

Certified Public Accountants, A.C.

VILLAGE OF MATAMORAS WASHINGTON COUNTY AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2021 - 2020



88 East Broad Street Columbus, Ohio 43215 IPAReport@ohioauditor.gov (800) 282-0370

Village Council Village of Matamoras P.O. Box 536 Matamoras, Ohio 45767

We have reviewed the *Independent Accountant's Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures* of the Village of Matamoras, Washington County, prepared by Perry & Associates, Certified Public Accountants, A.C., for the period January 1, 2020 through December 31, 2021. Based upon this review, we have accepted this report in lieu of the audit required by Section 117.11, Revised Code.

Our review was made in reference to the applicable sections of legislative criteria, as reflected by the Ohio Constitution, and the Revised Code, policies, procedures and guidelines of the Auditor of State, regulations and grant requirements. The Village of Matamoras is responsible for compliance with these laws and regulations.

Keith Faber Auditor of State Columbus, Ohio

August 23, 2022



VILLAGE OF MATAMORAS WASHINGTON COUNTY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE	PAGE
Independent Accountants' Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures	1





313 Second St. Marietta, OH 45750 740.373.0056

1907 Grand Central Ave. Vienna, WV 26105 304.422.2203

150 West Main St. St. Clairsville, OH 43950 740.695.1569

1310 Market Street, Suite 300 Wheeling, WV 26003 304.232.1358

749 Wheeling Ave., Suite 300 Cambridge, OH 43725 740,435,3417

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANT'S REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

Village of Matamoras Washington County P.O. Box 536 Matamoras, Ohio 45767

We have performed the procedures enumerated below on the Village of Matamoras, Washington County's (the Village) receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in the cash basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2021 and 2020 and certain compliance requirements related to those transactions and balances, included in the information provided to us by the management of the Village. The Village is responsible for the receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in the cash basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2021 and 2020 and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances included in the information provided to us by the Village.

The Village Council, Mayor and the management of the Village have agreed to and acknowledged that the procedures performed are appropriate to meet the intended purpose of providing assistance in the evaluation of the Village's receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in their cash-basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2021 and 2020, and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances. Additionally, the Auditor of State has agreed to and acknowledged that the procedures performed are appropriate to meet their purposes. No other party acknowledged the appropriateness of the procedures. This report may not be suitable for any other purpose. The procedures performed may not address all the items of interest to a user of the report and may not meet the needs of all users of the report and, as such, users are responsible for determining whether the procedures performed are appropriate for their purposes. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the parties specified in the report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

For the purposes of performing these procedures, this report only describes exceptions exceeding \$10.

The procedures and the associated findings are as follows:

Cash

1. We recalculated the December 31, 2021 and December 31, 2020 bank reconciliations. We found no exceptions.

Tax - Accounting – Audit – Review – Compilation – Agreed Upon Procedure – Consultation – Bookkeeping – Payroll – Litigation Support – Financial Investigations

Members: American Institute of Certified Public Accountants

Ohio Society of CPAs • West Virginia Society of CPAs • Association of Certified Fraud Examiners • Association of Certified Anti-Money Laudering Specialists •



Cash (Continued)

