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# Important Information (please read)

**This FACCR has been tailored for local government and Not-For–Profit County Job and Family Service Health and Human Services Department programs. It does not include all required references and testing for Institutes of Higher Learning or State organizations.**

**NAVIGATION PANE**

**This file has been arranged to be navigable. Click on the view tab above and check the box that says “Navigation Pane” to bring up the headings. Click on the various sections within the navigation pane to go directly to that section.**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

**The Table of Contents starts on page 4. On the table of contents page, users can also click on listed sections to go directly to that section. Please note that as information is added into the unrestricted portions of the FACCRs, page numbering can change and won’t necessarily reflect the footer page numbers. The table of contents can be updated to reflect the proper footer page numbers by clicking on word “contents” directly above the line starting with Introduction, will bring up the icon “update table”. Clicking on the update table icon will allow users to update the page numbers to reflect current footer page numbers.**

**UG vs Non- UG**

This FACCR was written using UG requirements, however:

* + You must document, in your w/p’s, your determination that this major program fell under Uniform Guidance requirements.
  + This FACCR was written as a UG FACCR. If there are material non-UG transactions to test, please contact CFAE via the FACCR Inbox [FACCR@ohioauditor.gov](mailto:FACCR@ohioauditor.gov)**.**
  + Per the 2018 AICPA Government Auditing Standards & Single Audit Guide, paragraph 11.49 through 11.50 states that a separate sample for non-UG award transactions and post-UG award transactions within a major program would not typically be needed. However, if testing both UG and non-UG populations, auditors will need to determine if control testing is sufficient for both UG and non-UG transactions and if additional control testing is necessary for UG specific requirements.

# AGENCY ADOPTION OF THE UG AND EXAMPLE CITATIONS

Federal awarding agencies adopted or implemented the Uniform Guidance in 2 CFR part 200. The OMB guidance is directed to Federal agencies and, by itself, does not establish regulatory requirements binding on non-federal entities. The Federal awarding agency implementation gives regulatory effect to 2 CFR part 200 for that agency’s Federal awards and, thereby, establishes requirements with which the non-Federal entity must comply when incorporated in the terms and conditions of the federal award. The following code sections are where ED, HHS, USDA, DOT, EPA, DOL and HUD have adopted the Uniform Guidance in 2 CFR part 200. For the complete list of agencies adopting 2 CFR 200, as of the date of the OMB Compliance Supplement, see [**Appendix II**](OMB_Compliance_Supplement_APP_II.pdf)**.**

In implementing the UG, agencies were able to make certain changes to the part 200 by requesting needed exceptions. A few adopted the UG with no changes; however most agencies did make changes to the UG by either adding specific requirements or editing/modifying the existing language within certain sections of the UG. OMB does not maintain a complete listing of approved agency exception to the UG. Auditors should review the OMB Compliance Supplement and, as necessary, agency regulations adopting/implementing the OMB uniform guidance in 2 CFR part 200 to determine if there is any exception related to the compliance requirements that apply to the program (see link below)

**Auditors should review this** [**link**](Agency%20Adoption%20of%20the%20UG%20and%20Example%20Citations.pdf) **for a full discussion of agency adoption of the UG and how to cite non-compliance exception.**

*(Source: AOS CFAE)*
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# Introduction: Materiality by Compliance Requirement Matrix

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Planning Federal Materiality by Compliance Requirement**  See Footnotes 1-6 below the matrix table for further explanation, in particular, review note 6 which discusses tailoring the matrix assessments. | | | | | | | | | | | |
|  |  |  | **(1)** | **(2)** | **(6)** | **(6)** | **(3)** | **(4)** | **(5)** | **(5)** | **(6)** |
| **Compliance Requirement** | | | **Applicable per Compl.**  **Suppl.** | **Direct & material to program / entity** | **Monetary or nonmonetary** | **If monetary, population subject to require.** | **Inherent risk (IR) assess.** | **Final control risk (CR) assess.** | **Detection risk of noncompl.** | **Overall audit risk of noncompl.** | **Federal materiality by compl. requirement** |
|
|
|
| *(Yes or No)* | *(Yes or No)* | *(M/N)* | *(Dollars)* | *(High/Low)* | *(High/Low)* | *(High/Low)* | *(High/Low)* | *typically 5% of population subject to requirement* |
| **A** |  | **Activities Allowed or Unallowed** | Yes |  | M |  |  |  |  |  | *5%* |
| **B** |  | **Allowable Costs/Cost Principles** | Yes |  | M |  |  |  |  |  | *5%* |
| **C** |  | **Cash Management** | Yes |  | N |  |  |  |  |  | *5%* |
| **D** |  | ***RESERVED*** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **E** |  | **Eligibility** | Yes |  | M/N |  |  |  |  |  | *5%* |
| **F** |  | **Equipment & Real Property Mgmt** | Yes (aa) |  | M |  |  |  |  |  | *5%* |
| **G** |  | **Matching, Level of Effort, Earmark** | Yes – Tested at  State Level |  | M |  |  |  |  |  | *5%* |
| **H** |  | **Period of Performance** | Yes |  | M |  |  |  |  |  | *5%* |
| **I** |  | **Procurement & Sus. & Debarment** | Yes |  | N |  |  |  |  |  | *5%* |
| **J** |  | **Program Income** | No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **K** |  | ***RESERVED*** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **L** |  | **Reporting** | Yes |  | N |  |  |  |  |  | *5%* |
| **M** |  | **Subrecipient Monitoring** | Yes |  | N |  |  |  |  |  | *5%* |
| **N** |  | **Special Tests & Provisions** | Yes – Tested at  State Level |  | N |  |  |  |  |  | *5%* |

**(aa) ORC § 329.44 allows for JFS Districts to hold title to real property. Auditors will need to evaluate if the district is holding title to real property and will need to import testing procedures from the UG General boilerplate.**

**(1)** Taken form Part 2, Matrix of Compliance Requirements, of the OMB Compliance Supplement (<https://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/information-for-agencies/circulars/> ). When Part 2 of the Compliance Supplement indicates that a type of compliance requirement is not applicable, the remaining assessments for the compliance requirement are not applicable.

