Talawanda City School District

Performance Audit

March 26, 2020
To the Talawanda City School District community,

The Auditor of State’s Office recently completed a performance audit for the Talawanda City School District (the District) at the District's request. This review was conducted by the Ohio Performance Team and provides an independent assessment of operations within select functional areas.

This performance audit report contains recommendations, supported by detailed analysis, to enhance the District’s overall economy, efficiency, and/or effectiveness. This report has been provided to the District and its contents have been discussed with the appropriate elected officials and District management. The District has been encouraged to use the recommendations contained in the report and to perform its own assessment of operations and develop alternative management strategies independent of the performance audit report.

This data-driven analysis of operations provides the District valuable information which can be used to make important financial decisions. Additional resources related to performance audits are available on the Ohio Auditor of State’s website.

This performance audit report can be accessed online through the Auditor of State’s website at http://www.ohioauditor.gov and choosing the “Search” option.

Sincerely,

Keith Faber
Auditor of State
March 26, 2020
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Introduction

The public expects and deserves government entities to be good stewards of taxpayer dollars. As Ohio’s school districts face progressively higher costs of doing business and uncertainty related to state and local revenue, it is increasingly important to ensure efficiency of operations. One tool that can assist a district’s leadership in decision making is a performance audit. Performance audits, provided by the Ohio Auditor of State’s Ohio Performance Team (OPT), use data-driven analyses in order to identify opportunities for improved operations and cost reductions. While we have the authority to initiate a performance audit for school districts facing financial distress, any school district can request, and benefit from, an audit.

Talawanda City School District

In 2019, officials from Talawanda City School District (TCSD or the District), located in Butler County, requested a performance audit from OPT as a proactive measure to improve its declining financial condition. While the District is not facing immediate fiscal distress and projects a General Fund ending balance of more than $21.1 million in fiscal year1 (FY) 2020, it identified ongoing deficit spending in its most recent five year forecast.2 The identified operating deficits are projected to reduce the General Fund balance to approximately $4.5 million by FY 2024.

Balancing a budget is a delicate act, but ultimately there are two primary components – revenues and expenditures. Due to the nature of revenue generally being outside the control of school districts, our audit, conducted at the request of the District, identified several areas where expenditures could be reduced in order to address the operating deficit and prolong fiscal solvency. The recommendations, which we presented to TCSD, are based on a combination of industry standards and peer district analysis.

We typically identify three peer groups to be used in school district performance audits: Local Peers, Primary Peers, and Transportation Peers. Because TCSD is among a small number of districts that overlap boundaries with other

---

1 The District operates on a fiscal year beginning on July 1st and ending June 30th.
2 Five year forecasts are submitted to the Ohio Department of Education each fiscal year in November and updated every May.
large public entities (Miami University, a four-year public institution, is located within the District) a fourth peer group was analyzed for this report – University Peers.

Revenue

School districts in Ohio receive funding through a variety of sources including local property taxes, local income taxes, state funding, and grants, with the majority of funding typically coming from local property taxes and state funding. It is important to note that the amount of funding a district can receive from the State is based on a variety of formulas and laws. The formula which determines the amount granted takes into account student enrollment and the relative wealth of the district compared to statewide income and property valuations. However, while the formula determines a potential amount to grant districts, individual school districts may not receive the full calculated state funding due to limitations in appropriations. In other words, the formula may calculate more revenue than what was appropriated by the General Assembly. These school districts are known as “capped” districts, since the amount of revenue received is reduced, or capped, to remain within appropriations. School districts are also guaranteed to not receive a lower amount of state funding from one year to the next. School districts receiving more than what the formula calculates are referred to as being on the “guarantee”. The District was not subject to cap restrictions nor on the guarantee in FY 2019 and received the full amount of calculated state funding.

Ohio law exempts public colleges and universities from property taxes, because Miami University is located within the District, the amount of local property tax the District is able to raise is reduced. In order to offset the limitations on property tax revenue, TCSD also has a 1 percent income tax to provide the District additional revenue.

In FY 2019, the District received $35 million in total revenue. The majority of this funding, more than 80 percent, came from general property taxes, income taxes, and unrestricted grants-in-aid (primarily State foundation funding).