- 2. We agreed the January 1, 2020 beginning fund balances for each fund recorded in the Cash Summary By Fund Report to the December 31, 2019 balances in the prior year audited statements. We noted a variance of \$66,990.92 due to a prior period audit adjustment for a debt payment not recorded in UAN in 2019. We found no other exceptions. We also agreed the January 1, 2021 beginning fund balances for each fund recorded in the Cash Summary By Fund Report to the December 31, 2020 balances in the Cash Summary By Fund Report. We noted a variance \$63,597.20 due to prior period audit adjustments and voided checks. We found no other exceptions.
- 3. We agreed the 2021 and 2020 bank reconciliation adjusted UAN Balances and Adjusted Bank Balances as of December 31, 2021 and 2020 to the total fund cash balances reported in the Fund Status Report and the financial statements filed by the Village in the Hinkle System. The amounts did not agree. In 2021, we noted a variance of \$134,922.62 due to USDA debt payments not recorded in UAN and listed as an other adjusting factor on the bank reconciliation. In 2020, we noted a variance of \$134,974.58 due to USDA debt payments and a check to Matheny Ford not recorded in UAN. \$66,990.92 of this balance was corrected by a prior period audit adjustment to record the USDA payment. We proposed an adjustment to correct the error, and the Village has posted the adjustment to their accounting system.
- 4. We confirmed the December 31, 2021 bank account balance with the Village's financial institution. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the confirmed balances to the amounts appearing in the December 31, 2021 bank reconciliation without exception.
- 5. We selected five reconciling debits (such as outstanding checks) from the December 31, 2021 bank reconciliation:
 - a. We traced each debit to the subsequent January bank statement. We noted payment 206-2019 from 11/27/2019 was still outstanding as of January 31, 2022.
 - b. We traced the amounts and dates to the check register and determined the debits were dated prior to December 31. We found no exceptions.
- 6. We selected all reconciling credits (such as deposits in transit) from the December 31, 2021 bank reconciliation:
 - a. We traced each credit to the subsequent bank statements. We noted 3 deposits in transit totaling \$52 have not cleared. We also noted a reconciling credit due to an other adjusting factor of \$93.93 that could not be supported by the Village.
 - b. We agreed the credit amounts to the Revenue Ledger and determined they were dated prior to December 31. We found no exceptions.

Property Taxes and Intergovernmental Receipts

- 1. We selected a total of five receipts from the State Distribution Transaction Listing (DTL), and the Washington County Vendor Audit Trail Report for 2021 and a total of five from 2020:
 - a. We compared the amount from the above named reports to the amount recorded in the Revenue Ledger. The amounts agreed.
 - b. We inspected the Revenue Ledger to determine these receipts were allocated to the proper funds as required by Ohio Rev. Code §§ 5705.05-.06 and 5705.10. In 2020, we noted receipt 105-2020 was not properly allocated between funds. \$11.52 should have been allocated to the Street Construction, Maintenance, and Repair Fund instead of the State Highway Fund. In 2021, we noted receipt 228-2021 was not properly allocated between funds. \$20.86 should have been allocated to the Street Construction, Maintenance, and Repair Fund instead of the State Highway Fund. No other exceptions noted.

Property Taxes and Intergovernmental Receipts (Continued)

- c. We inspected the Revenue Ledger to determine whether the receipt was recorded in the proper year. The receipt was recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.
- 2. We inspected the Revenue Ledger to determine whether it included two real estate tax receipts for 2021 and 2020. The Revenue Ledger included the proper number of tax receipts for each year. We found no exceptions.

Other Receipts

We selected 10 other receipts from the year ended December 31, 2021 and 10 other receipts from the year ended 2020 and:

- a. Agreed the receipt amount recorded in the Revenue Ledger to supporting documentation. The amounts agreed.
- b. Confirmed the amounts charged complied with rates in force during the period. We found no exceptions.
- c. Inspected the Revenue Ledger to determine the receipt was posted to the proper funds and was recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

Water Fund, Sewer Fund and Fire Hydrant Fund

- 1. We selected 10 Water, Sewer and Fire Hydrant Fund collection cash receipts from the year ended December 31, 2021 and 10 Water, Sewer and Fire Hydrant Fund collection cash receipts from the year ended 2020 recorded in the Billing Register and determined whether the:
 - a. Receipt amount per the Deposit Tape agreed to the amount recorded to the credit of the customer's account in the Past Due List Report. The amounts agreed.
 - b. Amount charged for the related billing period:
 - i. Agreed with the debit to accounts receivable in the Past Due List Report for the billing period. We found no exceptions.
 - ii. Complied with rates in force during the engagement period multiplied by the consumption amount recorded for the billing period, plus any applicable late penalties, plus unpaid prior billings. We found no exceptions.
 - c. Receipt was posted to the proper funds, and was recorded in the year received. We found no exceptions.
- 2. We observed the Past Due List Report.
 - a. This report listed \$7,504 and \$4,946 of accounts receivable as of December 31, 2021 and 2020, respectively.
 - b. Of the total receivables reported in the preceding procedure, \$3,876 and \$3,191 were recorded as more than 60 days delinquent.
- 3. We observed the Adjustment Report.
 - a. This report listed a total of (\$4,063) and (\$19,615) non-cash receipts adjustments for the years ended December 31, 2021 and 2020, respectively.
 - b. We selected five non-cash adjustments from 2021 and five non-cash adjustments from 2020, and observed that the adjustments were not approved by the Board of Public Affairs.

