

**VILLAGE OF PLYMOUTH  
RICHLAND COUNTY**

**AGREED UPON PROCEDURES**

**FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2020 AND 2019**

**Wilson, Phillips & Agin, CPA's, Inc.  
1100 Brandywine Blvd., Building G  
Zanesville, Ohio 43701**



OHIO AUDITOR OF STATE  
KEITH FABER



88 East Broad Street  
Columbus, Ohio 43215  
IPAReport@ohioauditor.gov  
(800) 282-0370

Village Council  
Village of Plymouth  
48 West Broadway  
Plymouth, Ohio 44865

We have reviewed the *Independent Accountants' Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures* of the Village of Plymouth, Richland County, prepared by Wilson, Phillips & Agin, CPA's, Inc., for the period January 1, 2019 through December 31, 2020. Based upon this review, we have accepted this report in lieu of the audit required by Section 117.11, Revised Code.

Our review was made in reference to the applicable sections of legislative criteria, as reflected by the Ohio Constitution, and the Revised Code, policies, procedures and guidelines of the Auditor of State, regulations and grant requirements. The Village of Plymouth is responsible for compliance with these laws and regulations.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Keith Faber".

Keith Faber  
Auditor of State  
Columbus, Ohio

June 22, 2021

**This page intentionally left blank.**

**WILSON, PHILLIPS, & AGIN, CPA'S, INC.  
1100 BRANDYWINE BLVD., BUILDING G  
ZANESVILLE, OHIO 43701**

**INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES**

Village of Plymouth  
48 West Broadway Street  
Plymouth, Ohio 44865

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, which were agreed to by the Village Council, Mayor and the management of Village of Plymouth, Richland County, Ohio (the Village) and the Auditor of State on the receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in the Village's cash basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2020 and 2019 and certain compliance requirements related to those transaction and balances, included in the information provided to us by the management of the Village. The Village is responsible for the receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in the cash basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2020 and 2019 and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances included in the information provided to us by the Village. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the parties specified in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

This report only describes exceptions exceeding \$10.

**Cash and Investments**

1. We recalculated the December 31, 2020 and December 31, 2019 bank reconciliations. We found no exceptions.
2. We agreed the January 1, 2019 beginning fund balances recorded in the Fund Ledger Report to the December 31, 2018 balances in the prior year audited statements. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the January 1, 2020 beginning fund balances recorded in the Fund Ledger Report to the December 31, 2019 balances in the Fund Ledger Report. We found no exceptions.
3. We agreed the totals per the bank reconciliation to the total of December 31, 2020 and 2019 fund cash balances reported in the Fund Status Report and the financial statements filed by the Village in the Hinkle System. The amounts agreed.
4. We confirmed the December 31, 2020 bank account balances with the Village's financial institution. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the confirmed balances to the amounts appearing in the December 31, 2020 bank reconciliation without exception.
5. We selected five reconciling debits (such as outstanding checks) from the December 31, 2020 bank reconciliation.
  - a. We traced each debit to the subsequent January bank statement. We found no exceptions.
  - b. We traced the amounts and dates to the check register, to determine the debits were dated prior to December 31. We found no exceptions.
6. We selected five reconciling credits (such as deposits in transit) from the December 31, 2020 bank reconciliation.
  - a. We traced each credit to the subsequent January bank statement. We found no exceptions.
  - b. We agreed the credit amounts to the Receipt Register Report and determined they were dated prior to December 31. We found no exceptions.

7. We inspected investments held at December 31, 2020 and December 31, 2019 to determine that they:
  - a. Were of a type authorized by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 135.13, 135.14 or 135.144. We found no exceptions.
  - b. Mature within the prescribed time limits noted in Ohio Rev. Code Section 135.13 or 135.14. We noted no exceptions.

#### **Property Taxes, Intergovernmental and Other Confirmable Cash Receipts**

1. We selected a total of five receipts from the Statement of semiannual Apportionment of Taxes, State Distribution Transaction Listing (DTL) and County Auditor's Vendor Invoice List for 2020 and a total of five from 2019.
  - a. We compared the amount from the above named reports to the amount recorded in the Receipt Register Report. The amounts agreed.
  - b. We inspected the Receipt Register Report to determine whether that these receipts were allocated to the proper fund(s). We found no exceptions
  - c. We inspected the Receipt Register Report to determine whether the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.
2. We inspected the Receipt Register Report to determine whether it included two real estate tax receipts for 2020 and 2019. The Receipts Register Report included the proper number of tax receipts for each year.

