



Dave Yost • Auditor of State



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

Village of Pittsburg
Darke County
PO Box 247
Pittsburg, Ohio 45358

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, with which the Village Council and Mayor, and the management of the Village of Pittsburg (the Village) have agreed, solely to assist the Council and Mayor in evaluating receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in their cash-basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances. Management is responsible for recording transactions; and management, the Mayor, and / or the Council are responsible for complying with the compliance requirements. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' attestation standards and applicable attestation engagement standards included in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the parties specified in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

This report only describes exceptions exceeding \$10.

Cash

1. We tested the mathematical accuracy of the December 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015 bank reconciliations. We found no exceptions.
2. We agreed the January 1, 2015 beginning fund balances recorded in the Fund Ledger Report to the December 31, 2014 balances in the prior year audited statements. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the January 1, 2016 beginning fund balances recorded in the Fund Ledger Report to the December 31, 2015 balances in the Fund Ledger Report. We found no exceptions.
3. We agreed the totals per the bank reconciliations to the total of the December 31, 2016 and 2015 fund cash balances reported in the Fund Status Reports. The amounts agreed.
4. We confirmed the December 31, 2016 bank account balance with the Village's financial institution. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the confirmed balances to the amounts appearing in the December 31, 2016 bank reconciliation without exception.
5. We selected five reconciling debits (such as outstanding checks) haphazardly from the December 31, 2016 bank reconciliation:
 - a. We traced each debit to the subsequent January and February bank statements. We found no exceptions.
 - b. We traced the amounts and dates to the check register, to determine the debits were dated prior to December 31. We noted no exceptions.

Property Taxes, Intergovernmental and Other Confirmable Cash Receipts

1. We selected a property tax receipt from one *Statement of Semiannual Apportionment of Taxes* (the Statement) for 2016 and one from 2015:
 - a. We traced the gross receipts from the *Statement* to the amount recorded in the Revenue Receipt Register Report. The amounts agreed.
 - b. We determined whether the receipt was allocated to the proper funds as required by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.05-.06 and 5705.10. We found no exceptions.
 - c. We determined whether the receipt was recorded in the proper year. The receipt was recorded in the proper year.
2. We scanned the Revenue Receipt Register Report to determine whether it included two real estate tax receipts for 2016 and 2015. We noted the Revenue Receipt Register Report included the proper number of tax receipts for each year.
3. We selected five receipts from the State Distribution Transaction Lists (DTL) from 2016 and five from 2015. We also selected five receipts from the County Auditor's Voucher Listing from 2016 and five from 2015.
 - a. We compared the amount from the above reports to the amount recorded in the Revenue Receipt Register Report. During 2016 the Village received a Community Park Grant from Darke County in the amount of \$5,000 that was to be used for improvements to the Village baseball fields. The Village did not record this receipt into their accounting records. Rather, the Village signed the grant check over to the Franklin Monroe Junior Baseball Club (the Club) as reimbursement of funds the Club had expended for the baseball field improvements. The Village should have recorded the grant money as a receipt in their accounting system and then subsequently made a disbursement to the Club to reimburse their expenses for the ball field improvements related to the grant.
 - b. We determined whether these receipts were allocated to the proper funds. We found no exceptions.
 - c. We determined whether the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.
4. We verified the amounts paid from Franklin Township and Monroe Township to the Village during 2016 for fire protection services. We found no exceptions.
 - a. We determined whether these receipts were allocated to the proper fund. We found no exceptions.
 - b. We determined whether the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

Sewer Fund

1. We haphazardly selected 10 Sewer Fund collection cash receipts from the year ended December 31, 2016 and 10 Sewer Fund collection cash receipts from the year ended 2015 recorded in the Revenue Receipt Register Report and determined whether the:
 - a. Receipt amount per the Revenue Receipt Register Report agreed to the amount recorded to the credit of the customer's account in the Cash Receipts Journal. The amounts agreed.
 - b. Amount charged for the related billing period:
 - i. Agreed with the debit to accounts receivable in the Update Journal for the billing period. We found no exceptions.
 - ii. Complied with rates in force during the audit period. We found no exceptions.
 - c. Receipt was posted to the proper fund, and was recorded in the year received. We found no exceptions.