Debt

1. From the prior audit documentation, we observed the following bonds and loans were outstanding as of December 31, 2019. These amounts agreed to the Village's January 1, 2020 balances on the summary we used in procedure 3.

Issue	Principal outstanding as of December 31, 2019:		
OPWC-CT70E Loan	\$1,371		
OPWC-CT67B Loan	\$5,102		
OPWC-CR27G Loan	\$108,126		
OPWC-CTA4M Loan	\$128,361		
USDA-Water System Revenue Bonds	\$1,865,400		
People Saving Bank Roof Loan	\$15,033		

- 2. We inquired of management, and inspected the Revenue Ledger and Appropriation Ledger for evidence of debt issued during 2021 or 2020 or debt payment activity during 2021 or 2020. All debt agreed to the summary we used in procedure 3.
- 3. We obtained a summary of bond and loan debt activity for 2021 and 2020 and agreed principal and interest payments from the related debt amortization schedules to General and Water Utility Operations Fund payments reported in the Appropriation Ledger. We also compared the date the debt service payments were due to the date the Village made the payments. In 2021 and 2020, we noted the USDA-Water System Revenue Bond payments, \$67,509.88 and \$67,412.75, respectively, were not recorded in the accounting system. We also noted in 2021 and 2020, the principal and interest payments for the OPWC Loans and People Savings Bank Roof Loan were not properly classified. We found no other exceptions.

Payroll Cash Disbursements

- 1. We selected one payroll check for five employees from 2021 and one payroll check for five employees from 2020 from the Wage Detail Report and:
 - a. We compared the hours and pay rate, or salary recorded in the Wage Detail Report to supporting documentation (timecard, legislatively or statutorily-approved rate or salary).
 - i. We inspected the employees' personnel files for the Retirement system, Federal, State & Local income tax withholding authorization.
 - ii. We agreed these items to the information used to compute gross and net pay related to this check.

We found no exceptions.

- b. We inspected the fund and account codes to which the check was posted to determine the posting was allowable based on the employees' duties as documented in the employees' personnel files. We found no exceptions.
- c. We confirmed the payment was posted to the proper year. We found no exceptions.

Payroll Cash Disbursements (Continued)

2. We inspected the last remittance of tax and retirement withholdings for the year ended December 31, 2021 to confirm remittances were timely paid, and if the amounts paid agreed to the amounts withheld, plus the employer's share where applicable, during the final withholding period during 2021. We observed the following:

Withholding (plus employer share, where applicable)	Date Due	Date Paid	Amount Due	Amount Paid
Federal income taxes & Medicare (and social security, for employees not enrolled in pension system)	January 31, 2022	January 10, 2022	\$2,180.33	\$2,180.33
State income taxes	January 15, 2022	January 10, 2022	\$486.02	\$486.02
OPERS retirement	January 30, 2022	January 25, 2022	\$4,269.30	\$4,269.30
OP&F retirement	January 31, 2022	December 27, 2021	\$1,025.64	\$1,025.64

We found no exceptions.