#### **Income Tax Receipts**

1. We selected five income tax returns filed during 2020 and five from 2019.
  - a. We compared the payment amount recorded on the tax return to the amount recorded on the daily batch sheet. The amounts agreed.
  - b. We compared the daily batch sheet total from procedure a. to the amount recorded as income tax receipts in the Receipt Register Report for that date. The amounts agreed.
2. We compared the date of the receipts to the date the receipts were posted in the Receipt Register Report to determine whether the receipts were recorded in the year received. We found no exceptions.
3. We selected five income tax refunds from 2020 and five from 2019.
  - a. We compared the refund paid from the Payment Register Detail Report to the refund amount requested in the tax return. The amounts agreed.
  - b. We observed the approval by the Income Tax Clerk on each of the refunds. No exceptions were found.
  - c. We observed the refunds were paid from the General Fund as is required.

#### **Water/Sewer/Electric/Garbage Operating Fund**

1. We selected 10 Utility collection cash receipts from the year ended December 31, 2020 and 10 Utility collection cash receipt from the year ended December 31, 2019 recorded in the Receipt Register Report and determined whether the:
  - a. Receipt amount per the Receipt Register Report agreed to the amount recorded to the credit of the customer's account in the Customer Balance Report. The amounts agreed.
  - b. Amount charged for the related billing period:
    - i. Agreed with the debit to accounts receivable in the Aged Balances Report. We found no exceptions.
    - ii. Complied with rates in force during the audit period multiplied by the consumption amount for recorded for the billing period, plus any applicable late penalties, plus unpaid prior billings. We found no exceptions.
  - c. Receipt was posted to the proper fund, and was recorded in the year received. We found no exceptions.

2. We observed the Customer Balance Report.
  - a. This report listed \$46,934 and \$46,539 of accounts receivable as of December 31, 2020 and 2019, respectively.
  - b. Of the total receivables reported in the preceding step, \$5,869 and \$5,289 were recorded as more than 90 days delinquent.
3. We observed the Monthly Cash Receipts Journal-Adjustment Only Report.
  - a. This report listed a total of \$(12,871) and \$(2,022) non-cash adjustments for the year ended December 31, 2020 and 2019, respectively.
  - b. We haphazardly selected five non-cash adjustments from 2020 and five non-cash adjustments from 2019 and observed that the Village Council approved each adjustment.

**Debt**

1. From the prior audit documentation, we observed the following loans were outstanding as of December 31, 2018. These amounts agreed to the Village's January 1, 2019 balances on the summary we used in procedure 3.

Issue	Principal outstanding as Of December 31, 2018:
Ohio Water Development Authority Loans	\$ 3,020,135
Ohio Public Works Commission Loans	112,259
Electric Bonds	124,000
Boiler Bond	115,000
USDA Fire Tanker	68,209
Bancorp Vehicle Lease	63,916

2. We inquired of management and inspected the Receipt Register Report and Payment Register Detail Report for evidence of debt issued during 2020 or 2019 or debt payment activity during 2020 or 2019. All debt agreed to the summary we used in procedure 3.
3. We obtained a summary of debt activity for 2020 and 2019 and agreed principal and interest payments from the related debt amortization schedule to General Fund, Fire/Ambulance Equipment Levy Fund, Water Operating Fund, Sewer Operating Fund and Electric Operating Fund payments reported in the Payment Register Detail Report. We also compared the date the debt service payments were due to the date the Village made the payments. We found no exceptions.
4. We agreed the amounts of the debt proceeds from the debt documents to amounts recorded in the General Fund, and Sewer Operating Fund per the Receipt Register Report. The amounts agreed..
5. For new debt issued during 2020 and 2019, we inspected the debt legislation, which stated the Village must use the proceeds to purchase a police vehicle, a VAC truck and sewer improvements. We inspected the payment Register Detail Report and observed the Village made payments for their sewer project in May 2019, for their police vehicle in August 2020 and for the VAC truck in October 2020.

**Payroll Cash Disbursements**

1. We selected one payroll check for five employees from 2020 and one payroll check for five employees from 2019 from the Wage Detail Report and:
  - a. We compared the hours and pay rate, or salary recorded in the Wage Detail Report to supporting documentation (timecard, legislatively or statutorily approved rate or salary). We found no exceptions.
  - b. We inspected the fund and account codes to which the check was posted to determine the posting was reasonable based on the employee's duties as documented in the minute record, timesheets or as required by statute. We also confirmed the payment was posted to the proper year. We found no exceptions.
  