---

3 Public school funding was frozen at the FY 2019 level in the state operating budget for FY 2020 and 2021. However, school districts are awarded additional aid for the following categories: Student Wellness and Success, Enrollment Growth Supplement, Preschool Special Education, and Special Education Transportation. In FY 2020 TCSD is receiving an additional $488,302 for these additional aid items. Students Wellness and Success funds are to be coded to a restricted state fund, and is not shown on the five-year forecast.
Revenue Comparisons

ODE uses the Local Tax Effort Index in order to compare taxpayer support between school districts in Ohio. This index provides an understanding of a community’s tax burden compared to other districts while taking into account economic demographics; a value below the state average of 1.0 indicates that a district’s residents provide a smaller portion of their available income to public education whereas a value above 1.0 indicates the community pays a larger portion of their available income to public education compared to other school districts. The index is updated by ODE annually as part of its District Profile Reports, also known as the Cupp Report, to reflect changes in local conditions from year to year.4

We compared the District’s local tax effort to the state average and both local and primary peers. TCSD has a local tax effort of 1.19, which higher than all three comparisons. This indicates that the residents of the District pay more of their available income in taxes than the state average as well as both comparisons groups.

Another way to compare funding sources between Ohio student districts is using revenue per pupil, broken down by the type of funding. TCSD receives $13,196 per pupil, with 60.2 percent coming from local revenue sources. Because TCSD has a large public university within its boundaries, we used the University Peers group for an additional comparison. The graphic on the following page shows revenue sources per pupil for the District compared to the average for primary, local, and university peer groups.

---

4 The FY 2019 Local Tax Effort Index reflects revenue raised in Tax Year (TY) 2017, which is collected in 2018, or the first half of FY 2019.
Compared to all three peer groups, and despite not collecting tax revenue from Miami University, TCSD has more revenue per pupil from local sources both on a percentage and total dollar basis.

**Local Tax Revenue**

Property taxes levied by Ohio schools are subject to restrictions in the Ohio Constitution\(^5\) and Ohio Revised Code (ORC)\(^6\). Each school district receives a portion of 10 mills of property tax that is levied on every parcel of property in Ohio. This is known as inside millage and school districts collect additional revenue on this millage when property values increase. Additionally, school districts are permitted to levy taxes in addition to inside millage by a vote of its residents. This is known as outside millage. Inside millage and outside millage levies are used to fund the school district’s operations. Outside millage is subject to what is known as tax reduction factors, which restrict the revenue raised by outside millage property taxes to what is raised in the first year of collections\(^7\). There is a minimum current expense\(^8\) millage floor of 20 mills, in which tax reduction factors no longer apply. Essentially, the 20-mill floor eliminates the effect of tax reduction factors and allow school districts to collect additional revenue due to property value increases up to 20 mills.

In Tax Year 2018 (collection in 2019) the District received 2.19 mills for its General Fund current expenses and effective, after tax reduction factors, outside current expense millage of 17.89 mills for a total effective current expense rate of 20.08 mills, placing it at the 20-mill

---

\(^5\) Article XII of the Ohio Constitution  
\(^6\) ORC § 5705.10 and ORC § 5705.02  
\(^7\) ORC § 319.301  
\(^8\) Current expense refers to revenue generated from levies that is not restricted in their use. It does not include bonds or levies that generate revenues for restricted funds, such as Permanent Improvement.
Floor. As such, the District is not subject to further tax reduction factors and sees the full increase in revenue whenever property values increase following reappraisals and updates from the Butler County Auditor.

**Noteworthy Accomplishment**

The District has developed formal strategic and capital plans that guide long-term decision making. As a part of its capital planning efforts, TCSD has identified its capital asset needs and developed spending goals for its Permanent Improvement Fund. In 2013, the District received a comprehensive facilities master plan from the Ohio Facilities Construction Commission (OFCC) that has since guided its capital developments. The District also commissioned a facilities condition assessment in 2018.

**Results of the Audit**

We identified the following scope areas to conduct detailed review and analyses: Financial Management, Human Resources, Facilities, Transportation, and Food Service. Based on industry standards and peer analysis, we identified ten recommendations which would result in reduced expenses or improve the District’s operational management. In addition to the recommendations, we provided several analyses in each scope area for the District so that they could have an in-depth understanding of their operations in comparison to specified criteria. Our recommendations and additional analyses can be used by TCSD officials to assist in achieving efficient and economic operations of the District.

**Financial Forecast with Performance Audit Recommendations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FY 2021</th>
<th>FY 2022</th>
<th>FY 2023</th>
<th>FY 2024</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Original Ending Fund Balance</td>
<td>$18,251,158</td>
<td>$15,483,359</td>
<td>$10,630,533</td>
<td>$4,458,851</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative Balance of Performance Audit Recommendations</td>
<td>$3,653,919</td>
<td>$8,009,618</td>
<td>$12,451,842</td>
<td>$16,984,980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revised Ending Fund Balance</td>
<td>$21,905,077</td>
<td>$23,492,977</td>
<td>$23,082,375</td>
<td>$21,443,831</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Although the District should seek to implement recommendations as soon as practicable there may be a reasonable delay in doing so. As a result, cost savings for R.4, R.5, and R.6 are assumed implemented in FY 2022 as that is the first year following expiration of the collective bargaining agreements.

