



OHIO AUDITOR OF STATE  
**KEITH FABER**





**MEIGS COUNTY DISTRICT PUBLIC LIBRARY  
MEIGS COUNTY  
DECEMBER 31, 2019 AND 2018**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
Independent Accountants' Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures.....	1

**This page intentionally left blank.**

# OHIO AUDITOR OF STATE KEITH FABER



53 Johnson Road  
The Plains, Ohio 45780-1231  
(740) 594-3300 or (800) 441-1389  
SoutheastRegion@ohioauditor.gov

## INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

Meigs County District Public Library  
Meigs County  
216 West Main Street  
Pomeroy, Ohio 45769

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, which were agreed to by the Board of Trustees and the management of Meigs County District Public Library, Meigs County, Ohio (the Library), on the receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in the Library's cash basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2019 and 2018 and certain compliance requirements related to those transactions and balances, included in the information provided to us by the management of the Library. The Library is responsible for the receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in the cash basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2019 and 2018 and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances included in the information provided to us by the Library. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the parties specified in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

This report only describes exceptions exceeding \$10.

### Cash and Investments

1. We recalculated the December 31, 2019 and December 31, 2018 bank reconciliations. We found no exceptions.
2. We agreed the January 1, 2018 beginning fund balances recorded in the Fund Ledger Report to the December 31, 2017 balances in the documentation in the prior year Agreed-Upon Procedures working papers. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the January 1, 2019 beginning fund balances recorded in the Fund Ledger Report to the December 31, 2018 balances in the Fund Ledger Report. We found no exceptions.
3. We agreed the totals per the bank reconciliations to the total of the December 31, 2019 and 2018 fund cash balances reported in the Fund Status Report and the financial statements filed by the Library in the Hinkle System. The amounts agreed with the exception of the payroll account total in 2019. The register balance on the December 31, 2019 payroll account reconciliation showed \$8,987. The Fund Status Report did not include this balance. This is a sweep account and should have a zero balance except for outstanding checks or deposits.
4. We confirmed the December 31, 2019 bank account balances with the Library's financial institutions or through the Ohio Pooled Collateral System. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the confirmed balances to the amounts appearing in the December 31, 2019 bank reconciliation without exception.

### **Cash and Investments (Continued)**

5. We selected five reconciling debits (such as outstanding checks) from the December 31, 2019 bank reconciliation:
  - a. We traced each debit to the subsequent January bank statement. We found no exceptions.
  - b. We traced the amounts and dates to the check register, to determine the debits were dated prior to December 31. There were no exceptions.
6. We traced interbank account transfers occurring in December of 2019 and 2018 to the accounting records and bank statements to determine if they were properly recorded. We found no exceptions.
7. We inspected investments held at December 31, 2019 and December 31, 2018 to determine that they:
  - a. Were of a type authorized by Ohio Rev. Code §§ 135.13, 135.14 or 135.144. We found no exceptions
  - b. Mature within the prescribed time limits noted in Ohio Rev. Code § 135.13 or 135.14. We noted no exceptions.

### **Property Taxes, Intergovernmental, and Other Confirmable Cash Receipts**

1. We selected a total of five receipts from the *Statement of Semiannual Apportionment of Taxes*, the State Distribution Transaction Lists (DTL) and the Meigs County Appropriation History Reports from 2019 and a total of five from 2018:
  - a. We compared the amount from the above named reports to the amount recorded in the Receipt Export Report. The amounts agreed.
  - b. We inspected the Receipt Export Report to determine whether these receipts were allocated to the proper fund. We found no exceptions.
  - c. We inspected the Receipt Export Report to determine whether the receipt was recorded in the proper year. The receipt was recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.
2. We inspected the Receipt Export Report to determine whether it included two real estate tax receipts for 2019 and 2018. The Receipt Export Report included the proper number of tax receipts for each year.
3. We inspected the Receipt Export Report to determine whether it included one Public Library Fund receipt per month for 2019 and 2018. We found no exceptions.

### **Debt**

1. The prior agreed-upon procedures documentation disclosed no debt outstanding as of December 31, 2017.
2. We inquired of management, and inspected the Receipt Export Report and Payment Export Report for evidence of debt issued during 2019 or 2018 or debt payment activity during 2019 or 2018. There were no new debt issuances, nor any debt payment activity during 2019 or 2018.

### **Payroll Cash Disbursements**

1. We selected one payroll check for five employees from 2019 and one payroll check for five employees from 2018 from the Payroll Details report and:
  - a. We compared the hours and pay rate, or salary recorded in the Payroll Details Report to supporting documentation (timecard, legislatively approved rate or salary). We found no exceptions.

**Payroll Cash Disbursements (Continued)**

- b. We inspected the fund and account codes to which the check was posted to determine the posting was reasonable based on the employees' duties as documented in the employees' personnel files and/or minute record. We also confirmed the payment was posted to the proper year. We found no exceptions.
2. We inspected the last remittance of tax and retirement withholdings for the year ended December 31, 2019 to confirm remittances were timely paid, and if the amounts paid agreed to the amounts withheld, plus the employer's share where applicable, during the final withholding period of 2019. We observed the following:

Withholding (plus employer share, where applicable)	Date Due	Date Paid	Amount Due	Amount Paid
Federal income taxes, Medicare, and Social Security	01/31/2020	12/30/2019	19,277	19,277
State income taxes	01/15/2020	12/30/2019	1,292	1,292
Local income tax	01/31/2020	12/30/2019	1,406	1,406
OPERS retirement	01/30/2020	12/30/2019	11,528	11,528

As part of the inspection identified above, we found that the Fiscal Officer withheld both social security and OPERS withholdings from several employees. The amount mistakenly withheld for social security totaled \$6,956 and \$5,625 in 2019 and 2018, respectively. The Fiscal Officer has taken steps to submit amended information to the Internal Revenue Service to correct this matter.