2. We read the Delinquent Utility Accounts Report.
 - a. We noted this report listed \$2,533 and \$2,562 of accounts receivable as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.
 - b. Of the total receivables reported in the preceding step, we were unable to determine the amount of the receivables that were more than 90 days delinquent.
3. We read the Monthly Cash Receipts Journal – Adjustments Only Report
 - a. We noted this report listed a total of \$3,557 and \$5,591 non-cash receipts adjustments for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.
 - b. We selected five non-cash adjustments from 2016 and five non-cash adjustments from 2015, and noted that the Village Council approved each adjustment.

Debt

1. From the prior audit documentation, we noted the following notes outstanding as of December 31, 2014. These amounts agreed to the Village's January 1, 2015 balances on the summary we used in step 3.

Issue	Principal outstanding as of December 31, 2014 :
OWDA Loan 4196	\$538
OWDA Loan 5273	\$1,131,469
OPWC Loan CT19L	\$175,000

2. We inquired of management, scanned the minutes, and scanned the Revenue Receipt Register Report and Payment Register Detail Report for evidence of debt issued during 2016 or 2015, or debt payment activity during 2016 or 2015. We noted in the minutes that the Village entered into a loan agreement on December 16, 2016, for the purchase of a fire truck, which was not included on the summary we used in step 3.
3. We obtained a summary of note debt activity for 2016 and 2015 and agreed principal and interest payments from the related debt amortization schedules to Sewer Fund and General Fund payments reported in the Payment Register Detail Report. We also compared the date the debt service payments were due to the date the Village made the payments. We found no exceptions.
4. For new debt issued during 2016, we inspected the debt legislation, noting the Village must use the proceeds to purchase a fire truck. The Village entered into a loan agreement on December 16, 2016 for the purchase of a fire truck. We noted that the Village did not record the loan proceeds in the amount of \$129,588 in their accounting system. Also, the Village did not record the on-behalf payment to the fire truck company in the amount of \$129,223 and the loan fees in the amount \$365 in their accounting system. The Village should implement procedures to ensure that all receipts and disbursements are properly posted to the Village's accounting records.

Payroll Cash Disbursements

1. We haphazardly selected one payroll check for five employees from 2016 and one payroll check for five employees from 2015 from the Wage Withholdings Detail Report and:
 - a. We compared the hours and pay rate, or salary recorded in the Wage Withholdings Detail Report to supporting documentation (timecard, legislatively or statutorily-approved rate or salary). We found no exceptions.
 - b. We determined whether the fund and account code(s) to which the check was posted were reasonable based on the employees' duties as documented in the minute record.

We also determined whether the payment was posted to the proper year. We found no exceptions.

2. We scanned the last remittance of tax and retirement withholdings for the year ended December 31, 2016 to determine whether remittances were timely paid, and if the amounts paid agreed to the amounts withheld, plus the employer's share where applicable, during the final withholding period during 2016. We noted the following:

Withholding (plus employer share, where applicable)	Date Due	Date Paid	Amount Due	Amount Paid
Federal income taxes & Medicare (and social security, for employees not enrolled in pension system)	January 31, 2017	December 19, 2016	\$119.55	\$119.55
State income taxes	January 15, 2017	December 20, 2016	\$7.53	\$7.53
School District Income Tax	January 15, 2017	December 20, 2016	\$5.28	\$5.28
OPERS retirement	January 30, 2017	December 20, 2016	\$204.00	\$204.00

Non-Payroll Cash Disbursements

1. We haphazardly selected ten disbursements from the Payment Register Detail Report for the year ended December 31, 2016 and ten from the year ended 2015 and determined whether:
 - a. The disbursements were for a proper public purpose. We found no exceptions.
 - b. The check number, date, payee name and amount recorded on the returned, canceled check agreed to the check number, date, payee name and amount recorded in the Payment Register Detail Report and to the names and amounts on the supporting invoices. We found no exceptions.
 - c. The payment was posted to a fund consistent with the restricted purpose for which the fund's cash can be used. We found no exceptions.
 - d. The fiscal officer certified disbursements requiring certification or issued a *Then and Now Certificate*, as required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.41(D). We found no exceptions.

