in the prior year agreed upon procedures. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the January 1, 2015 beginning fund balances recorded in the Fund Ledger Report to the December 31, 2014 balances in the Fund Ledger Report. We found no exceptions.
3. We agreed the totals per the bank reconciliation to the total of December 31, 2015 and 2014 fund cash balances reported in the Fund Status Reports. The amounts agreed.
4. We confirmed the December 31, 2015 and 2014 bank account balance with the Village's financial institution. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the confirmed balances to the amounts appearing in the December 31, 2015 bank reconciliation without exception.
5. We selected five reconciling debits (such as outstanding checks) haphazardly from the December 31, 2015 bank reconciliation.
 - a. We traced each debit to the subsequent January bank statement. We found no exceptions.
 - b. We traced the amounts and dates to the check register, to determine the debits were dated prior to December 31. We found no exceptions.
6. We tested investments held at December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014 to determine that they:
 - a. Were of a type authorized by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 135.13, 135.14, or 135.144. We found no exceptions.
 - b. Mature within the prescribed time limits noted in Ohio Rev. Code section 135.13 or 135.14. We noted no exceptions.

Property Taxes, Intergovernmental and Other Confirmable Cash Receipts

1. We selected a property tax receipt from one Statement of Semiannual Apportionment of Taxes (the Statement) for 2015 and one from 2014.
 - a. We traced the gross receipts from the Statement to the amount recorded in the Receipt Register Report. The amounts agreed.
 - b. We determined whether the receipt was allocated to the proper funds as required by Ohio Rev. Code 5705.05-.06 and 5705.10. We found no exceptions.
 - c. We determined whether the receipt was recorded in the proper year. The receipt was recorded in the proper year.
2. We scanned the Receipt Register Report to determine whether it included two real estate tax receipts for 2015 and 2014. We noted the Receipts Register Report included the proper number of tax receipts for each year.
3. We selected five receipts from the State Distribution Transaction Lists (DTL) from 2015 and five from 2014. We also selected five receipts from the County Auditor's DTLs from 2015 and five from 2014.
 - a. We compared the amount from the above reports to the amount recorded in the Receipt Register Report. The amounts agreed.
 - b. We determined whether these receipts were allocated to the proper funds. We found no exceptions.
 - c. We determined whether the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

Over-The-Counter Cash Receipts

1. We haphazardly selected 10 over-the-counter cash receipts from the year ended December 31, 2015 and 10 over-the-counter cash receipts from the year ended December 31, 2014 recorded in the duplicate cash receipts book and determined whether the:
 - a. Receipt amount agreed to the amount recorded in the Receipt Register Report. The amounts agreed.
 - b. Amount charged complied with rates in force during the period. We found no exceptions.
 - c. Receipt was posted to the proper fund(s), and was recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

Water and Sewer Fund

1. We haphazardly selected 10 Water and Sewer collection cash receipts from the year ended December 31, 2015 and 10 collection cash receipts from the year December 31, 2014 recorded in the Receipt Register Report and determined whether the:
 - a. Receipt amount per the Receipt Register Report agreed to the amount recorded to the credit of the customer's account in the Aging Trial Balance. The amounts agreed.
 - b. Amount charged for the related billing period:
 - i. Agreed with the debit to accounts receivable in the Billing Transaction Report for the billing period. We found no exceptions.
 - ii. Complied with rates in force during the audit period multiplied by the consumption amount recorded for the billing period, plus any applicable late penalties, plus unpaid prior billings. We found no exceptions.
 - c. Receipt was posted to the proper fund(s) and was recorded in the year received. We found no exceptions.
2. We read the Age Trial Balance.
 - a. We noted this report listed \$18,331 and \$14,011 of accounts receivable as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.
 - b. Of the total receivables reported in the preceding step, \$7,343 and \$7,424 were recorded as more than 90 days delinquent.

3. We read the Billing Adjustments.
 - a. We noted this report listed a total of \$(25,360) and \$(24,053) non-cash receipts adjustments for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.
 - b. We selected 5 non-cash adjustments from 2015 and five from 2014. We noted that no prior approval was obtained for any non-cash adjustment tested. We brought this to management's attention.