**(2)** If the Supplement notes a compliance requirement as being applicable to the program in column (1), it still may not apply at a particular entity either because that entity does not have activity subject to that type of compliance requirement, or the activity could not have a material effect on a major program. If the Compliance Supplement indicates that a type of compliance requirement is applicable and the auditor determines it also is direct and material to the program at the specific entity being audited, the auditor should answer this question “Yes,” and then complete the remainder of the line to document the various risk assessments, sample sizes, and references to testing. Alternatively, if the auditor determines that a particular type of compliance requirement that normally would be applicable to a program (as per part 2 of the Compliance Supplement) is not direct and material to the program at the specific entity being audited, the auditor should answer this question “No.” Along with that response, the auditor should document the basis for the determination (for example, "per the Compliance Supplement, eligibility requirements only apply at the state level").

**(3)** Refer to the 2018 AICPA Audit Guide, Government Auditing Standards and Single Audits, chapter 10, Compliance Auditing Applicable to Major Programs, for considerations relating to assessing inherent risk of noncompliance for each direct and material type of compliance requirement. The auditor is expected to document the inherent risk assessment for each direct and material compliance requirement.

**(4)** Refer to the 2018 AICPA Audit Guide, Government Auditing Standards and Single Audits, chapter 9, Consideration of Internal Control over Compliance for Major Programs, for considerations relating to assessing control risk of noncompliance for each direct and material types of compliance requirement. To determine the control risk assessment, the auditor is to document the five internal control components of the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) (that is, control environment, risk assessment, control activities, information and communication, and monitoring) for each direct and material type of compliance requirement. Keep in mind that the auditor is expected to perform procedures to obtain an understanding of internal control over compliance for federal programs that is sufficient to plan the audit to support a low assessed level of control risk. If internal control over compliance for a type of compliance requirement is likely to be ineffective in preventing or detecting noncompliance, then the auditor is not required to plan and perform tests of internal control over compliance. Rather, the auditor must assess control risk at maximum, determine whether additional compliance tests are required, and report a significant deficiency (or material weakness) as part of the audit findings. The control risk assessment is based upon the auditor's understanding of controls, which would be documented outside of this template. Auditors may use the practice aid, Controls Overview Document, to support their control assessment. The Controls Overview Document assists the auditor in documenting the elements of COSO, identifying key controls, testing of those controls, and concluding on control risk. The practice aid is available in either a checklist or narrative format.

**(5)** Audit risk of noncompliance is defined in AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1, AU-C 935, as the risk that the auditor expresses an inappropriate opinion on the entity's compliance when material noncompliance exists. Audit risk of noncompliance is a function of the risks of material noncompliance and detection risk of noncompliance.

**(6)** CFAE included the typical monetary vs. nonmonetary determinations for each compliance requirement in this program. However, auditors should tailor these assessments as appropriate based on the facts and circumstances of their entity’s operations. The 2018 AICPA Single Audit Guide 10.54 states the auditor's tests of compliance with compliance requirements may disclose instances of noncompliance. The Uniform Guidance refers to these instances of noncompliance, among other matters, as “audit findings.” Such findings may be of a monetary nature and involve questioned costs or may be nonmonetary and not result in questioned costs. AU-C 935.13 & .A7 require auditors to establish and document two materiality levels: (1) a materiality level for the program as a whole. The column above documents quantitative materiality at the COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENT LEVEL for each major program; and (2) a second materiality level for the each of the applicable 12 compliance requirement listed in Appendix XI to Part 200.

*Note:*

a. If the compliance requirement is of a monetary nature, and

b. The requirement applies to the ***total*** population of program expenditure,

Then the compliance materiality amount for the program also equals materiality for the requirement. For example, the population for allowable costs and cost principles will usually equal the total Federal expenditures for the major program as a whole. Conversely, the population for some monetary compliance requirements may be less than the total Federal expenditures. Auditors must carefully determine the population subject to the compliance requirement to properly assess Federal materiality. Auditors should also consider the qualitative aspects of materiality. For example, in some cases, noncompliance and internal control deficiencies that might otherwise be immaterial could be significant to the major program because they involve fraud, abuse, or illegal acts. Auditors should document PROGRAM LEVEL materiality in the Record of Single Audit Risk (RSAR).