2. We inspected the last remittance of tax and retirement withholdings for the year ended December 31, 2020 to confirm remittances were timely paid, and that the amounts paid agreed to the amounts withheld, plus the employer's share, where applicable during the final withholding period during 2020. We noted the following:

<b>Withholding (plus employer share Where applicable)</b>	<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Date Paid</b>	<b>Amount W/H</b>	<b>Amount</b>
Federal Income Taxes	January 31, 2021	December 31, 2020	\$ 3,512.26	\$ 3,512.26
State Income Taxes	January 15, 2021	January 5, 2021	1,712.61	1,712.61
Local Income Taxes	January 31, 2021	December 31, 2020	892.69	892.69
School District Taxes	January 15, 2021	January 5, 2021	737.92	737.92
OPERS Retirement	January 31, 2021	January 27, 2021	6,159.11	6,159.11
OP&F Retirement	January 31, 2021	January 27, 2021	9,979.66	9,979.66

**Non-payroll Cash Disbursements**

1. We selected ten disbursements from the Payment Register Detail Activity Report for the year ended December 31, 2020 and ten from the year ended December 31, 2019 and determined whether:
  - a. The disbursements were for a public purpose. We found no exceptions.
  - b. The check number, date, payee name and amount recorded on the returned, canceled check image agreed to the check number, date, payee name and amount recorded in the Payment Register Detail Report and to the names and amounts on the supporting invoices. We noted no exceptions.
  - c. The payment was posted to a fund consistent with the restricted purpose for which the fund's cash can be used. We found no exceptions.
  - d. The fiscal officer certified disbursements requiring certification or issued a Then and Now Certificate, as required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.41(D). We found two instances where the certification date was after the vendor invoice date, and there was also no evidence that a Then and Now Certificate was issued. Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.41(D) requires certifying at the time of a commitment, which should be on or before the invoice date, unless a Then and Now Certificate is used. Because we did not inspect all disbursements requiring certification, our report provides no assurance whether or not additional similar errors occurred.



### **Mayors Court Transactions**

1. We recalculated the December 31, 2020 and December 31, 2019 bank reconciliations. We found no exceptions.
2. We compared the reconciled cash totals as of December 31, 2020 and December 31, 2019 to the Mayors Court Fund balance reported in the Fund Status Report. The balances agreed.
3. We agreed the totals per the bank reconciliation to the total of December 31, 2020 and 2019 listing of unpaid distributions as of each December 31. The amounts agreed.
4. We confirmed the December 31, 2020 bank account balances with the Mayors Court financial institution. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the confirmed balances to the amounts appearing in the December 31, 2020 bank reconciliation without exception.
5. We selected five cases from the court cash book and agreed the payee and amount posted to the:
  - a. Duplicate receipt in the computer system.
  - b. Docket, including comparing the fine paid to the judgment issued by the judge (i.e. mayor).
  - c. Case File.

The amounts recorded in the cash book, receipts book, docket and case file agreed.

### **Compliance-Budgetary**

1. Ohio Revised Code Section 5705.41(B) prohibits expenditures (disbursements plus certified commitments) from exceeding appropriations. We compared total expenditures to total approved appropriations (Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.38 and 5705.40) plus any carryover appropriations for the years ended December 31, 2020 and 2019 for the General Fund, Street Construction Fund and the Sewer Operating Fund. There were no funds for which expenditures exceeded appropriations.
2. We inspected the 2020 and 2019 Revenue Status Reports and Appropriation Status Reports for evidence of interfund transfers exceeding \$5,000 which Ohio Revised Code Sections 5705.14 - .16 restrict. We found no evidence of transfers these Sections prohibit, or for which Section 5705.16 would require approval by the Tax Commissioner (effective after June 30, 2017) or Court of Common Pleas (effective through June 30, 2017).
3. We inspected the Cash Summary by Fund Report for the years ended December 31, 2020 and 2019 for negative cash fund balance. Ohio Revised Code Section 5705.10(I) provides that money paid into a fund must be used for the purposes for which such fund is established. As a result, a negative fund cash balance indicates that money from one fund was used to cover the expenses of another. We noted no funds having a negative cash fund balance.

### **Sunshine Law Compliance**

1. We obtained and inspected the Village's Public Records Policy to determine the policy was in accordance with Ohio Revised Code Section 149.43(2) and did not limit the number of responses that may be made to a particular person, limit the number of responses during a specified period of time, or establish a fixed period of time before it will respond unless that period is less than eight hours. We found no exceptions.

