



**ROSS, PICKAWAY, HIGHLAND, FAYETTE JOINT SOLID WASTE DISTRICT
PICKAWAY COUNTY**

AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2019-2018

OHIO AUDITOR OF STATE KEITH FABER



88 East Broad Street, 5th Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3506
(614) 466-3402 or (800) 443-9275
CentralRegion@ohioauditor.gov

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

Ross, Pickaway, Highland, Fayette Joint Solid Waste District
Pickaway County
141 W Main Street, Suite 400
Circleville, Ohio 43113

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, which were agreed to by the Board of Directors and the management of Ross, Pickaway, Highland, Fayette Joint Solid Waste District (the District), on the receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in the Districts cash basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2019 and 2018 and certain compliance requirements related to those transactions and balances, included in the information provided to us by the management of the District. The District is responsible for the receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in the cash basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2019 and 2018 and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances included in the information provided to us by the District. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the parties specified in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

This report only describes exceptions exceeding \$10.

Cash

1. Pickaway County is custodian for the District's deposits, and therefore the County's deposit and investment pool holds the Districts assets. We compared the District's fund balances reported on its December 31, 2019 MTD/YTD Fund Report to the balances reported in Pickaway County's accounting records. The amounts agreed.
2. We agreed the January 1, 2018 beginning fund balances recorded in the YTD Fund Report to the December 31, 2017 balances in the prior year documentation in the prior year Agreed-Upon Procedures working papers. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the January 1, 2019 beginning fund balances recorded in the YTD Fund Report to the December 31, 2018 balances in the YTD Fund Report. We found no exceptions.

Tipping Fees and Other Confirmable Cash Receipts

1. We confirmed the amounts paid from the Pike Sanitation Landfill, Mound Transfer Station and Rumpke Circleville Transfer Station to the District during 2019 and 2018. They confirmed payment of the following amounts to the District:

Company	2019 Payments	2018 Payments
Pike Sanitation Landfill	\$207,000.75	\$273,474.36
Mound Transfer Station	\$74,649.93	\$77,273.94
Rumpke Circleville Transfer Station	\$90,957.42	\$104,306.13

Tipping Fees and Other Confirmable Cash Receipts (Continued)

- a. We compared the amount confirmed with the amount the District recorded in its receipt records. We found no exceptions.
 - b. We inspected the Detailed Revenue Transactions report to determine whether these receipts were allocated to the proper fund. We found no exceptions.
 - c. We inspected the Detailed Revenue Transactions report to determine whether the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.
2. We obtained the March 2018 and October 2019 total tonnage reports from the landfills in procedure
 - a. We recalculated the dollar amount sent to the District based on the rates in force during the period and agreed to the amounts posted to the Districts ledgers. We observed no exceptions.

Debt

1. The prior agreed-upon procedures documentation disclosed no debt outstanding as of December 31, 2017.
2. We inquired of management, and inspected the District's YTD Revenue and Expense for evidence of debt issued during 2019 or 2018 or debt payment activity during 2019 or 2018. There were no new debt issuances, nor any debt payment activity during 2019 or 2018.

Payroll Cash Disbursements

1. We selected one payroll check for five employees from 2019 and one payroll check for five employees from 2018 from the Detailed Check Register report and:
 - a. We compared the hours and pay rate, or salary amount recorded in the Detailed Check Register report to supporting documentation (timecard, legislatively or statutorily-approved rate or salary). We found no exceptions.
 - b. We inspected the fund and account codes to which the check was posted to determine if they were reasonable based on the employees' duties as documented in the employees' personnel files. We also confirmed the payment was posted to the proper year. We found no exceptions.
 - c. For any new employees selected, we compared whether the following information in the employees' personnel files was consistent with the information used to compute gross and net pay related to this check:
 - i. Name
 - ii. Authorized salary or pay rate and department and fund to which the check should be charged
 - iii. Retirement system, Federal, State & Local income tax withholding authorization and withholding

We found no exceptions related to procedures i. – iii. above.