Debt

1. From the prior agreed upon procedures documentation, we noted the following bonds, leases, notes, and loans outstanding as of December 31, 2013. These amounts agreed to the Villages January 1, 2014 balances on the summary we used in step 3.

Loan	December 31, 2013
1981 USDA Water Bond 91-02	\$ 241,000
1986 USDA Water Bond 91-03	45,800
1996 OWDA 2050	100,581
1998 OWDA 2051	9,650
2000 OPWC CT61C	99,487
2002 OWDA 3401	57,158
2002 OWDA 3504	137,419
2003 OWDA 3983	96,496
2004 OPWC CT80F	53,506
2008 Street Dump Truck	33,184
2008 OPWC CT42J	527,846
2008 OPWC CQ29L	129,952
2009 OPWC CQ05K	51,666
2009 OWDA 4598	3,593,953
2010 OWDA 5274	487,119
2010 OWDA 5697	254,676
2012 Vinton County Bank-Land	367,040
2012 1 st Capital Lease-Police cruisers	45,452
2012 1 st Capital Lease-Medic	109,190
2013 Vinton County Bank-Heart monitors	64,584
2013 1 st Capital Lease-Fire Truck	390,967

2. We inquired of management and scanned the Receipt Register Report and Payment Register Detail Report for evidence of debt issued during 2015 or 2014 or debt payment activity during 2015 or 2014. All debt noted agreed to the summary we used in step 3.
3. We obtained a summary of leases, bonded and note debt activity for 2015 and 2014 and agreed principal and interest payments from the related debt amortization schedule(s) to debt service fund payments reported in the Payment Register Detail Report. We also compared the date the debt service payments were due to the date the Village made the payments. We found no exceptions.

Payroll Cash Disbursements

1. We haphazardly selected one payroll check for five employees from 2015 and one payroll check for five employees from 2014 from the Employee Detail Adjustment Report and:
 - a. We compared the hours and pay rate, or salary recorded in the Employee Detail Adjustment Report to supporting documentation (timecard, legislatively or statutorily approved rate or salary). We found no exceptions.
 - b. We determined whether the fund and account codes to which the check was posted were reasonable based on the employee's duties as documented in the minute record, timesheets or as required by statute. We also determined whether the payment was posted to the proper year. We found no exceptions.

2. We scanned the last remittance of tax and retirement withholdings for the year ended December 31, 2015 to determine whether remittances were timely paid, and that the amounts paid agreed to the amounts withheld, plus the employer's share where applicable during the final withholding period during 2015. We noted the following:

Withholding					
(Plus employer share					
Where applicable)	Due Date	Date Paid	Amount Due	Amount Paid	
Federal Income Taxes	January 31, 2016	December 29, 2015	\$ 391.33	\$ 391.33	
State Income Taxes	January 15, 2016	January 14, 2016	1,585.79	1,585.79	
Local SD Income Taxes	January 15, 2016	January 14, 2016	725.03	725.03	
OPERS	January 31, 2016	December 31, 2015	5,854.83	5,854.83	
RITA and Local Taxes	January 31, 2016	January 22, 2016	624.86	624.86	

Non-payroll Cash Disbursements

- We haphazardly selected ten disbursements from the Payment Register Detail Activity Report for the year ended December 31, 2015 and ten from the year ended December 31, 2014 and determined whether:
- a. In our opinion, the disbursements were for a public purpose. We found no exceptions.
 - b. The check number, date, payee name and amount recorded on the returned, canceled check image agreed to the check number, date, payee name and amount recorded in the Payment Register Detail Report and to the names and amounts on the supporting invoices. We noted no exceptions.
 - c. The payment was posted to a fund consistent with the restricted purpose for which the fund's cash can be used. We found no exceptions.
 - d. The fiscal officer certified disbursements requiring certification or issued a Then and Now Certificate, as required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.41(D). We found no exceptions.

Compliance-Budgetary

1. We compared the total estimated receipts from the Amended Official Certificate of Estimated Resources, required by Ohio Revised Code Section 5705.36 (A)(1), to the amounts recorded in the Revenue Status Report for the General Fund, Police Levy Fund, and the Sewer Operating Fund for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014. The amounts agreed.