The recommendations include reductions in staffing, renegotiations of existing employee contracts, and the renegotiation of contracts with outside vendors. The total impact of these recommendations could save the District an average of more than $4.3 million in each year of implementation over the forecasted period.

---

9 The District allocated 2.00 mills of its inside millage to the Permanent Improvement Fund. Millage dedicated to the Permanent Improvement Fund is exempt from calculations to determine the 20-mill floor.
Financial Management

Any organization needs to consider both short-term needs and long-term goals when developing policies and procedures related to financial management. This requires strategic planning in order to identify the best use of available resources. School districts in particular must have sound planning processes in place so that they can effectively and transparently provide services to their residents. We reviewed TCSD’s financial management policies in order to determine if there were areas for improved management.

The District submits a five-year forecast to ODE on an annual basis; we analyzed their forecast to determine if they were accurately estimating their future financial position. We determined that the District is doing a reasonable and accurate job of forecasting and that the existing forecast is a reliable source of information in order to identify potential cost savings. In addition to a reasonable forecasting process, the District also has a formalized strategic capital plan which allows for the identification of future spending needs and guides capital developments. The ability to accurately reflect both future revenues and expenditures along with large capital projects will allow the District to provide citizens with transparency regarding its fiscal health.

Recommendation 1: Consider reducing the General Fund subsidy of extracurricular activities to the local peer level

Financial Implication

Reducing expenditures and/or increasing revenue to bring the General Fund subsidy of extracurricular activities in line with the local peer average would save the District an average of $367,200 annually in each year of implementation.

Methodology and Analysis

In FY 2019, TCSD spent approximately $1 million on student extracurricular activities. More than $812,500, or 81.0 percent of expenditures, were subsidized by the General Fund. On a per pupil basis this equates to a General Fund expenditure of $270.94 per pupil. We compared the District’s per pupil General Fund subsidy for extracurricular activities to local peer averages. The local peer average was $148.49 per pupil, a difference of $122.45 per pupil. Lowering per pupil spending to the peer average would reduce the total General Fund subsidy by $367,200.

Pay-to-participate fees were eliminated by the District beginning in FY 2019. As a result, the District’s net cost per pupil for FY 2019 was $43.72 higher than the average of FY 2017 and FY 2018. Reinstating the

\[
\text{Per Pupil Subsidy} = \frac{(\text{Revenue} - \text{Expenditures})}{\text{Enrollment}}
\]

To see our math, click here.

Efficient • Effective • Transparent
pay-to-participate fees could generate approximately $131,100 of revenue.

**Conclusion**

The District subsidizes its extracurricular activities on a per pupil basis more than the local peers. Reinstating the pay-to-participate fee could help offset some of the District’s General Fund subsidy.

TCSD could further offset the General Fund subsidy through the following measures:

- Further increasing pay-to-participate fees;
- Increasing admissions and sales;
- Increasing booster club spending;
- Reducing the supplemental salary schedule; and/or
- Eliminating programs.

Instituting any of these measures would help reduce the General Fund subsidy, allowing more resources to be dedicated to student instruction. However, the District leadership should consider the families and students within TCSD and the financial impact of having to pay increased fees.
Human Resources

Human resource expenditures are significant to both the operational and financial conditions within school districts. Specifically, personnel costs (i.e., salaries and benefits) accounted for 71.9 percent of TCSD’s General Fund expenditures in FY 2019, a significant impact on the District’s budget and financial condition. OPT reviewed TCSD’s staffing levels, salaries, insurance benefits, and collective bargaining agreement (CBA) provisions compared to peer districts and Ohio Revised Codes (ORC) and Ohio Administrative Code (OAC) requirements to determine areas where the District could save money through reductions.10

District Staffing Comparisons

School districts report staffing to the Ohio Department of Education through the Education Management Information System (EMIS). The information is collected in EMIS based on several codes related to job category and function. We gather this information and compare it to primary peers in order to provide data driven recommendations.

While the report highlights areas where staffing could be reduced based on peer averages, we analyzed several other categories which did not result in a recommendation. In the areas where there is no recommendation, TCSD has staffing levels in line with, or below, primary peer averages.

Click here for our full staffing analysis.

10 Both Title 1 and Special Education staffing is excluded from our analysis due to various requirements. Appendix B contains additional detail regarding our methodology for staffing analysis.
Recommendation 2: Eliminate administrative and administrative support positions above the peer average

Financial Impact
By reducing administrative and administrative support staff to be in line with the primary peer averages, the District could save an average of $407,400 in each year of the forecasted period.11

Methodology and Analysis
Staffing levels for the District were identified and compared to primary peer averages. A Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)12 was used to identify staffing levels, based on ODE reporting guidelines.