*(Source: AOS CFAE)*

[***Performing Tests to Evaluate the Effectiveness of Controls throughout this FACCR***](Performing%20Tests%20to%20Evaluate%20the%20Effectiveness%20of%20Controls%20throughout%20this%20FACCR.pdf)

[***Improper Payments***](Improper%20Payments.pdf)

# Part I – OMB Compliance Supplement Information

**Although the below information may not impact counties directly, to effectively audit this program auditors should understand all aspects of the program. This information is directly from the OMB Compliance Supplement and gives the auditors information on how the program operates. There is an AOS note included in this section for additional information. Tribal and Territorial information has not been included below.**

***(Source: AOS CFAE)***

### I. Program Objectives

The objectives of the State and Tribal TANF programs are to provide time-limited assistance to needy families with children so that the children can be cared for in their own homes or in the homes of relatives; end dependence of needy parents on government benefits by promoting job preparation, work, and marriage; prevent and reduce out-of-wedlock pregnancies, including establishing prevention and reduction goals; and encourage the formation and maintenance of two-parent families. This program replaced the Aid to Families with Dependent Children (AFDC), Job Opportunities and Basic Skills Training (JOBS), and Emergency Assistance (EA) programs.

*(Source: 2017 OMB Compliance Supplement, Part 4, Department of Health and Human Services Tanf (Title IV-A))*

### II. Program Procedures

**Administration and Services**

The Administration for Children and Families (ACF), a component of the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), administers the TANF program on behalf of the Federal Government. To be eligible for the TANF block grant, a State (including the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, the United States (U.S.) Virgin Islands, Guam, and American Samoa) must periodically submit a State plan containing specified information and assurances.

***States***

Following ACF review of the State Plan and determination that it is complete, ACF awards the basic “State Family Assistance Grant” (SFAG) to the State using a formula allocation derived from funding levels under the superseded programs. The SFAG is a fixed amount to the State subject to reductions based on any penalties assessed. In addition, amounts may be adjusted on the basis of separate Federal funding of counterpart Indian Tribal programs within the State. As long as the minimum requirements are met, States have significant flexibility in designing programs and determining eligibility requirements. While States have flexibility and discretion, there are provisions to ensure accountability for results, including requirements for data about expenditures and individuals receiving benefits under the program, and monetary penalties for failure to meet programmatic requirements such as work participation.

The Federal TANF block grant program also has an annual cost-sharing requirement, known as maintenance-of-effort (MOE). If a State fails to meet the required minimum all-family or two- parent work participation rate for a Federal fiscal year (FFY), then the State must spend at least 80 percent of its fiscal year historic State expenditures to provide benefits and services to eligible clientele. If the State meets both minimum work participation rate requirements, then the required spending level decreases to 75 percent of its FFY 1994 historic State expenditures. “Historic State expenditures” means the State’s FFY 1994 share of expenditures in the former Aid to Families with Dependent Children (AFDC), EA, AFDC-Related Child Care, Transitional Child Care, At-Risk Child Care, and JOBS programs. States may not use more than 15 percent of the total amount of countable expenditures for the fiscal year for administrative activities.

**Other Considerations**

***Funding Methods – States***

States have different funding options to expend Federal grant funds and State maintenance-of- effort (MOE) funds. These include the following:

1. *Federal Only* – Under this option, Federal grant funds are segregated from MOE funds that are expended in the TANF program operated by the State.

2. *Commingled Federal/State* – Under this option, States commingle their MOE funds with Federal grant funds expended in the TANF program operated by the State. A commingled funding structure means that all expenditures are subject to all Federal funding restrictions, TANF requirements, and MOE limitations.

3. *Segregated State* – Under this option, MOE funds are segregated from the Federal grant funds and expended in the TANF program operated by the State.

4. *Separate State Program* – Under this option, States spend their MOE funds in separate State programs, operated outside of the TANF program operated by the State.

Federal grant funds and MOE funds must both be used for “expenditures.” A definition of the term “expenditure” is found in 45 CFR section 260.30. In addition, 45 CFR section 260.33 explains the circumstances under which certain State tax relief provisions would count as expenditures.

*(Source: 2017 OMB Compliance Supplement, Part 4, Department of Health and Human Services Tanf (Title IV-A))*

### III. Source of Governing Requirements

These programs are authorized under Title IV-A of the Social Security Act, as amended by the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996 (PRWORA) (Pub. L. No. 104-193), and subsequent amendments thereto, and ARRA, and are codified at 42 USC 601-619. PRWORA was signed into law on August 22, 1996, and required State implementation no later than July 1, 1997.

The governing regulations for States are those in 45 CFR parts 260 – 265. Regulations for Tribal TANF are in 45 CFR part 286.

State and all Tribal TANF programs (i.e., including Tribal TANF programs in Pub. L. No. 102-477 projects) are subject to the provisions in 45 CFR part 92, the HHS implementation of the A-102 common rule, and 2 CFR part 225 (Office of Management and Budget Circular A-87), Cost Principles for State, Local, and Indian Tribal Governments) or, as applicable, the HHS implementation of 2 CFR part 200 at 45 CFR part 75.

*(Source: 2017 OMB Compliance Supplement, Part 4, Department of Health and Human Services Tanf (Title IV-A))*

### IV. Other Information

**Availability of Other Program Information**

TANF-ACF-PI-2007-08, dated November 28, 2007, on *Using Federal TANF and State Maintenance-of-Effort (MOE) Funds for Families in Areas Covered by a Federal or State Disaster Declaration* presents items to consider with respect to the current TANF program when addressing the needs of families affected by a federally or State-declared disaster. TANF-ACF- PI-2007-08 is available at [http://www.acf.hhs.gov/programs/ofa/programs/tanf/policy.](http://www.acf.hhs.gov/programs/ofa/programs/tanf/policy)

Other general program information regarding the State and Tribal TANF programs is available from the Office of Family Assistance (OFA) website at <http://www.acf.hhs.gov/programs/ofa/>.