**Non-Payroll Cash Disbursements**

1. We selected 10 disbursements from the Payment Export Report for the year ended December 31, 2019 and 10 from the year ended 2018 and determined whether:
  - a. The disbursements were for a proper public purpose. We found no exceptions.
  - b. The check number, date, payee name and amount recorded on the returned, canceled check agreed to the check number, date, payee name and amount recorded in the Payment Export Report and to the names and amounts on the supporting invoices. We found one exception. Check 16789 on the Payment Export Report actually cleared the bank as check 16791.
  - c. The payment was posted to a fund consistent with the restricted purpose for which the fund's cash can be used. We found no exceptions.
2. We inspected the Payment Export Report for the year ended December 31, 2019 and 2018 and determined that the proceeds from the levy passed under Ohio Rev. Code § 5705.23, were used for the purposes stated in the resolution.

**Compliance – Budgetary**

1. Ohio Admin. Code § 117-8-02 prohibits spending in excess of budgeted amounts. We compared total expenditures to total approved appropriations plus any carryover appropriations for the years ended December 31, 2019 and 2018 for the General and Capital Projects Fund. We observed that no funds for which expenditures exceeded appropriations.

### Sunshine Law Compliance

1. We obtained and inspected the Library's Public Records Policy to determine the policy did not limit the number of responses that may be made to a particular person, limit the number of responses during a specified period of time, or establish a fixed period of time before it will respond unless that period is less than eight hours. We found no exceptions.
2. We inquired with Library management and determined that the Library did not have any completed public records requests during the engagement period.
3. We inquired with Library management and determined that the Library did not have any denied public records requests during the engagement period.
4. We inquired with Library management and determined that the Library did not have any public records requests with redactions during the engagement period.
5. We inquired whether the Library has a records retention policy, and observed that it is readily available to the public. We found no exceptions.
6. We inspected written evidence that the Public Records Policy was provided to the records custodian/manager. We found no exceptions.
7. We inquired with Library management and determined that the Library did not have a policy manual during the engagement period therefore the public records policy could not be included.
8. We inquired whether the Library's poster describing their Public Records Policy was displayed conspicuously in all branches of the Library. We found no exceptions.
9. We inquired with Library management and determined that the Library did not have any applications for record disposal submitted to the Records Commission during the engagement period.
10. We inquired with Library management and determined that the Library did not have any elected officials subject to the Public Records Training requirements during the engagement period.
11. We inspected the public notices for the public meetings held during the engagement period and determined the Library notified the general public and news media of when and where meetings during the engagement period are to be held. We found no exceptions.
12. We inspected the minutes of public meetings during the engagement period and determined whether they were:
  - a. Prepared – a file is created following the date of the meeting
  - b. Filed – placed with similar documents in an organized manner
  - c. Maintained - retained, at a minimum, for the engagement period
  - d. Open to public inspection – available for public viewing or request.We found no exceptions.
13. We inspected the minutes from the engagement period and determined the following:
  - a. Executive sessions were only held at regular or special meetings.
  - b. The purpose for the meetings and going into an executive session (when applicable) correlated with one of the matters listed in Ohio Rev. Code § 121.22(G).
  - c. Formal governing board actions were adopted in open meetings.We found no exceptions.



## Other Compliance

1. Ohio Rev. Code § 117.38 requires libraries to file their financial information in the HINKLE system within 60 days after the close of the fiscal year. This statute also permits the Auditor of State to extend the deadline for filing a financial report and establish terms and conditions for any such extension. Auditor of State established policies regarding the filing of complete financial statements, as defined in AOS Bulletin 2015-007 in the Hinkle System. We confirmed the Library filed their complete financial statements, as defined by AOS Bulletin 2015-007 and Auditor of State established policy within the allotted timeframe for the years ended December 31, 2019 and 2018 in the Hinkle system. There were no exceptions.
2. For all credit card accounts we obtained:
  - copies of existing internal control policies,
  - a list of authorized users, and
  - a list of all credit card account transactions.
  - a. We inspected the established policy obtained above and determined it is:
    - i. in compliance with the HB 312 statutory requirements, and
    - ii. Implemented by the entity.We found no exceptions.
  - b. We selected 1 credit card transaction from each credit card account for testing. For selected transactions we inspected documentation to determine that:
    - i. Use was by an authorized user within the guidelines established in the policy, and
    - ii. Each transaction was supported with original invoices and for a proper public purpose.We found no exceptions.
  - c. We selected 3 credit card statements from each credit card account for testing. For selected statements we inspected documentation to determine that:
    - i. No unpaid beginning balance was carried forward to the current billing cycle,
    - ii. Ending statement balance was paid in full, and
    - iii. Statement contained no interest or late fees.We found no exceptions.

This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants attestation standards and applicable attestation engagement standards included in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*. We were not engaged to, and did not conduct an examination, or review, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion or conclusion, respectively on the Library's receipts, disbursements, balances and compliance with certain laws and regulations. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion or conclusion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

Meigs County District Public Library  
Meigs County  
Independent Accountants' Report on  
Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures  
Page 6

This report is to provide assistance in the evaluation of the Library's receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in their cash-basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2019 and 2018, and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances and is not suitable for any other purpose.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Keith Faber". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Keith Faber  
Auditor of State  
Columbus, Ohio

May 18, 2020

# OHIO AUDITOR OF STATE KEITH FABER



**MEIGS COUNTY DISTRICT PUBLIC LIBRARY**

**MEIGS COUNTY**

## **CLERK'S CERTIFICATION**

**This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.**

*Susan Babbitt*

**CLERK OF THE BUREAU**

**CERTIFIED  
JUNE 9, 2020**