2. We inspected the last remittance of tax and retirement withholdings for the year ended December 31, 2019 to confirm remittances were timely charged by the fiscal agent, Pickaway County, and if the amounts charged agreed to the amounts withheld, plus the employer's share where applicable, during the final withholding, period of 2019. We observed the following:

Payroll Cash Disbursements (Continued)

Withholding (plus employer share, where applicable)	Date Due	Date Paid	Amount Due	Amount Paid
Federal income taxes & Medicare (and social security, for employees not enrolled in pension system)	January 31, 2020	January 10, 2020	\$1,283.16	\$1,283.16
State income taxes	January 15, 2020	January 10, 2020	\$339.60	\$339.60
Local income tax	January 15, 2020	January 8, 2020	\$115.20	\$115.20
OPERS retirement	January 30, 2020	January 10, 2020	\$962.08	\$962.08
School District Income Tax	January 15, 2020	January 8, 2020	\$33.54	\$33.54

Non-Payroll Cash Disbursements

1. We selected 10 disbursements from the Detail Expense Transaction for the year ended December 31, 2019 and 10 from the year ended 2018 and determined whether:
 - a. The disbursements were for a proper public purpose. We found no exceptions.
 - b. The check number, date, payee name and amount recorded on the returned, canceled check agreed to the check number, date, payee name and amount recorded in the Detail Expense Transaction and to the names and amounts on the supporting invoices. We found no exceptions.
 - c. The payment was posted to a fund consistent with the restricted purpose for which the fund's cash can be used. We found no exceptions.
 - d. The fiscal officer certified disbursements requiring certification or issued a *Then and Now Certificate*, as required by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.28(B)(2) and 5705.41(D). We found no exceptions.
 - e. The disbursement was allowable under Ohio Rev. Code Section 3734.57(G), and the Districts policies and procedures. We found no exceptions.

Compliance – Budgetary

1. Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.28(B)(2) and 5705.41(B) prohibits expenditures (disbursements plus certified commitments) from exceeding appropriations. We compared total expenditures to total approved appropriations (Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.38 and 5705.40) plus any carryover appropriations for the years ended December 31, 2019 and 2018 for the District fund. We observed that expenditures did not exceed appropriations.
2. We inspected the Cash Summary by Fund Report for the years ended December 31, 2019 and 2018 for negative cash fund balance. Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.10 (I) provides that money paid into a fund must be used for the purposes for which such fund is established. As a result, a negative fund cash balance indicates that money from one fund was used to cover the expenses of another. We observed no funds having negative cash fund balances.

Sunshine Law Compliance

1. We obtained and inspected the District's Public Records Policy was in accordance with Ohio Rev. Code §§ 149.43(E)(2) and 149.43(B)(7)(c) and did not limit the number of responses that may be made to a particular person, limit the number of responses during a specified period of time, or establish a fixed period of time before it will respond unless that period is less than eight hours. We found the district did not formally adopt a public records policy.
2. We inquired with District management and determined that the District did not have any completed public records requests during the engagement period.
3. We inquired with District management and determined that the District did not have any denied public records requests during the engagement period.
4. We inquired with District management and determined that the District did not have any public records requests with redactions during the engagement period.
5. We inquired whether the District has a records retention policy, and observed that it is readily available to the public as required by Ohio Rev. Code § 149.43(B)(2). We found no exceptions.
6. We inspected written evidence that the Public Records Policy was provided to the records custodian/manager as required by Ohio Rev. Code § 149.43(E)(2). We found no exceptions.
7. We inspected the District's policy manual and determined the public records policy was included as required by Ohio Rev. Code § 149.43(E)(2). We found no exceptions.
8. We observed that the District's poster describing their Public Records Policy was not displayed conspicuously in all branches of the District as required by Ohio Rev. Code § 149.43(E)(2).
9. We inquired with District management and determined that the District did not have any applications for record disposal submitted to the Records Commission during the engagement period.
10. We inquired with District management and determined that the District did not have any elected officials subject to the Public Records Training requirements during the engagement period as required by Ohio Rev. Code §§ 149.43(E)(1) and 109.43(B).
11. We inspected the public notices for the public meetings held during the engagement period and determined the District notified the general public and news media of when and where meetings during the engagement period are to be held as required by Ohio Rev. Code § 121.22(F). We found no exceptions.
12. We inspected the minutes of public meetings during the engagement period in accordance with Ohio Rev. Code § 121.22(C) and determined whether they were not:
 - a. Prepared – a file is created following the date of the meeting
 - b. Filed – placed with similar documents in an organized manner
 - c. Maintained - retained, at a minimum, for the engagement period
 - d. Open to public inspection – available for public viewing or request.We found no exceptions.

