1. Question Z.54.15 of GASB’s Comprehensive Implementation Guide indicates, “The determination of the purposes, as well as the amounts for assigned fund balances can be made after the end of the reporting period.” When determining the assignment related to the appropriation of existing fund balance to eliminate a projected budgetary deficit in the subsequent year’s budget, can budgetary amendments up to the opinion letter date be considered?

A No, when calculating the assigned amount related to the subsequent years budget, use of budgetary documents from the subsequent year, should be limited to amounts that would be considered the original budget. Amendments to the original budget should not be considered. Original budget is defined consistent with GASB 34.

2. When there has been a favorable verdict in a court case, should a gain contingency be reported?

A GASB guidance related to gain contingencies is found in GASB 62 ¶11 and reads as follows:

Contingencies that might result in gains usually are not reflected in the accounts since to do so might be to recognize revenue prior to its realization. Adequate disclosure should be made of contingencies that might result in gains, but care should be exercised to avoid misleading implications as to the likelihood of realization.

In practice, realization should be assured beyond a reasonable doubt before a gain contingency should be recognized in the financial statements. Uncertainties related to the timing and amount of realization of gain contingencies should be resolved before the contingencies are recognized in the financial statements. (See Accounting and Financial Reporting Update — Interpretive Guidance on Contingencies (March 2018) (deloitte.com)

Recently, there was an opioid settlement in Ohio. (See AOS Bulletin 2022-003 (Bulletin_2022-003.pdf (ohioauditor.gov)) The first distribution to local governments was originally scheduled to occur in 2021; however, at the end of 2021 that distribution had not occurred as uncertainties related to timing and amount of realization for each participant were being resolved. Part of the uncertainties related to the amount of realization for each participant includes identifying the local governments that will be participating in the settlement. Currently, the list of participants is still being finalized. Additional guidance will be provided as new information becomes available.

3. In 2020, GASB issued GASBTB 2020-1 - Accounting and Financial Reporting Issues Related to the Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act (CARES Act) and Coronavirus Diseases. Does this guidance also apply to funding received under the Consolidated Appropriations Act and the American Rescue Plan Act?

A Yes, the guidance from GASBTB 2020-1 would also be applicable to funding received under the Consolidated Appropriations Act and the American Rescue Plan Act. Most of these programs are expenditure driven. Eligibility requirements under GASB 33 include the recipient incurring
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allowable costs under the applicable program. If the applicable program indicates there are certain unallowable costs, this stipulation is still an eligibility requirement.

4. GASB 97, Certain Component Unit Criteria, and Accounting and Financial Reporting for Internal Revenue Code Section 457 Deferred Compensation Plans, addresses Section 457 Plans in paragraphs 6 - 9. These paragraphs are effective for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2021. How do I determine if my 457 plan meets the definition of a pension plan?

A. In determining if a 457 plan meets the definition of a pension plan, refer to the definition of a pension plan included in GASB 67 ¶51 or GASB 73 ¶128 as follows:

   **Pension plans** Arrangements through which pensions are determined, assets dedicated for pensions are accumulated and managed, and benefits are paid as they come due.

   It may be helpful to consider the definition of pensions as well:

   **Pensions** Retirement income and, if provided through a pension plan, postemployment benefits other than retirement income (such as death benefits, life insurance, and disability benefits). Pensions do not include postemployment healthcare benefits and termination benefits.

   If there are no employer paid contributions, the 457 plans are generally not considered to be pension plans. When there are employer paid contributions, balancing the above definitions with the guidance from GASB 82, Pension Issues, paragraph 8 is appropriate. Reviewing the plan document may assist in this evaluation.

   Materiality of the employer’s participation in the plan may also be a consideration.

   There is room for professional judgment in this evaluation and conclusions should be documented.

   Once the determination is made, local governments should follow the applicable GASB guidance for either a pension plan (see GASB 97 paragraph 9) or an other employee benefit plan.
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