2. We selected five public records requests from the engagement period and inspected each request to determine the following:
  - a. The Village was compliant and responded to the request in accordance with Ohio Rev. Code Section 149.43(B)(1). We found no exceptions.
  - b. The Township did not have any denied public records request.
  - c. The Township did not have any public requests with redactions during the engagement period.
3. We inquired whether the Village had a records retention policy schedule and observed that it was readily available to the public as required by ORC Section 149.43(B)(2).
4. We inspected written evidence that the Public Records Policy was provided to the records custodian/manager as required by ORC Section 149.43(E)(2). We found no exceptions.
5. We inspected the Village's policy manual and determined the public records policy was included as required by ORC Section 149.43(E)(2). We found no exceptions.
6. We observed that the Village's poster describing their Public Records Policy was displayed conspicuously in all branches of the Village as required by ORC Section 149.43(E)(2). We found no exceptions.
7. We inquired with Village management and determined that the Village did not have any applications for record disposal submitted to the Records Commission during the engagement period.
8. We inspected individual training certificates and determined whether each elected official in accordance with ORC Section 149.43(E)(1) (or his/her designee) successfully attended a certified three-hour Public Records Training for each term of office as required by ORC Section 109.43(B). We found no exceptions.
9. We inspected the public notices for the public meetings held during the engagement period and determined the Village notified the general public and news media of when and where meetings during the engagement period are to be held as required by ORC Section 121.22(F). We found no exceptions.
10. We inspected the minutes of public meetings during the engagement period in accordance with ORC Section 121.22(G) and determined whether they were:
  - a. Prepared – a file is created following the date of the meeting
  - b. Filed – placed with similar documents in an organized manner
  - c. Maintained – retained, at a minimum, for the engagement period
  - d. Open to public inspections – available for public viewing or request.We found no exceptions.
11. We inspected the minutes from the engagement period and determined the following:
  - a. Executive sessions were only held at regular or special meetings.
  - b. The purpose for the meetings and going into an executive session (where applicable) correlated with one of the matters listed in Ohio Rev. Code Section 121.22(G).
  - c. Formal governing board actions were adopted in open meetings.We found no exceptions.

### Coronavirus Relief Fund

1. We inquired of management and those charged with governance and documented how the Village decided to spend their CFR allocations and how the Village evaluated and documented their compliance with the CARES Act three-prong test. We then selected all payroll, non-payroll, and subgrant/subloan transactions and determined the Village:
  - a. Spent the CRF money in accordance with use of funds requirements and
  - b. Maintained appropriate supporting documentation.We found no exceptions.
2. We inquired with management and inspected the (Appropriation ledgers Report) to determine the local government did not have an unencumbered balance of money to pay back to the county treasurer. We found no exceptions.

### Other Compliance

1. Ohio Revised Code Section 117.38 requires villages to file their financial information in the HINKLE system within 60 days after the close of the fiscal year. This statute also permits the Auditor of State to extend the deadline for filing a financial report and establish terms and conditions for any such extension. Auditor of State established policies, in addition to filing extensions granted for extenuating circumstances, allow for refile complete financial statements, as defined in AOS Bulletin 2015-007 in the Hinkle System for December 31, 2020 and 2019 fiscal year ends included 2015-2016 or 2016-2017 agreed up on procedure engagements, subsequent to the Village's deadline where the initial filing was filed on time but incomplete. We confirmed the Village filed their complete financial statements, as defined by AOS Bulletin 2015-007 and Auditor of State established policy within the allotted timeframe for years ended December 31, 2020 and 2019 in the Hinkle system. There were no exceptions.
2. We inspected the Fiscal Integrity Act Portal to determine whether the fiscal officer obtained the training required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 507.12 and 733.81. Fiscal Officer obtained the required training.
3. For all credit card accounts we obtained:
  - Copies of existing internal control policies,
  - a list of authorized users, and
  - a list of all credit card account transactions.
  - a. We inspected the established policy obtained above and determined it is:
    - i. in compliance with the HB 312 statutory requirements, and
    - ii. implemented by the entity.We found no exceptions.
  - b. We selected 1 credit card transaction from each credit card account for testing. For selected transactions we inspected documentation to determine that:
    - i. Use was by an authorized user within the guidelines established in the policy, and
    - ii. Each transaction was supported with original invoices and for a proper public purpose.We found no exceptions.
  - c. We selected 3 credit card statements from each credit card account for testing. For selected statements we inspected documentation to determine that:
    - i. No unpaid beginning balance was carried forward to the current billing cycle,
    - ii. Ending statement balance was paid in full, and
    - iii. Statement contained no interest or late fees.We found no exceptions.

This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants attestation standards and applicable attestation engagement standards included in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*. We were not engaged to, and did not conduct an examination or review, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the Village's receipts, disbursements, balances and compliance with certain laws and regulations. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is to provide assistance in the evaluation of the Village's receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in their cash-basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2020 and 2019, and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances and is not suitable for any other purpose.

***Wilson, Phillips & Agin, CPA's, Inc.***  
Zanesville, Ohio  
May 12, 2021

# OHIO AUDITOR OF STATE KEITH FABER



**VILLAGE OF PLYMOUTH**

**RICHLAND COUNTY**

**AUDITOR OF STATE OF OHIO CERTIFICATION**

This is a true and correct copy of the report, which is required to be filed pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in the Office of the Ohio Auditor of State in Columbus, Ohio.



**Certified for Release 7/6/2021**

88 East Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215  
Phone: 614-466-4514 or 800-282-0370

This report is a matter of public record and is available online at  
[www.ohioauditor.gov](http://www.ohioauditor.gov)