Non-Payroll Cash Disbursements

- 1. We selected 10 disbursements from the Appropriation Ledger for the year ended December 31, 2021 and 10 from the year ended 2020 and determined whether:
 - a. The disbursements were for a proper public purpose. We found no exceptions.
 - b. The check number, date, payee name and amount recorded on the returned, canceled check agreed to the check number, date, payee name and amount recorded in the Appropriation Ledger and to the names and amounts on the supporting invoices. We found no exceptions.
 - c. The payment was posted to a fund consistent with the restricted purpose for which the fund's cash can be used. We found no exceptions.
 - d. The clerk treasurer certified disbursements requiring certification or issued a *Then and Now Certificate*, as required by Ohio Rev. Code § 5705.41(D). We found three instances in 2021, and two instances in 2020 where the certification date was after the vendor invoice date, and there was also no evidence that a *Then and Now Certificate* was issued. Ohio Rev. Code § 5705.41(D) requires certifying at the time of a commitment, which should be on or before the invoice date, unless a *Then and Now Certificate* is used. Because we did not inspect all disbursements requiring certification, our report provides no assurance whether or not additional similar errors occurred.

Mayor's Court Transactions

- 1. We selected five cases from the court cash book and agreed the payee and amount posted to the:
 - a. Duplicate receipt book.
 - b. Docket, including comparing the total fine paid to the judgment issued by the judge (i.e. mayor)
 - c. Case file.

The amounts recorded in the cash book, receipts book, docket and case file agreed.

Mayor's Court Transactions (Continued)

- 2. From the cash book, we selected one month from the year ended December 31, 2021 and one month from the year ended 2020 and determined whether:
 - a. The monthly sum of fines and costs collected for those months agreed to the amounts reported as remitted to the Village, State or other applicable government in the following month. We found no exceptions.
 - b. The totals remitted for these two months per the cash book agreed to the returned canceled checks. The check number, date, payee name and amount recorded on the returned, canceled check agreed to the check number, date, payee name and amount recorded in the cash book.

Compliance - Budgetary

- 1. Ohio Rev. Code § 5705.41(B) prohibits expenditures (disbursements plus certified commitments) from exceeding appropriations. We compared total expenditures to total approved appropriations (Ohio Rev. Code §§ 5705.38 and 5705.40) plus any carryover appropriations for the years ended December 31, 2021 and 2020 for the General, Other Special Revenue and Fire Hydrant Replacement Fund as recorded in the Appropriation Status Report. Expenditures did not exceed appropriations.
- 2. We inspected the Cash Summary by Fund Report for the years ended December 31, 2021 and 2020 for negative cash fund balance. Ohio Rev. Code § 5705.10(I) provides that money paid into a fund must be used for the purposes for which such fund is established. As a result, a negative fund cash balance indicates that money from one fund was used to cover the expenses of another. There were no funds having negative cash fund balances.

Sunshine Law Compliance

- 1. We inquired with Village management and determined that the Village did not have a public records policy during the engagement period as required by Ohio Rev. Code § 149.43(E)(2).
- 2. We selected all public records requests from the engagement period and inspected each request to determine the following:
 - a. The Village responded to the requests, but the Village did not have a public records policy with an approved response time.
 - b. The Village did not have any denied public records requests during the engagement period.
 - c. The Village did not have any public records requests with redactions during the engagement period.
- 3. We inquired whether the Village had a records retention schedule, and observed that it was readily available to the public as required by Ohio Rev. Code § 149.43(B)(2). We found no exceptions.
- 4. We inquired with Village management and determined that the Village did not have a public records policy during the engagement period therefore could not provide it to the records custodian/manager as required by Ohio Rev. Code § 149.43(E)(2).
- 5. We inquired with Village management and determined that the Village did not have a public records policy during the engagement period therefore it could not be included in a policy manual as required by Ohio Rev. Code § 149.43(E)(2).