**Other Information**

*Transfers out of TANF*

As described in III.A.1.b, “Activities Allowed or Unallowed,” States (not tribes) may transfer a limited amount of Federal TANF funds into the Social Services Block Grant (Title XX) (CFDA 93.667) and the Child Care and Development Block Grant (CFDA 93.575). These transfers are reflected in lines 2 and 3 of both the quarterly *TANF Financial Report* ACF-196R*,* and the quarterly *Territorial Financial Report* ACF-196-TR*.* The amounts transferred out of TANF are subject to the requirements of the program into which they are transferred and should not be included in the audit universe and total expenditures of TANF when determining Type A programs. The amount transferred out should not be shown as TANF expenditures on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards, but should be shown as expenditures for the program into which they are transferred. ARRA TANF funds may not be transferred out of TANF.

*Prohibition on Use of Federal TANF and State MOE funds for Juvenile Justice Services*

ACF has identified juvenile justice services expenditures as an area of risk for non-compliance and issued a Program Instruction (TANF-ACF-PI-2015-02) (<http://www.acf.hhs.gov/ofa/resource/tanf-acf-pi-2015-02>) to remind TANF jurisdictions that Federal TANF and State MOE funds must not be used to provide juvenile justice services, except where authorized under prior law, as explained in the program instruction.

*(Source: 2017 OMB Compliance Supplement, Part 4, Department of Health and Human Services Tanf (Title IV-A))*

# Part II – Pass through Agency and Grant Specific Information

**The ODJFS Program Specific Information is broken into 5 sections: (1) Program Overview, (2) Program Funding, (3) AOS Testing Considerations, (4) Reporting in the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards, (5) Information Systems, which includes a description on the various system operations (i.e. statewide automated eligibility system, CFIS Web, CFIS Web LR,)**

Additional ODJFS Program Information can be obtained at <http://jfs.ohio.gov/factsheets/OWF.pdf> for an overview of OWF and <http://jfs.ohio.gov/factsheets/PRC.pdf> for an overview of PRC.

### Program Overview

Temporary assistance for needy families (TANF) regular allocation additional information is located in [OAC 5101:9-6-08](OAC5101.9.6.08.pdf).

Temporary assistance for needy families (TANF) administration allocation additional information is located in [OAC 5101:9-6-08.8](OAC5101.9.6.08.8.pdf).

Temporary assistance for needy families (TANF) summer youth employment allocation in Ohio was replaced with Comprehensive Case Management and Employment Program (CCMEP) effective July 1, 2016.

Comprehensive Case Management and Employment Program (CCMEP) is a collaborative Title IV-A program and workforce development activity designed to improve employment and education outcomes for low-income youth and young adults by assisting participants in overcoming barriers to employment and developing employment skills. It combines Temporary assistance for needy families (TANF) regular and administrate funds under 93.558 and Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA) youth funds under CFDA 17.259. See [FAPMTL No. 345](http://www.odjfs.state.oh.us/lpc/calendar/fileLINKNAME.asp?ID=FAPMTL345), [CCMEPMTL No 1](http://www.odjfs.state.oh.us/lpc/calendar/fileLINKNAME.asp?ID=CCMEPMTL1), [CCMEPMTL No. 3](http://www.odjfs.state.oh.us/lpc/calendar/fileLINKNAME.asp?ID=CCMEPMTL3), and the [ODJFS CCMEP Webpage](http://jfs.ohio.gov/owd/CCMEP/index.stm) for additional guidance.

Welfare reform transformed the federal entitlement program formerly known as Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) to Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF). The reform gives the State more flexibility with providing funding to families with children. Each State is responsible to develop a state plan to document how funds are to be spent and eligibility criteria (for example: allowable costs, eligibility criteria, reporting). The TANF program has separate sub programs: Ohio Works First - OWF (cash assistance); Prevention, Retention & Contingency - PRC (emergency assistance); Ohio Works Now - OWN.

**OWF** portion of the program provides cash assistance to eligible families. Eligibility for OWF) is determined through the use of various computer systems (primarily the statewide automated eligibility system). However, each county is responsible for processing applications for the clients, entering the appropriate information onto the statewide automated eligibility system, coordinating services to the clients, and maintaining appropriate documentation in each case file. OWF eligibility is determined and benefits are paid at the State level so OWF eligibility and benefits will be tested by the State Region. OWF expenditure testing at the counties will be limited to any cash payments (direct OWF benefits) made by the counties. An example of this may be transportation for an OWF eligible person. The County may code this expenditure as OWF but it may be a cost allowable under the County PRC Plan and paid with PRC funding.

**PRC** provides monies to cover expenses that would otherwise place the family on public assistance. Each county must develop a plan to document their PRC program. ([OAC 5101:9-6-13](OAC5101.9.6.13.pdf)) PRC benefits are paid by the county in accordance with the County PRC Plan. This TANF program will be audited at the County level for all requirements, including eligibility and payments of benefits based on the County PRC plan. PRC Plan Renewal Requirements can be reviewed in [FAL 162](http://jfs.ohio.gov/ofam/FAL162--PRC-Biennial-Review.stm). The PRC reference guide is available at <http://www.jfs.ohio.gov/owf/prc/PRCReferenceGuide.stm>.