Because TCSD and the primary peers are not identical in size and in order to make data-driven decisions, the data was normalized on a per 1,000 student level.13 This means that rather than analyzing raw staffing numbers we compared how many staff in each category for every 1,000 students there were.

Areas where the District has administrative or administrative support staffing levels above the primary peer average and could make reductions include:

- 1.0 FTE central office administrators
- 0.5 FTE building administrators
- 0.5 FTE audio-visual staff
- 0.5 FTE publicity relations positions
- 2.5 FTE building office support

Reductions in staffing would bring the District in line with primary peer averages based on FY 2020 data.

Central Office Administrators
Central Office Administrators generally include district leadership who lead or coordinate programs on a district-wide basis. TCSD employs 7.0 FTE central office administrators, by

11 The value of the savings for all staffing recommendations were based on the lowest tenured employee salaries and benefits inflated over the forecasted period for contractual wage increases and increases in the costs of benefits. Benefits include medical, prescription drug, dental, vision, and life insurance, Medicare, and retirement.
12 ODE defines full-time equivalency as “…the ratio between the amount of time normally required to perform a part-time assignment and the time normally required to perform the same assignment full-time. The number 1.00 represents one full-time assignment. One (1.0) FTE is equal to the number of hours in a regular working day for that position, as defined by the district.” (ODE Education Management Information System Manual, October 2019).
13 See Appendix C, Human Resources for more information on the staffing analysis used for this recommendation.
eliminating 1.0 FTE central office administrator the District could save an average of $128,800 in each year of implementation over the forecasted period, bringing the District’s baseline staffing ratio to a level consistent with the primary peer average.

**Building Administrators**

Building Administrators is the broad category for individuals who are responsible for the daily operations of a school building, such as a Principal, Assistant Principal, or Dean of Students. TCSD employs 8.0 FTE building administrators across its five buildings. By eliminating 0.5 FTE building administrator the District could save an average of $73,400 in each year of implementation over the forecasted period, bringing the District’s baseline staffing ratio to a level consistent with the primary peer average.¹⁴

**Audio-Visual Staff**

TCSD employs 1.0 FTE audio-visual staff position. By eliminating 0.5 FTE audio-visual staff position the District could save an average of $48,800 in each year of implementation over the forecasted period, bringing the District’s baseline staffing ratio to a level consistent with the primary peer average.

**Publicity Relations Staff**

TCSD employs 1.0 FTE publicity relations staff position. By eliminating 0.5 FTE publicity relations staff position the District could save an average of $67,700 in each year of implementation over the forecasted period, bringing the District’s baseline staffing ratio to a level consistent with the primary peer average.

**Building Office Support Staff**

Building Office Support Staff is a range of positions that provide administrative or clerical support to individual school buildings. These positions could include school secretaries, bookkeepers, or individuals responsible for records management. TCSD employs 14.0 FTE office staff positions across its five buildings. By eliminating 2.5 FTE building office support staff position the District could save an average of $88,700 in each year of implementation over the forecasted period, bringing the District’s baseline staffing ratio to a level consistent with the primary peer average.

---

¹⁴ The primary peer group had a similar number of buildings when compared to TCSD. For purposes of analysis, there were 5.0 identified buildings for TCSD and 4.9 buildings for the primary peer average.
Recommendation 3: Reduce direct student education and support positions above the peer average

Financial Implication

By reducing direct education and student support staff to be in line with the primary peer averages, the District could save an average of $1.9 million in each year of the forecasted period.\(^{15}\)

Methodology and Analysis

As with Recommendation 2, staffing levels for the District were identified and compared to primary peer averages on a normalized FTE per 1,000 student basis. Areas where TCSD could reduce direct student education and support positions include:

- 11.0 FTE general education teachers
- 1.0 FTE gifted and talented teachers
- 1.5 FTE curriculum specialists
- 2.5 FTE counselors
- 2.5 FTE other educational positions
- 1.5 FTE psychologists
- 2.5 FTE social workers
- 2.5 FTE library staff positions
- 1.0 teaching aide positions
- 4.0 monitor positions

These recommended reductions in staffing would bring the District in line with primary peer averages based on FY 2020 data.

General Education Teachers

TCSD employs 140.15 FTE general education teachers and has a resulting student to teacher ratio of 18.96 students for every teacher. By eliminating 11.0 FTE general education teachers the District could save an average of $777,000 in each year of implementation over the forecasted period, bringing the District’s baseline staffing ratio to a level consistent with the primary peer average and increasing its student to teacher ratio to 20.57 students for every teacher. Implementing this recommendation would not cause the District’s student to teacher ratio to exceed the state minimum standard of 25 students for every teacher.