Sunshine Law Compliance (Continued)

13. We inspected the minutes from the engagement period in accordance with Ohio Rev. Code § 121.22(G) and determined the following:
- Executive sessions were only held at regular or special meetings.
 - The purpose for the meetings and going into an executive session (when applicable) correlated with one of the matters listed in Ohio Rev. Code Section 121.22(G).
 - Formal governing board actions were adopted in open meetings.
- We found no exceptions.

Other Compliance

- Ohio Rev. Code Section 117.38 requires these districts to file their financial information in the HINKLE system within 60 days after the close of the fiscal year. This statute also permits the Auditor of State to extend the deadline for filing a financial report and establish terms and conditions for any such extension. Auditor of State established policies, regarding the filing of complete financial statements, as defined in AOS Bulletin 2015-007 in the Hinkle System. We confirmed the District filed their complete financial statements, as defined by AOS Bulletin 2015-007 and Auditor of State established policy within the allotted timeframe for the years ended December 31, 2019 and 2018 in the Hinkle system. There were no exceptions.
- For all credit card accounts we obtained:
 - copies of existing internal control policies,
 - a list(s) of authorized users, and
 - a list of all credit card account transactions.
 - We inspected the established policy obtained above and determined it is:
 - in compliance with the HB 312 statutory requirements, and
 - implemented by the entity.We found no exceptions.
 - We selected 1 credit card transaction from each credit card account for testing. For selected transactions we inspected documentation to determine that:
 - Use was by an authorized user within the guidelines established in the policy, and
 - Each transaction was supported with original invoices and for a proper public purpose.We found no exceptions.
 - We selected 3 credit card statements from each credit card account for testing. For selected statements we inspected documentation to determine that:
 - No unpaid beginning balance was carried forward to the current billing cycle,
 - Ending statement balance was paid in full, and
 - Statement contained no interest or late fees.We found no exceptions.

This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants attestation standards and applicable attestation engagement standards included in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*. We were not engaged to, and did not conduct an examination or review, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion or conclusion, respectively, on the District's receipts, disbursements, balances and compliance with certain laws and regulations. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion or conclusion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

Ross, Pick-High-Fay Joint Solid Waste District
Pickaway County
Independent Accountants' Report on
Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures
Page 6

This report is to provide assistance in the evaluation of the District's receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in their cash-basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2019 and 2018, and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances and is not suitable for any other purpose.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Keith Faber". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Keith Faber
Auditor of State

Columbus, Ohio

September 15, 2020

OHIO AUDITOR OF STATE KEITH FABER



**ROSS PICKAWAY HIGHLAND FAYETTE JOINT SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT DISTRICT
PICKAWAY COUNTY**

AUDITOR OF STATE OF OHIO CERTIFICATION

This is a true and correct copy of the report, which is required to be filed pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in the Office of the Ohio Auditor of State in Columbus, Ohio.



Certified for Release 10/20/2020

88 East Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215
Phone: 614-466-4514 or 800-282-0370

This report is a matter of public record and is available online at
www.ohioauditor.gov