Additional PRC Funds were released in February 2018 for flooding in Ohio. See [FAL 167](http://jfs.ohio.gov/ofam/FAL167-Ohio-Flooding-February-2018.stm) for additional guidance regarding these funds. Coding information regarding these funds can be found in [FAPL 75](http://www.odjfs.state.oh.us/lpc/calendar/fileLINKNAME.asp?ID=FAPL75).

**Ohio Works Now (OWN)** - Governor Kasich signed Executive Order 2011-19K providing ODJFS the authority to develop a new state-sponsored program called Ohio Works Now. Ohio Works Now will issue additional food benefits to employed needy families with children who are receiving SNAP benefits. Although these additional SNAP benefits will be added to recipients´ Ohio Direction Cards, OWN will be administered as part of the TANF program, with benefits issued from state maintenance-of-effort (MOE) funds. Ohio Administrative Code Rule [5101:1-2-50](OAC5101.1.2.50.pdf) (updated 5/1/2017) was created to implement the OWN program. See [CAMTL No. 72](http://www.odjfs.state.oh.us/lpc/calendar/fileLINKNAME.asp?ID=CAMTL72), dated 12-9-11 for initial establishment and [CAMTL No. 123](http://www.odjfs.state.oh.us/lpc/calendar/fileLINKNAME.asp?ID=CAMTL123) for 5/1/2017 update. .

**CCMEP TANF** allocations provide benefits and services to eligible individuals for employment, training services, and other support services. Each Board of County Commissioners must designate a Lead Agency to administer these funds. The Lead Agency may be the County DJFS or the workforce development agency. The Lead Agency is required to adopt and submit a CCMEP program plan to ODJFS at least every fiscal biennial period by the first day in October and may be amended by the lead agency as needed. The plan will establish standard processes for determining and maintaining an individual's eligibility to participate in CCMEP for each county that the lead agency serves. Benefits are paid by the Lead Agency and in accordance with the [CCMEP Services Matrix](http://jfs.ohio.gov/owd/CCMEP/Services-Matrix.stm). The Lead Agency is responsible for maintaining all documentation for audit. These TANF and WIOA funds will be audited at the County level for all requirements, including eligibility and payments of benefits based on the Services Matrix.

ODJFS issues Fraud Awareness Initiative allocations providing $2,000 to selected counties for fraud awareness month programs, campaigns, activities, and events. May is designated fraud awareness month. Funds must be obligated by June 30th and liquidated by September 30th. Funds must be used to purchase promotional items within the guidelines of [OAC 5101:9-6-70](OAC5101.9.6.70.pdf) as amended by [FAPMTL #344](http://www.odjfs.state.oh.us/lpc/calendar/fileLINKNAME.asp?ID=FAPMTL344).

ODJFS submits a [State of Ohio TANF plan](http://www.jfs.ohio.gov/owf/plan_new.stm) to the U.S. Department of Health & Human Services.

Section II, step 2, of the State TANF plan indicates each County to submit this written statement of their Prevention, Retention, & Contingency Program (PRC) plan to ODJFS. The County can choose to use the State model, revise the State model, or design a unique plan of their own which must be approved by ODJFS. The County can amend its plan at any time. Auditors should use the plan(s) in place during their audit. These plans are not archived on the ODJFS website. Auditors should obtain these plans from their County JFS Fiscal Office. The current County plans are available at <http://www.jfs.ohio.gov/owf/prc/county/countytable.stm>.

**The requirement for this plan is included in:**

**ORC § 5108.04 (eff, 10-16-09)** Statement of policies governing the prevention, retention, and contingency program states. Each county department of job and family services shall adopt a written statement of policies governing the prevention, retention, and contingency program for the county. The statement of policies shall be adopted not later than October 1, 2003, and shall be updated at least every two years thereafter. A county department may amend its statement of policies to modify, terminate, and establish new policies. The county director of job and family services shall sign and date the statement of policies and any amendment to it. Neither the statement of policies nor any amendment to it may have an effective date that is earlier than the date of the county director’s signature.

Each county department of job and family services shall provide the department of job and family services a written copy of the statement of policies and any amendments it adopts to the statement not later than ten calendar days after the statement or amendment’s effective date.

**ORC § 5108.05 (eff. 9-26-03)** provides specific guidance on the provisions to be included in the County’s statement of policies (County PRC plan).

**ODJFS has PRC resources (including a** [**PRC Reference Guide**](http://jfs.ohio.gov/owf/prc/index.stm)**).**

**County Structure**

Each County is segregated into the following three areas:

• County Department of Job and Family Services (CDJFS) - Administers the Food Assistance (SNAP) Cluster, TANF, Child Care Cluster, Social Services Block Grant, SCHIP, and Medicaid (i.e. all Public Assistance programs).

• Public Children Services Agency (PCSA) - Administers the Foster Care and Adoption Assistance programs.

• Child Support Enforcement Agency (CSEA) - Administers the Child Support Enforcement program.

*Note: In some Counties, all three areas are combined (Combined Agencies), whereas in other Counties, there may be two or three separate agencies.*

**County Collaborations**

**Collabor8**

Collabor8, formed in 2011, is a project that involves nine county department and family services that will work together under a common agreement to process and manage administrative workloads as one project area.   The MOU was extended indefinitely and there have not been any changes to participants for SFY 18 or SFY 19.  The fiscal sharing splits for SFY 18 & 19 obtained from Collabor8 documentation provided are below.  This information is unaudited.  Auditors should evaluate for accuracy / reasonableness not only the fiscal split percentages used below but also any other costs allocated as a result of this collaborative effort.  See [FATL 346](http://www.odjfs.state.oh.us/lpc/calendar/fileLINKNAME.asp?ID=FATL346), dated 4-24-15, [FACT 55](http://www.odjfs.state.oh.us/lpc/calendar/fileLINKNAME.asp?ID=FACT55) dated 5/20/15 which lists approved collaborations and [OAC 5101:4-1-16](OAC5101.4.1.16.pdf).

