



## Independent Accountants' Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures

Ohio Department of Medicaid 50 West Town Street, Suite 400 Columbus, Ohio 43215

RE: Philip W. Kocoloski, D.O. NPI: 1033104104 Program Year 1: Adopt, Implement or Upgrade

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, which were agreed to by the Ohio Department of Medicaid (ODM), on Dr. Philip W. Kocoloski's (hereafter referred to as the Provider) compliance with the requirements of the Medicaid Provider Incentive Program (MPIP) for the year ended December 31, 2014. The Provider is responsible for compliance with the MPIP requirements. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of ODM. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures enumerated below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

- 1. We searched the Medicaid Information Technology System and determined that the Provider had an active Ohio Medicaid Agreement during the patient volume attestation period.
- 2. Using the Ohio e-license center, we verified the Provider type was the same as reported in MPIP and we determined that the Provider was licensed during the patient volume attestation period.
- 3. We reviewed the MPIP system and determined that the Provider underwent ODM's pre-payment approval requirements, was approved for incentive payment and received an incentive payment.
  - We compared the date of pre-payment approval with the date of the incentive payment and determined that pre-payment approval occurred prior to payment. In addition, we compared the payment amount with the MPIP payment schedule and determined that ODM issued the correct payment amount.
- 4. We obtained the list of all encounters during the original patient volume attestation period (July 1, 2014 to September 30, 2014) from the Provider. We scanned the list and found duplicate encounters. We removed duplicates and recalculated encounters. We also verified that all payer sources were included in the encounter list and found no unrecorded encounters.
  - We noted that the Provider did not meet the 30 percent patient volume requirement (see procedure 5). The Provider selected an alternative patient volume attestation period (September 1, 2013 to November 30, 2013) and we obtained a new list of all encounters and performed the same duplicate encounter scan and verified that all payers were included in the encounter list. We removed duplicates and recalculated encounters. We found no unrecorded encounters.
- 5. We compared the Medicaid encounters in the MPIP system with those from the Quality Decision Support System (QDSS) and the final Provider's Medicaid encounters identified in procedure 3 to determine if the MPIP data exceeded these two reports by 20 percent. We found variances exceeding 20 percent and recalculated the Medicaid patient volume using the QDSS data. The Provider did not meet the 30 percent patient volume requirement for the original patient volume attestation period.

Philip W. Kocoloski, D.O. Independent Accountants' Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures

We then compared the Medicaid encounters from QDSS to the Provider's Medicaid encounters identified in procedure 3 for the alternative patient volume attestation period to determine if the Provider's encounters exceeded 20 percent of QDSS. The variance exceeded 20 percent and we recalculated the Medicaid patient volume using the Provider's Medicaid encounter list. We determined the Provider then met the 30 percent patient volume requirement for the alternative patient volume period.

6. We found that the location where the Provider worked was now using a newer version of the electronic health record (EHR) software reported in the MPIP system. The new version of the software was able to produce reports showing the Provider's use in 2014. We verified that the newer version of the EHR software was approved by the Office of the National Coordinator of Health IT.

This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' attestation standards. We were not engaged to and did not conduct an examination or review, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion or conclusion, respectively, on the Provider's compliance with the MPIP requirements. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion or conclusion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported. This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Provider and the ODM, and is not intended to be, and should not be used by anyone other than the specified parties.

**Dave Yost** Auditor of State

November 29, 2017



## PHILIP KOCOLOSKI

## **MUSKINGUM COUNTY**

## **CLERK'S CERTIFICATION**

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

**CLERK OF THE BUREAU** 

Susan Babbitt

CERTIFIED DECEMBER 28, 2017