



Dave Yost • Auditor of State





# Dave Yost • Auditor of State

## Independent Accountants' Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures

Ohio Department of Medicaid  
50 West Town Street, Suite 400  
Columbus, Ohio 43215

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, which were agreed to by the Ohio Department of Medicaid (ODM), on the Medicaid ICF-IID Cost Report of Res-Care Ohio, Inc. DBA Boardwalk/ParkPlace (hereafter referred to as the Provider) for the period January 1, 2015 through December 31, 2015. The Provider's management is responsible for preparing this report. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of ODM. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures enumerated below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

### **Occupancy and Usage**

1. We compared the number of patient days for Medicaid and non-Medicaid patients from the Provider's Census Form reports to those reported on *Schedule A-1, Summary of Inpatient Days* to determine if the Provider's patient days were greater than those reported. We also footed the Provider's census reports for accuracy.

We found no variances.

2. We selected five residents' medical records and compared the total days of care for December 2015 with the inpatient days reported on the Census Form reports and *Schedule A-1* to determine if total patient days were greater than those reported. We also determined if the Provider included any waiver respite days as Medicaid or Medicare days and, if bed hold days in excess of 30 in a calendar year, it received the proper authorization on form JFS 09402 in accordance with Ohio Admin. Code § 5123:2-7-08.

We found no variances and no misclassified waiver respite or unauthorized bed hold days.

3. We compared the number of reimbursed Medicaid days per the Medicaid Information Technology System (MITS) with the total Medicaid days reported on *Schedule A-1* to identify if reimbursed Medicaid days were greater than total Medicaid days.

We found that total Medicaid days reported exceeded Medicaid reimbursed days.

### **Medicaid Paid Claims**

1. We selected paid claims for five residents for December 2015 from MITS and compared the reimbursed days to the days documented per the resident's medical records. We determined if the Provider's documentation met the general requirements of CMS Publication 15-1, Chapter 23, and Ohio Admin. Code § 5123:2-7-12, if the days billed met the specific requirements of Ohio Admin. Code § 5123:2-7-08(C) to (I) as an occupied or bed hold day, and Ohio Admin. Code § 5123:2-7-15 for the payment adjustment requirements for resident's admission, discharge or death.

We found no instances of non-compliance.

## Revenue

1. We compared all revenues on the Provider's Trial Balance Download Sheet, Cost Group Detail Report and Detailed General Ledger Report with Batch/Check Number with those revenues reported on *Attachment 1, Revenue Trial Balance* to determine if all revenues were reported in accordance with the Appendix to Ohio Admin. Code § 5123:2-7-16 and CMS Publication 15-1 to identify variances exceeding \$500.

We found no differences.

2. We scanned the Provider's Detailed General Ledger Report with Batch/Check Number for any revenue offsets or applicable credits which were not reported on *Attachment 2, Adjustments to Trial Balance; Schedule B-1, Other Protected Costs; Schedule B-2, Direct Care Cost Center; and Schedule C, Indirect Cost Care Center* to offset corresponding expenses exceeding \$500 in accordance with CMS Publication 15-1, Chapters 1, 6, and 8.

We did not identify any revenue offsets/applicable credits.

## Non-Payroll Expenses

1. We compared all non-payroll expenses reported on *Schedule B-1, Other Protected Costs; Schedule B-2, Direct Care Cost Center; and Schedule C, Indirect Cost Care Center* to the Provider's Cost Group Detail Report, Detailed General Ledger Report with Batch/Check Number, Boardwalk-Park Place Group Home Payroll Detail and Crosswalk from Mappings to Cost Report to identify any variances exceeding \$500 resulting in decreased costs on any schedule.

We reported a variance in Appendix A.

2. We reviewed the allocation methodology used in the Provider's Home Office Allocation Summary - Facilities and Administrator's Wage Allocation reports allocating costs on *Schedule B-1, Schedule B-2 and Schedule C* and determined if it was reasonable, allowable, related to residential care, and properly classified in accordance with Ohio Admin. Code § 5123:2-7 and CMS Publication 15-1, Section 2150. We reported any reclassifications and adjustments resulting in decreased costs exceeding five percent of Home Office costs on any schedule.

We found no variances.

3. We scanned the Provider's Detailed General Ledger Report with Batch/Check Number and selected 20 non-payroll expenses that were reported on *Schedule B-1; Schedule B-2; Schedule C; and Exhibit 3, Home Office Trial Balance* to determine if supporting documentation was maintained, the costs were properly allocated, classified, and allowable per Ohio Admin. Code § 5123:2-7 and CMS Publication 15-1 to identify any reclassifications and adjustments exceeding \$500 resulting in decreased costs. We also scanned for any unreported contract costs which would require reporting on *Schedule C-3, Costs of Services from Related Parties*.

We reported variances in Appendix A. We found no unreported contract costs.

4. ODM asked that we compare the 2015 non-payroll costs reported on *Schedule B-1, Schedule B-2 and Schedule C* by chart of account code to similar reported costs in 2014 and obtain the Provider's explanations for five non-payroll variances that increased by more than five percent and \$500.

We did not perform this procedure as there was a change in ownership from 2014 to 2015.

## Property

1. We compared the Provider's procedures regarding capitalization of fixed assets used for preparing *Schedule D, Capital Cost Center; Schedule D-1, Analysis of Property, Plant and Equipment; and Schedule D-2, Capital Additions/Deletions* with Ohio Admin. Code § 5123:2-7 and CMS Publication 15-1.

We found an inconsistency as the Provider did not determine a salvage value when calculating depreciation as required by CMS Publication 15-1, 104.19, which states "Virtually all assets have a salvage value substantial enough to be included in calculating depreciation, and only in rare instance is salvage value so negligible that it may be ignored."