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **County** | **State Fiscal Year 18**  **IM Allocations** | **Percentage** | **State Fiscal Year 19**  **IM Allocations** | **Percentage** |
| Carroll | $252,223 | 7.44% | $245,906 | 7.53% |
| Delaware | 280,186 | 8.26% | 268,978 | 8.24% |
| Hancock | 389,993 | 11.50% | 374,393 | 11.47% |
| Holmes | 290,534 | 8.57% | 278,912 | 8.54% |
| Knox | 387,263 | 11.42% | 392,210 | 12,01% |
| Marion | 544,986 | 16.07% | 521,953 | 15,71% |
| Morrow | 255,924 | 7.55% | 252,902 | 7.75% |
| Sandusky | 400,830 | 11.82% | 369,797 | 11.33% |
| Wood | 589,544 | 17.38% | 568,935 | 17.43% |
| Total | $3,391,483 |  | $3,264,986 |  |

**Joint County Department of Job and Family Services**

Ohio Revised Code § 329.40-329.46 allows for the formation of joint county departments of job and family services. The boards of county commissioners of any two or more counties may enter into a written agreement to form a joint county department of job and family services. Once the agreement is in effect, the department should operate a single new entity replacing the contributing counties JFS offices. The agreements will specify the reporting periods for the new departments, which are not required to be on a 12/31 reporting timeframe. If auditors are aware of the formation of a new district they should inquire as soon as possible with the district to determine the reporting period that was established. Auditors should familiarize themselves with the ORC code sections mentions and should also obtain the agreement establishing the district; perform a potential component unit evaluation to determine if the district is a legally separate entity and if they are a subrecipient of ODJFS or of the contributing counties. Also, keep in mind ORC § 329.44 allows for JFS Districts to hold title to real property. Auditors will need to evaluate if the district is holding title to real property and will need to import testing procedures from the General boilerplate FACCR. Also keep in mind costs incurred for the acquisition of buildings and land, as “capital expenditures,” are unallowable as direct charges, except where approved in advance by the awarding agency. See 45 CFR 75.318, 75.343, and 75.439(b)(1) ([2 CFR 200.311](2CFR200.311.pdf), [200.329](2CFR200.329.pdf) and [200.439](2CFR200.439.pdf)(b)(1)) We are aware of two districts that have currently formed. See below. As communicated in FATL 346 (effective 5-21-15) [OAC 5101:4-1-16](OAC5101.4.1.16.pdf) was updated and designated county collaborations as certification offices responsible for program operations which include, but not limited to: application processing; eligibility determinations; and operation of employment and training programs. Approved counties were removed from the code section and [OAC 5101:4-1-16](OAC5101.4.1.16.pdf)(B) indicates that approved county collaborations can be found in the food assistance change transmittal letters, which can be found in the food assistance certification hand book at the ODJFS website. The most recent change letter is [FACT 55](http://www.odjfs.state.oh.us/lpc/calendar/fileLINKNAME.asp?ID=FACT55):

1. South Central Job and Family Services District is a combination of Ross, Vinton and Hocking Counties and it is operating on a 6/30 state fiscal year end and,

2. Defiance/Paulding Consolidated Department of Job and Family Services is a combination of Defiance and Paulding Counties and it is operating on a 12/31 federal fiscal year end.

**Subgrant Agreement**

Each County agency (or agencies) enters into an Ohio Department of Job and Family Services Subgrant Agreement. This agreement describes the subgrant duties, ODJFS & subgrantee responsibilities, effective date of the subgrant, amount of grant/payments, audits of subgrantee, suspension and termination, breach and default, etc. Auditors should review their applicable County’s subgrant agreement. This agreement indicates if each agency (Public Assistance (PA), Public Children Services Agency (PCSA), and Child Support (CS)) is a stand-alone agency or if they are combined agencies. This will determine the cost pools that will need tested as part of the RMS process tested in Section A. The grants passed down from ODJFS are funded on a federal fiscal year. The various CFIS reports indicate grant years so receipt and expenditure of awards is identifiable. Examples of the boilerplate subgrant agreements are available to AOS auditors on our intranet, under the federal resources/ODJFS resources.

ODJFS has county profiles and web links at <http://jfs.ohio.gov/County/County_Directory.pdf>.

**Additional information per ODJFS:**

• ODJFS Bureau of Monitoring and Consulting Services (BMCS) performs program County compliance reviews. The Counties do receive written results of these reviews. Auditors should consider the results of the reviews for planning purposes.