Compliance – Budgetary

1. We compared the total estimated receipts from the Amended Official Certificate of Estimated Resources, required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.36(A)(1), to the amounts recorded in the Revenue Status Report for the General, Street Construction, Maintenance and Repair, and Enterprise WWTP-Sewer funds for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015. The amounts agreed.
2. We scanned the appropriation measures adopted for 2016 and 2015 to determine whether, for the General, Street Construction, Maintenance and Repair, and Enterprise WWTP-Sewer funds, the Council appropriated separately for "each office, department, and division, and within each, the amount appropriated for personal services," as is required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.38(C). We found no exceptions.
3. We compared total appropriations required by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.38 and 5705.40, to the amounts recorded in the Appropriation Status Report for 2016 and 2015 for the following funds: General Fund, Street Construction, Maintenance and Repair Fund, Enterprise WWTP-

Sewer Fund. The amounts on the appropriation resolutions agreed to the amounts recorded in the Appropriation Status report.

4. Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.36(A)(5) and 5705.39 prohibits appropriations from exceeding the certified resources. We compared total appropriations to total certified resources for the General, Street Construction, Maintenance and Repair, and Enterprise WWTP-Sewer funds for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015. We noted no funds for which appropriations exceeded certified resources.
5. Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.41(B) prohibits expenditures (disbursements plus certified commitments) from exceeding appropriations. We compared total expenditures to total appropriations for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015 for the General, Street Construction, Maintenance and Repair, and Enterprise WWTP-Sewer fund, as recorded in the Appropriation Status Report. We noted no funds for which expenditures exceeded appropriations.
6. Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.09 requires establishing separate funds to segregate externally-restricted resources. We scanned the Revenue Receipt Register Report for evidence of new restricted receipts requiring a new fund during December 31, 2016 and 2015. We also inquired of management regarding whether the Village received new restricted receipts. We noted no evidence of new restricted receipts for which Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.09 would require the Village to establish a new fund.
7. We scanned the 2016 and 2015 Revenue Status Reports and Appropriation Status Reports for evidence of interfund transfers which Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.14 - .16 restrict. We found no evidence of transfers these Sections prohibit, or for which Section 5705.16 would require approval by the Tax Commissioner and Court of Common Pleas.
8. We inquired of management and scanned the Appropriation Status Reports to determine whether the Village elected to establish reserve accounts permitted by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.13. We noted the Village did not establish these reserves.
9. We scanned the Cash Summary by Fund Report for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015 for negative cash fund balance. Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.10 (I) provides that money paid into a fund must be used for the purposes for which such fund is established. As a result, a negative fund cash balance indicates that money from one fund was used to cover the expenses of another. We noted no funds having a negative cash fund balance.

Compliance – Contracts & Expenditures

We inquired of management and scanned the Payment Register Detail Report for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015 to determine if the Village proceeded by force account (i.e. used its own employees) to maintain or repair roads (cost of project exceeding \$30,000) or to construct or reconstruct Village roads (cost of project \$30,000/per mile) for which Ohio Rev. Code Sections 117.16(A) and 723.52 requires the Village engineer, or officer having a different title but the duties and functions of an engineer, to complete a force account project assessment form (i.e., cost estimate). We identified no projects requiring the completion of the force account assessment form.

Other Compliance

1. Ohio Rev. Code Section 117.38 requires villages to file their financial information in the HINKLE system formerly known as the Annual Financial Data Reporting System (AFDRS) within 60 days after the close of the fiscal year. We reviewed the HINKLE system to verify the Village filed their

financial information within the allotted timeframe for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015. No exceptions noted.

We were not engaged to, and did not conduct an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the Village's receipts, disbursements, balances and compliance with certain laws and regulations. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, those charged with governance, and others within the Village, and is not intended to be, and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Dave Yost". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large, looping initial "D".

Dave Yost
Auditor of State

Columbus, Ohio

March 24, 2017



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

VILLAGE OF PITSBURG

DARKE COUNTY

CLERK'S CERTIFICATION

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

Susan Babbitt

CLERK OF THE BUREAU

CERTIFIED
APRIL 13, 2017