---

\(^{15}\) The value of the savings for all staffing recommendations were based on the lowest tenured employee salaries and benefits inflated over the forecasted period for contractual wage increases and increases in the costs of benefits. Benefits include medical, prescription drug, dental, vision, and life insurance, Medicare, and retirement.
Gifted and Talented Teachers
TCSD employs 3.0 FTE gifted and talented teachers. By eliminating 1.0 FTE gifted and talented teacher the District could save an average of $78,900 in each year of implementation over the forecasted period, bringing the District’s baseline staffing ratio to a level consistent with the primary peer average.

Curriculum Specialists
TCSD employs 2.0 FTE curriculum specialists. By eliminating 1.5 FTE curriculum specialists, the District could save an average of $162,100 in each year of implementation over the forecasted period, bringing the District’s baseline staffing ratio to a level consistent with the primary peer average.

Counselors
TCSD employs 9.62 FTE counselors. By eliminating 2.5 FTE counselors, the District could save an average of $126,700 in each year of implementation over the forecasted period, bringing the District’s baseline staffing ratio to a level consistent with the primary peer average.

Other Educational Positions
TCSD employs 3.0 FTE other educational positions consisting of an English Second Language (ESL) Coordinator, Wellness Coordinator, and Student Assistance Program (SAP) Coordinator. By eliminating 2.5 FTE other educational positions, the District could save an average of $248,400 in each year of implementation over the forecasted period, bringing the District’s baseline staffing ratio to a level consistent with the primary peer average.

Psychologists
TCSD employs 3.0 FTE psychologists. By eliminating 1.5 FTE psychologists, the District could save an average of $148,900 in each year of implementation over the forecasted period, bringing the District’s baseline staffing ratio to a level consistent with the primary peer average.

Social Workers
TCSD employs 3.0 FTE social workers. By eliminating 2.5 FTE social workers, the District could save an average of $209,600 in each year of implementation over the forecasted period, bringing the District’s baseline staffing ratio to a level consistent with the primary peer average.

Library Staff Positions
TCSD employs 6.71 FTE library staff positions. By eliminating 2.5 FTE library staff positions, the District could save an average of $84,800 in each year of implementation over the forecasted period, bringing the District’s baseline staffing ratio to a level consistent with the primary peer average.
Teaching Aides

TCSD employs 10.98 FTE teaching aides. By eliminating 1.0 FTE teaching aide, the District could save an average of $21,300 in each year of implementation over the forecasted period, bringing the District’s baseline staffing ratio to a level consistent with the primary peer average.

Monitoring

TCSD employs 8.44 FTE monitoring positions. By eliminating 4.0 FTE monitoring positions, the District could save an average of $78,000 in each year of implementation over the forecasted period, bringing the District’s baseline staffing ratio to a level consistent with the primary peer average. It is important to note that the District’s comparatively higher monitor staffing is likely at least in part due to a provision in the certificated CBA that precludes teaching staff from participating in cafeteria monitoring duties, which could necessitate a higher number of dedicated monitoring staff than what would be otherwise needed. 16

16 None of the local peer contracts preclude teachers from cafeteria monitoring duties.
Recommendation 4: Negotiate a salary base freeze for members of the Talawanda Educator’s Association

Financial Implication

By negotiating a salary base freeze for FY 2022 the District could save $986,400 over a three year period, or approximately $328,800 annually.

Methodology and Analysis

The Talawanda Educator’s Association (TEA) has a collective bargaining agreement with the District which governs certificated staff. Under the TEA collective bargaining agreement, members received a 2.75 percent base increase in FY 2020 and will receive a 2.0 percent base increase in FY 2021. The existing contract expires at the end of FY 2021.

We reviewed certificated salaries over a 30-year career and compared them to local peer averages for each education level indexed in the salary schedule. The total career compensation for TCSD certificated staff is higher than the peer average for all education levels, ranging from 4.8 to 9.2 percent, based on our analysis. Based on the number of employees the District

---

17 Employees covered under the District’s certificated CBA include regularly employed, nonsupervisory certificated employees such as: regular classroom teachers, special education teachers, vocational teachers (not employed by the Butler County JVS), counselors, media specialists, librarians, nurses, individual/small group instructors, psychologists, speech/language pathologists, and instructional leaders.

18 The following education levels are indexed in the salary schedule: Bachelor’s Degree (BA), Bachelor’s Degree + 15 semester hours (BA+15), Bachelor’s Degree + 150 semester hours (BA+150), Master’s Degree (MA), Master’s Degree + 15 semester hours (MA+15), and Master’s Degree + 30 semester hours (MA+30).
currently has and their current salary schedule, TCSD pays approximately $890,000 more in salary compensation than the local peer average annually.