**This is a brief description of the Fiscal Process:**

The County JFS receives different types of funding (see also [ODJFS Federal Schedule Tools Spreadsheets](http://jfs.ohio.gov/ofs/bcfta/TOOLS/tools1.stm)):

1. Mandated Share - ORC requires the county commissioners to share in the cost of the certain programs (known as mandated share). County JFS receive a mandated share from the County Commissioners. Mandated share is calculated by ODJFS and ODJFS enters the amounts for each funding source as a budget into the CFIS (fiscal computer system). ODJFS notifies the County Commissioners in May or June of their mandated share for the next calendar year so the Counties have time to budget accordingly. Counties are required to make an adjustment equal to 1/12 of the total mandated share when they submit their monthly expenditure reports. County JFS sends a drawdown request for their anticipated needs and then report their expenditures monthly to ODJFS. ODJFS quarterly reconciliation evaluates and adjusts for the differences. While some counties may not pay their mandated share to the County JFS monthly, the County JFS must deduct no less than 1/12th of the amount on their monthly reporting of expenditures to ODJFS. (For example, if the County’s mandated share is $1,200, the County JFS would include $100 or more on the monthly reporting of expenditures regardless when the county paid the $1,200.)

Per [5101:9-6-31](OAC5101.9.6.31.pdf), the County Share of Public Assistance Expenditures and the Mandated Share Budget is 105%.

2. Federal Allocation – There are two ways federal monies are allocated by the State (There are no local requirements for the calculating or receiving of these allocations.):

• Allocation specific to the grant – Adoption, Foster Care, Child Care Block Grant, Social Services Block Grant and TANF receive allocations specific to their grants. These allocations are based on mandated methodology guidelines, including demographics, program information pulled from CFIS, etc. The County receives notification of their grant allocation from ODJFS via the CFIS web system.

• ODJFS issues initial pass-through allocations based on the greater of:

a. The average expenditures of the last two years reported expenditures: or

b. The total of the last four completed quarters’ reported expenditures.

An agency with no reported expenditures over either time period will receive a minimum budget ([OAC 5101:9-6-44](OAC5101.9.6.44.pdf)). An agency may request an increase at any time during the fiscal year. Counties receive notification of their allocation via CFIS Web.

3. State allocation that may be used as match for Title IV-E funding is the State Child Protection Allocation - SCPA ([OAC 5101:9-6-19](OAC5101.9.6.19.pdf)). These monies can be used by the County JFS to meet their matching requirements for Foster Care and Adoption. In addition, the counties receive other state allocations.

4. Income Maintenance (State Allocation) - TANF program does not use income maintenance monies.

In addition to their County JFS allocations, there are two opportunities for County agencies to release or receive monies: 1) They can swap funds with other counties, (this process must be approved by evidence of County Commissioners sign off) which goes through ODJFS to change the allocations in CFIS; or 2) There are at least 2 opportunities in the fiscal year in which they can apply for additional funds or to release excess funds for re-distribution to other counties. In this case, the County JFS must indicate need and ODJFS may provide additional funds as made available by other counties; however, the statewide allocation does not change. ODJFS changes the allocation in the CFIS system. While this dfc6, does not require testing at the local level, auditors should be aware this may be the reason any such re-allocations in the system. Note: The Ohio Department of Job and Family Services developed a process to allow for specific allocated funds to be exchanged between counties. The process is detailed in rule [5101:9-6-82](OAC5101.9.6.82.pdf) of the Administrative Code.

For most grants, the County JFS can draw down funds on a weekly basis from the ODJFS (see Reporting L section of this document). However, federal grants received by the Public Children Services Agency (PCSA) (Foster Care and Adoption Assistance) are reimbursement grants. PCSA’s do not have the ability to draw down funds. The CDJFS and Child support agencies draw down an advance of funds for anticipated needs. Quarterly adjustments are made for the differences between funds drawn and actual expenditures.

County JFS submit quarterly reports with ODJFS via CFIS. There is a quarterly reconciliation process performed by ODJFS. See also OAC [5101:9-7-03](OAC5101.9.7.03.pdf) and [5101:9-7-03.1](OAC5101.9.7.03.1.pdf) for additional information on the financing, reconciliation and closeout procedures. Auditors should review these sections for specific details on this process. See also Section L Reporting. The reconciliation process with CFIS Web is reflected in [OAC 5101:9-7-03.1](OAC5101.9.7.03.1.pdf).

The reconciliation process with CFIS Web is reflected in [OAC 5101:9-7-03.1](OAC5101.9.7.03.1.pdf). The CDJFS has access to system reporting throughout the quarter in order to make ongoing adjustments/corrections. County JFS enters expenditures monthly into CFIS Web and submit to OAKS quarterly. They file quarterly the certification of monthly expenditure reports with ODJFS via CFIS Web. The CDJFS is given five business days after the eighteenth day of the month following the last month of the quarter to review reports for accuracy. No later than five business days after the eighteenth day of the month following the last month of the quarter, the CDJFS shall submit any final adjustments and/or revisions to OAKS. Once the five-day review period is complete, ODJFS suspends reporting access to OAKS for the closing quarter in order to begin the quarter reconciliation process. The CDJFS shall make any allowable changes that arise after the five-day review period to open grants in the current quarter. The Ohio department of job and family services (ODJFS) notifies the CDJFS when the quarter reconciliation process is completed. The CDJFS shall review reports for accuracy and immediately notify ODJFS of any discrepancies. ODJFS reconciles refunds and collections at the end of each quarter. ODJFS reconciles state funded allocations and federally funded subgrants at the end of their period of performance. The period of performance includes the funding period and the liquidation period.