### Recommendation:

We recommend the Provider calculate a salvage value equal to 10 percent of historical cost when determining the initial net book value to be depreciated for each new capital asset purchase.

2. We compared capital assets and corresponding depreciation listed on *Schedule D, Schedule D-1 and Schedule D-2* to the Provider's Boardwalk/Park Place Healthcare Fixed Assets report to identify variances exceeding \$500 resulting in decreased costs on any schedule.

We found no variances resulting in decreased costs.

3. We selected the one addition reported on *Schedule D-1 and Schedule D-2* and determined if the cost basis, useful life and depreciation expense were reported in accordance with Ohio Admin. Code § 5123:2-7. We determined if the assets were used in residential care or should be reclassified as the Costs of Ownership in accordance with Ohio Admin § 5123:2-7 and CMS Publication 15-1 to identify variances exceeding \$500 which result in decreased costs on any schedule.

We found no variances exceeding \$500.

4. We reviewed the rent and lease agreements and determined if any related party lease costs were recorded in accordance with CMS Publication 15-1, Section 1011.5 and Ohio Admin. Code § 5123:2-7-24(D) and that non-related leases meet the requirements of FASB 13 and Ohio Admin. Code § 5123:2-7-24(B) and related FASB guidance on leasehold improvements, if costs were recorded in *Schedule D* in Lease and Rent Accounts 8060 or 8065 to identify any variances exceeding \$500 which result in decreased costs on any schedule.

We reported variances in Appendix A.

5. ODM asked that we compare the renovation and financing costs in the Non-extensive Renovation Letter to *Schedule D-1*, if costs were recorded in *Schedule E, Balance Sheet (Account 1300, Renovations)*, to identify variances exceeding \$500 which result in decreased costs on any schedule.

We did not perform this procedure as there are no renovation costs recorded on *Schedule E*.

### Property (Continued)

6. We reviewed the Boardwalk/Park Place Healthcare Fixed Assets report to ensure transportation expenses were reasonable, allowable and related to patient care as defined in CMS Publication 15-1. We also reviewed the W-2s and transportation logs to determine if any corporate officers and owners exclusively used vehicles and additional compensation was reported on *Schedule D-1* and should be removed pursuant to CMS Publication 15-1, Chapter 9.

We found no variances.

### Payroll

1. We compared all salary, fringe benefits and payroll tax entries and hours worked reported on the Provider's Cost Group Detail Report, Detailed General Ledger Report with Batch/Check Number, Boardwalk-Park Place Group Home Payroll Detail and Crosswalk from Mappings to Cost Report to the amounts reported on *Schedule B-1, Schedule B-2, Schedule C, Schedule C-1, Administrator's Compensation; and Schedule C-2, Owner's Relatives Compensation* to identify any variances exceeding \$500 which result in decreased costs on any schedule.

We found no variances resulting in decreased costs.

2. We selected a sample of five employees reported on *Schedule B-1, Schedule B-2, Schedule C and Exhibit 3* and compared the Provider's job descriptions to the schedule in which each employee's salary and fringe benefit expenses were reported. We determined if the payroll costs were allowable under CMS Publication 15-1, were properly classified, allocated and allowable in accordance with Ohio Admin. Code § 5123:2-7 and CMS Publication 15-1, Chapter 9 and Section 2150 to identify any variances exceeding \$500 which results in decreased costs on any schedule.

We found no variances.

3. ODM asked that we compare the 2015 payroll costs reported on *Schedule B-1, Schedule B-2, Schedule C, Schedule C-1 and Schedule C-2* by chart of account code to similar costs reported in 2014 and obtain the Provider's explanation for five payroll variances that increased by more than five percent and \$500.

We did not perform this procedure as there was a change in ownership from 2014 to 2015.

This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' attestation standards. We were not engaged to and did not conduct an examination or review, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion or conclusion, respectively, on the Medicaid ICF-IID Cost Report. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion or conclusion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported. This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Provider and ODM, and is not intended to be, and should not be used by anyone other than the specified parties.



**Dave Yost**  
Auditor of State

October 23, 2017

**Appendix A**  
**Res-Care Ohio, Inc. DBA Boardwalk/ParkPlace**  
**2015 Medicaid ICF-IID Cost Report Adjustments**

	<b>Reported Amount</b>	<b>Correction</b>	<b>Corrected Amount</b>	<b>Explanation of Correction</b>
<b>Schedule B-1 Other Protected Costs</b>				
9. Trash and Refuse Removal - 6040 (2) Other/Contract Wages	\$ 3,217	\$ (608)	\$ 2,609	To reclassify cable and internet expense
17. Franchise Permit Fees - 6091 (2) Other/Contract Wages	\$ 264,546	\$ (145,500)	\$ 119,046	To remove adjusting entry in error
<b>Schedule C Indirect Care Cost Center</b>				
43. Data Services - 7285 (2) Other/Contract Wages	\$ -	\$ 608	\$ 608	To reclassify cable and internet expense
<b>Schedule D Capital Cost Center</b>				
6. Lease and Rent - Building - 8060 (3) Total	\$ 137,500	\$ (25,000)	\$ 112,500	To remove unsupported rental amount

**This page intentionally left blank.**





# Dave Yost • Auditor of State

**RES-CARE OHIO, INC. DBA BOARDWALK AND PARK PLACE**

**LORAIN COUNTY**

## **CLERK'S CERTIFICATION**

**This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.**

*Susan Babbitt*

**CLERK OF THE BUREAU**

**CERTIFIED  
NOVEMBER 9, 2017**