Compliance-Budgetary

2. We scanned the appropriation measures adopted for 2015 and 2014 to determine whether, for the General Fund, Police Levy Fund and the Sewer Operating Fund, the Council appropriated separately for "each office, department, and division, and within each, the amount appropriated for personal services," as is required by Ohio Revised Code Section 5705.38(C). We found no exceptions.
3. We compared total appropriations required by Ohio Revised Code Section 5705.38 and 5705.40, to the amounts recorded in the Appropriation Status Report for 2015 and 2014 for the following funds: General Fund, Police Levy Fund and the Sewer Operating Fund. The amounts on the appropriation resolutions agreed to the amounts recorded in the Appropriation Status report.
4. Ohio Revised Code Section 5705.36(A)(5) and 5705.39 prohibits appropriations from exceeding the certified resources. We compared total appropriations to total certified resources for the General Fund, Police Levy Fund and the Sewer Operating Fund for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014. We noted no funds for which appropriations exceeded certified resources.
5. Ohio Revised Code Section 5705.41(B) prohibits expenditures (disbursements plus certified commitments) from exceeding appropriations. We compared total expenditures to total appropriations for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 for the General Fund, Police Levy Fund and the Sewer Operating Fund, as recorded in the Appropriation Status Report. We noted no funds for which expenditures exceeded appropriations.
6. Ohio Revised Code Section 5705.09 requires establishing separate funds to segregate externally-restricted resources. We scanned the Receipt Register Report for evidence of new restricted receipts requiring a new fund during December 31, 2015 and 2014. We also inquired of management regarding whether the Village received new restricted receipts. We noted no evidence of new restricted receipts for which Ohio Revised Code Section 5705.09 would require the Village to establish a new fund.
7. We scanned the 2015 and 2014 Revenue Status reports and Appropriation Status Reports for evidence of interfund transfers exceeding \$20,000 which Ohio Revised Code Sections 5705.14 - .16 restrict. We found no evidence of transfers these Sections prohibit, or for which Section 5705.16 would require approval by the Tax Commissioner and Court of Common Pleas.
8. We inquired of management and scanned the Appropriation Status Reports to determine whether the Village elected to establish reserve accounts permitted by Ohio Revised Code Section 5705.13. We noted the Village did not establish these reserves.
9. We scanned the Cash Summary by Fund Report for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 for negative cash fund balance. Ohio Revised Code Section 5705.10(I) provides that money paid into a fund must be used for the purposes for which such fund is established. As a result, a negative fund cash balance indicates that money from one fund was used to cover the expenses of another. We noted no funds having a negative cash fund balance.

Compliance – Contracts and Expenditures

We inquired of management and scanned the Payment Register Detail report for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 to determine if the Village proceeded by force account (i.e. used its own employees) to maintain or repair roads (cost of project exceeding \$30,000) or to construct or reconstruct Village roads (cost of project \$30,000/per mile) for which Ohio Rev. Code Sections 117.16(A) and 723.52 requires the Village engineer, or officer having a different title but the duties and functions of an engineer, to complete a force account project assessment form (i.e., cost estimates). We identified no projects requiring completion of the force account assessment form.

Other Compliance

1. Ohio Revised Code Section 117.38 requires townships to file their financial information in the HINKLE system formerly known as the Annual Financial Data Reporting System (AFDRS) within 60 days after the close of the fiscal year. We reviewed AFDRS to verify the Village filed their financial information within the allotted timeframe for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014. No exceptions noted.

We were not engaged to, and did not conduct an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the Village's receipts, disbursements, balances and compliance with certain laws and regulations. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management and those charged with governance and the Auditor of State and is not intended to be, and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Wilson, Phillips & Agin, CPA's, Inc.
Zanesville, Ohio
June 23, 2016



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

VILLAGE OF MILLERSPORT

FAIRFIELD COUNTY

CLERK'S CERTIFICATION

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

Susan Babbitt

CLERK OF THE BUREAU

CERTIFIED
AUGUST 16, 2016