Sunshine Law Compliance (Continued)

- 6. We inquired with Village management and determined that the Village did not have a public records policy during the engagement period therefore it could not be displayed in all the branches of the Village as required by Ohio Rev. Code § 149.43(E)(2).
- 7. We inquired with Village management and determined that the Village did not have any applications for record disposal submitted to the Records Commission during the engagement period.
- 8. We inspected individual training certificate and determined whether each elected official in accordance with Ohio Rev. Code § 149.43(E)(1) (or his/her designee) successfully attended a certified three-hour Public Records Training for each term of office as required by Ohio Rev. Code § 109.43(B). We found no exceptions.
- 9. We inspected the public notices for the public meetings held during the engagement period and determined the Village notified the general public and news media of when and where meetings during the engagement period were to be held as required by Ohio Rev. Code § 121.22(F). We found no exceptions.
- 10. We inspected the minutes of public meetings during the engagement period in accordance with Ohio Rev. Code § 121.22(C) and determined whether they were:
 - a. Prepared a file is created following the date of the meeting
 - b. Filed placed with similar documents in an organized manner
 - c. Maintained retained, at a minimum, for the engagement period
 - d. Open to public inspection available for public viewing or request.

We found no exceptions.

- 11. We inspected the minutes from the engagement period in accordance with Ohio Rev. Code § 121.22(G) and determined the following:
 - a. Executive sessions were only held at regular or special meetings.
 - b. The purpose for the meetings and going into an executive session (when applicable) correlated with one of the matters listed in Ohio Rev. Code § 121.22(G).
 - c. Formal governing board actions were adopted in open meetings.

We found no exceptions.

HB 481 / HB 614 Coronavirus Relief Fund (CRF) Compliance

- 1. We inquired of management and those charged with governance and documented how the Village decided to spend their CRF allocations and how the Village documented their compliance with the CARES Act three-prong test. We then selected five transactions including payroll, non-payroll, and subgrant/subloan transactions. and determined the Village:
 - a. Spent the CRF money (including additional distributions or redistributions) in accordance with use of funds requirements and
 - b. Maintained appropriate supporting documentation.

We found no exceptions.

We inquired with management and inspected the Appropriation Ledger to determine the local government did not have an unencumbered balance of money to pay back to the county treasurer. We found no exceptions.

Other Compliance

- 1. Ohio Rev. Code § 117.38 requires villages to file their financial information in the HINKLE system within 60 days after the close of the fiscal year. This statute also permits the Auditor of State to extend the deadline for filing a financial report and establish terms and conditions for any such extension. Auditor of State established policies, regarding the filing of complete financial statements, as defined in AOS Bulletin 2015-007 in the Hinkle System. We confirmed the Village filed their complete financial statements, as defined by AOS Bulletin 2015-007 and Auditor of State established policy within the allotted timeframe for the years ended December 31, 2021 and 2020 in the Hinkle system. Financial information for 2021 was filed on March 4, 2022 which was not within the allotted timeframe. We found no other exceptions.
- 2. We inquired of the clerk treasurer and inspected the Fiscal Integrity Act Portal (http://www.ohioauditor.gov/fiscalintegrity/default.html) to determine whether the clerk treasurer obtained the training required by Ohio Rev. Code §§ 507.12 and 733.81. Clerk Treasurer did not obtain the required ethics training.

We were engaged by the Village to perform this agreed-upon procedures engagement and conducted our engagement in accordance with the attestation standards established by the AICPA and the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*. We were not engaged to, and did not conduct an examination or review engagement, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion or conclusion, respectively, on the Village's receipts, disbursements, balances and compliance with certain laws and regulations. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion or conclusion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

We are required to be independent of the Village and to meet our ethical responsibilities, in accordance with the ethical requirements established by the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards* related to our agreed upon procedures engagement.

Perry and Associates

Very Marcutez CATS A. C.

Certified Public Accountants, A.C.

Marietta, Ohio

June 27, 2022



VILLAGE OF MATAMORAS

WASHINGTON COUNTY

AUDITOR OF STATE OF OHIO CERTIFICATION

This is a true and correct copy of the report, which is required to be filed pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in the Office of the Ohio Auditor of State in Columbus, Ohio.



Certified for Release 9/6/2022

88 East Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215 Phone: 614-466-4514 or 800-282-0370