In order to bring salaries for members of the TEA more in line with the peer average, the District could consider implementing a base salary freeze for FY 2022. For the forecasted period through FY 2024, this would result in an average annual savings of approximately $328,800, and a cumulative savings of approximately $986,400. This freeze would be subject to the negotiations of the new collective bargaining agreement with TEA.

**Conclusion**

The District could generate savings of $328,800 annually by implementing a base salary freeze for FY 2022. This recommendation is subject to negotiations with the TEA and cannot be implemented until the expiration of the current collective bargaining agreement in July 2021.
Recommendation 5: Reduce employer cost of dental insurance

Financial Implication

Reducing the District’s cost for dental insurance premiums to the average for the Cincinnati region could save the District approximately $57,200 in each year of implementation during the forecasted period.¹⁹

Methodology and Analysis

The State Employee Relations Board (SERB) releases information related to public employee benefits. The premiums paid by TCSD were compared to the Cincinnati regional averages for health, vision, and dental insurance. Our review showed that the District has a higher employer cost than the regional average for dental insurance.

TCSD purchases dental insurance through Delta Dental and offers basic, standard, or premium plans to certificated and classified employees. While the respective plans offered to employees are the same, the District pays 85 percent of full-time certificated employee premiums and 100 percent of full-time classified employee premiums. Dental plans for part-time employees have prorated employee contributions and therefore are not included in this analysis.

Our analysis compared the premium plans for full-time certificated and full-time classified staff to the Cincinnati regional average. We selected the premium plans as they had the highest participation rate and are the highest cost. We found that both the total insurance premium and percentage paid by the employer were higher than the regional average for all dental insurance plans.

For the certificated employee plans, the percentage paid by employers is similar – while TCSD pays 85 percent, the regional average is approximately 81.5 percent for individual plans and 84.8 percent for family plans. However, the District plan in total is more expensive than the regional average which results in just more than $27,000 in additional expense.

The regional average indicates that employees pay some amount of insurance premium for comparable classified plans. TCSD purchases a plan which is more expensive than the regional average, and pays 100%

¹⁹ The District predicts a 5.0 percent increase in insurance costs annually. The cost savings applied to the five-year forecast are therefore inflated by 5.0 percent for each forecasted year.
percent of the premium, resulting in more than $30,000 in additional expense. This could be reduced by either finding a less expensive dental insurance plan, or by requiring classified employees pay a portion of the premium.

**Conclusion**

TCSD could generate dental insurance savings of approximately $57,200 annually by bringing its employer contributions in line with the Cincinnati regional average. This could be done through purchasing a less expensive plan in line with the regional average or by increasing the employee portion of the premium. Any changes to the employer/employee cost share, however, are subject to negotiation and savings would not be realized until FY 2022.
Facilities

The changing landscape of education requires periodic reviews of facility usage and maintenance to ensure that a district is using limited resources wisely. We reviewed TCSD’s use of existing facilities in comparison to best practices and industry standards to determine if there were any areas for improvement.

Specifically, our office reviewed the areas of grounds keeping, custodial, and maintenance staffing. These areas each have unique benchmarks which are set by industry leaders and can be used for comparative purposes.

Maintaining School Buildings

Our office reviewed the District’s staffing levels for grounds keeping, custodial, and maintenance positions. Each of these areas have specific staffing benchmarks set by industry leaders that can be used for comparative purposes. We also looked at the District’s unique custodial operations which use a combination of District staff and contracted services, which resulted in a multi-tiered recommendation.

Additional information regarding out facilities analyses can be found here.

Recommendation 6: Outsource all custodial operations

Financial Impact

Contracting out the in-house custodial services could save the District an average of $262,700 in each year of implementation, beginning in FY 2022.

Methodology and Analysis

TCSD has five school buildings, Board of Education office, field house, bus garage, maintenance garage, and professional development center. All buildings are maintained by custodial staff through a mixed service model. Custodial services for Kramer Elementary and Talawanda High School are contracted out to Alpha & Omega Building Services, Inc. District employees provide custodial services for the remaining buildings.

We compared the District’s in-house custodial service model to its contracted services model using expenditures per square foot. This type of analysis gives an indication of the cost effectiveness of each service model by normalizing size variances of the areas cleaned. We found that the in-house custodial costs for FY 2020 are $2.77 per square foot, compared to $1.80 per square foot for contracted services. Therefore, the contracted service model is more cost efficient than providing custodial services in-house.
While fully outsourcing custodial services is the most efficient option, the District could improve cost-effectiveness to lesser extents by implementing one of the following options:

- **Alternative 1: Right Size In-house Staffing.** The District could continue with its current mixed service model, but right-size the in-house staffing level to the industry benchmark. The National Center for Educational Statistics (NCES) states that 1.0 FTE custodial staff should clean a median of 29,500 square feet. TCSD has 12.0 FTE custodians, but based on the benchmark, only needs 8.2 FTE to clean the 240,890 square feet maintained by in-house staff. The District could eliminate 3.5 FTE in-house custodians, saving an average of $163,300 annually beginning in FY 2021.

- **Alternative 2: Insource and Add Staff for Contracted Buildings.** The District could bring all custodial services in-house, retaining the current in-house staffing levels and adding additional staff to maintain the currently outsourced facilities. Per the NCES benchmark, the District would require an additional 9.0 FTEs to replace the contracted staff in Kramer Elementary and Talawanda High School. This option could save an average of $23,300 annually, beginning in FY 2021.

- **Alternative 3: Right Size In-house Staffing and Insource.** The District could bring all custodial services in-house and right-size the staffing levels across all facilities. A right-sized custodial staffing level per the NCES benchmark would require an additional 5.5 FTEs. The option could save an average of $182,400 annually, beginning in FY 2021.

**Conclusion**

The District should evaluate its options for improving the cost efficiency of its custodial operations. Fully contracting out custodial services is the most cost efficient option, but is not implementable until FY 2022 due to language in the current collective bargaining agreement expiring in June 2021.
Transportation

Transportation of students is a critical function for school districts. Ensuring that busing services are provided in a safe and efficient manner is important for both the well-being of students and the fiscal health of the school district. We reviewed TCSD’s transportation routing plan in comparison to best practices and industry standards to determine if there were any areas for improvement.

The District uses a third party to contract for transportation services. The vendor, Petermann Ltd., provides buses and drivers to TCSD based on an hourly rate. In order to fully review the District’s transportation expenses as they relate to contracted services, we used a second set of transportation peers who also use private vendors for busing.

**Recommendation 7: Eliminate 4 bus routes from the active bus fleet**

**Financial Implication**

Eliminating four routes on each tier would allow the District to eliminate four buses which could save an average of $228,800 in contracted costs in each year of implementation over the forecasted period.

**Methodology and Analysis**

The District has 32 routes on a two-tier system. The first tier is for middle and high school students; the second tier is for elementary students. Per contract, TCSD is charged a contractual rate of $328.83 per daily route based on a six hour day.

We evaluated the District’s ridership on each tier in comparison to industry benchmarks. In *Hidden Savings in Your Bus Budget* (2017), the American Association of School Administrators recommends transporting 80 percent of the bus’s rated capacity. Any routes on either tier one or tier two which met capacity criteria were excluded from our analysis. In addition to capacity standards, we also consider student ride times as a part of our analyses. While there is no set standard for student ride time, we adhered to the District’s policy on the topic.

The District’s first tier has a peak usage of 49.9 percent of the rated capacity; the second tier has a peak usage of 58.9 percent.\(^\text{20}\) Our analysis found that eliminating seven routes from the first tier would yield a usage rate of 74.6 percent in that tier, and eliminating four routes from the second tier would yield a usage rate of 76.3 percent in that tier. Because the District needs to

\(^\text{20}\) This analysis excludes special needs transportation and routes to non-public schools, as the District must adhere to the respective schools’ bus schedules.
maintain the same number of routes on both tiers, it could consider eliminating four total routes in order to be closer to the industry benchmark for capacity.

**Conclusion**

TCSD should eliminate four routes from its transportation operation. Since the District is charged by the vendor on a per route basis, eliminating four routes would reduce TCSD’s contracted costs by an average of $228,800 annually. This could be implemented beginning in FY 2021.\(^{21}\)

\(^{21}\) FY 2021 is the school year beginning August 2020.
Recommendation 8: Negotiate to align key provisions in the transportation contract to peers

Financial Implication

Aligning the terms of key provisions in its transportation contract could save approximately $657,000 annually.

Methodology and Analysis

We reviewed the District’s contract in comparison to other school districts with similar contracts.\(^{22}\) The contract’s structure is generally consistent with peer contracts in terms of equipment and expense responsibilities. The pricing structure is also generally consistent with peer contracts, except for provisions for excess charges, capital cost charges, and a higher daily rate for regular routes.

Per the District’s contract, transportation is provided according to a base rate of six hours per day, per bus. Time spent transporting students in excess of six hours is charged according to an excess charges rate of $41.29 per hour. Two of the three peers reviewed did not have a similar provision. Eliminating this provision would save the District approximately $199,000 annually.

The TCSD contract stipulates a daily charge for capital costs of $64.20 per bus. Two of the three peers reviewed did not have a provision for capital cost charges. The remaining peer had a fee for bus replacement that was rolled into the daily rate for regular routes. Eliminating this provision would save the District approximately $402,000 annually.

In comparing the District’s daily rate per regular bus route to the two peers with the most comparable service levels, the District’s daily rate is higher than the peer average by $10.05. Reducing the regular route rate to the peer average would save the District approximately $56,000 annually.

Conclusion

Aligning the transportation contract with peer school districts would eliminating costly contract provisions and reduce the daily contracted rate, which could save the District approximately $657,000 annually.

\(^{22}\) We analyzed the peer contracts for Goshen LSD, Xenia Community CSD, and Monroe LSD.
**Recommendation 9: Increase scrutiny of monthly transportation excess charges**

**Financial Implication**

While no financial implication is associated with this recommendation, reducing excess charges will improve the cost efficiency of the transportation operation.

**Methodology and Analysis**

District administration has raised concerns over the assessment of the excess charges identified in **Recommendation 8**. We reviewed the District’s excess charges from the October 2019 invoices and found that 30 of 32 routes averaged more than six hours on a daily basis, which is the existing contracted amount.

Of the 30 routes that routinely exceeded the six hour base, there were 16 recorded route times with no variation in each of the 22 operating days in October 2019, indicating that actual route times are not being recorded accurately and warranted further review.

In October 2019, Petermann recorded 227.54 average daily hours of total bus route time fleet-wide, which included all additional route times above and beyond the contracted 6-hour per bus daily base rate. During the month reviewed, the District was charged an excess fee for an average of 34.80 hours each day. Some amount of time beyond the contracted 6-hour schedule can be expected due to school events requiring transportation. However, Petermann has been unable to provide sufficient explanation to the District of the cause or reasoning behind the majority of the excess charges. The unexplained overage portion is 24.84 hours per day, which equates to $178,462 per year in unaccounted for overage charges.

**Conclusion**

The District has withheld payment to Petermann for these excess charges until sufficient explanation is provided. The District should continue to scrutinize the excess charges each month. Implementation of **Recommendation 8** would also address this issue by eliminating the contract provision for excess charges.
Food Service

Providing meal to students is a critical component to any school district’s operations. The manner in which districts choose to provide and fund food services can have a significant impact on the annual budget and overall fiscal health of the organization.

Recommendation 10: Eliminate 41.5 daily labor hours from the food service operation

Financial Implication

Reducing the total food service daily labor hours by 41.5 hours could save the District approximately $157,600 in each year of implementation over the forecasted period.

Methodology and Analysis

Ohio school districts have a separate enterprise fund, the Food Service Fund, for all expenditures and revenues related to the food service operation. The cost of the operation must be fully recovered through fees and/or charges. Any Food Service Fund loss is required to be subsidized by the General Fund, which affects the District’s forecasted financial position.

In FY 2017 through FY 2019, the District had a Food Service Fund operating loss averaging $61,502 per year. This operating loss has been covered by the fund balance, but continued operating losses will eventually spend down the fund, requiring General Fund subsidies. The District’s five-year forecast projects a General Fund subsidy of $48,081 each year beginning in FY 2022.

We evaluated TCSD’s food service labor efficiency against industry benchmarks. *School Food and Nutrition Service Management for the 21st Century* (Pannell-Martin and Boettger, 2014) recommends measuring meals per labor hour to evaluate labor efficiency. In FY 2019, the District produced a total of 1,776.93 meals and meal equivalents per day, staffed with 152.75 labor hours per day. Based on the industry standard, the District requires 111.1 total labor hours for a high efficiency operation, indicating that the District has 41.62 excess labor hours daily. Eliminating a district-wide total of 41.5 labor hours would reduce salary and benefits expenditures by $157,600, covering the Food Service fund operating losses.

The District should also assess additional ways to operate its food service program without incurring losses, such as monitoring participation and meal prices. *Best Practices Could Help School Districts Reduce Their Food Service Program*

### Food for Thought...

Our analysis takes into account many factors on both a districtwide and building level in order to develop our recommendation.

The full analysis can be found here.
Costs (Florida Legislature’s Office of Program Policy Analysis & Government Accountability, 2009) details strategies school districts can adopt to help reduce operational costs and/or increase revenues, including:

- Develop long-term program plans;
- Reduce food costs by matching food items to supplier stock items;
- Ensure staff has appropriate training;
- Share managers;
- Promote the food service program;
- Identify and reduce participation barriers; and
- Revise meal prices.

Conclusion

Due to the historical trend of operating losses and the projected need for transfers from the General Fund beginning in FY 2022, the District should consider aligning their food service staffing more closely with industry standards. Eliminating 41.5 daily labor hours from the food service operation would result in annual savings of approximately $157,600.