The CFIS Web system does not link information into the county auditor’s expenditure ledgers. Counties can manually reenter the information or they may use a computer program for this upload process. Auditors should check to see if the information uploads to the County Auditor’s system accurately by reconciling Form 02827 to the County Auditor’s & JFS records (see Reporting L section of this document). Beginning in 2015 ODJFS made available to its subrecipients, a PET replacement system called the CFIS Web Ledger Reporting (LR) system. Maximus discontinued PET in 2014. Effective March 30, 2018, ODJFS will end all support regarding the downloading of INF files in the Maximus Ledger Suite. Based on our review of the LR system and entities using it for 2018, we determined it was widely adopted for 2018 and it was not tested by ISA.

For most programs, expenditures are drawn down and expended based on State and Federal financial participation percentages. Per ODJFS there are no matching requirements at the local level for TANF, however Counties have a required contribution for the program. The County can use their mandated share or local monies to meet this required contribution.

Counties can also apply for special program allocations under the TANF program (often called demo programs). Auditors should review the documentation for these special programs to ensure the County has accurately reported the program and followed special program requirements. This FACCR does not include procedures for these specific programs. Auditors will need to tailor the FACCR accordingly.

See [BCFTA Update 2017-03](http://jfs.ohio.gov/ofs/bcfta/BB/BCFTA_Update_Cost_Associated_with_County_Lay_off_or_SFY18.stm) and [BCFTA Update 2018-01](http://jfs.ohio.gov/ofs/bcfta/BB/2018-Updates/2018-01_cost-associated-with-staff-lay-offs.stm) regarding costs associated with county lay-off of staff.

See also [FAPL No. 34](http://www.odjfs.state.oh.us/lpc/calendar/fileLINKNAME.asp?ID=FAPL34), Abnormal or Mass Severance Pay.

### Testing Considerations

Since each County could conceivably have a different PRC plan with varying eligibility requirements, services offered, etc., there is no effective way to incorporate testing for all Counties in this FACCR. In addition, Counties can amend their plan at will. Auditors will need to tailor this FACCR in accordance to the plan(s) in effect during their audit.

As noted above, OWF eligibility and benefits will be tested by the State Region, except for direct payments the County made for these programs. County audits will focus on PRC, direct OWF and administrative expenses for the TANF program.

Auditors should evaluate cost pools and reporting requirements that are consistent between ODJFS grant programs and only test these once rather than with each grant program. The following table shows where some efficiencies can be gained for common cost pools (FACCR Section A) and reports (FACCR Section L):

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Reported on:** | **Program:** | **County Fund Paid from:** | **RMS Cost Pool** |
| JFS 02827 | Medicaid, CHIP, Food Assistance, TANF, SSBG, CCBG | Public Assistance (PA) Fund | IMRMS / SSRMS |
| JFS 02750 | Child Support Enforcement | Child Support Administrative Fund | CSRMS |
| JFS 02820 | Foster Care & Adoption | Children Services Workers | CWRMS or SSRMS (if combined agency) |

For an overview of requirements tested by program: see AOS spreadsheet, ODJFS list of program & applicable requirements. These reports are in CFIS Web.

### Reporting

Note: See examples SEFA and Footnote shells available at <http://www.ohioauditor.gov/references/practiceaids.html>.

See additional SEFA Guidance in the “Single Audit SEFA 2018 Completeness Guide” located at <http://www.ohioauditor.gov/references/practiceaids.html>.

*(Source: CFAE)*

### Information systems, including a description on how they operate (i.e. Statewide automated eligibility system, CFIS Web, CFIS Web LR)

**Computer Systems**

The following State-level systems are utilized by Counties for these programs:

* Statewide automated eligibility system **-** Used primarily to determine eligibility and benefit amounts for Food Stamps, TANF, SCHIP, and Medicaid; and generates the voucher summary detail for these programs. It also maintains data entered by the case workers related to the recipients and their cases. ODJFS website gives specific statewide automated eligibility system reporting tools for County PRC programs at <http://jfs.ohio.gov/OWF/prc/Reporting_Tool.stm>
* CFIS – (County Finance Information System) July 1, 2009 County JFS finance offices began using CFIS which drives the financial reporting (Forms 02827, 02750, and 02820, RMS activity, etc.). The CFIS application became a web-based application in 2012. The current and archived CFIS information can be accessed at the County JFS site. The County Finance Information System (CFIS) Web went live on July 1, 2012. At the county level, financial data is imported (pulled) from templates or from interfaced systems like WebRMS and SACWIS into the CFIS Web reporting system. Information flows from OAKS through CFIS and down to the county system. Each grant is coded separately. ODJFS has a spreadsheet for coding in CFIS. ODJFS updates this information each year.

ISA will be testing CFIS Web (including the RMS System used to track Random Moment Sampling activity and allocation of program expenditure. A recap of that work performed and any user control considerations will be sent out when available for 2017.

The OAKS general controls portion tested as part of the Statewide SSAE 16 SOC 1 engagement, however, will continue to be on a state fiscal year (6/30).

* As noted previously, County JFS fiscal offices use CFIS Web to record their expenditures. However, this system does not link the information into the county auditor’s expenditure ledgers. The counties can manually reenter the information or they may use a computer program for this upload process. The State Region does not look at these type of programs. In 2015 ODJFS made available to its subrecipients, a PET replacement system called the CFIS Web Ledger Reporting (LR) system. Maximus discontinued PET in 2014. Effective March 30, 2018, ODJFS will end all support regarding the downloading of INF files in the Maximus Ledger Suite. Based on our review of the LR system and entities using it for 2017, we determined it was widely adopted for 2017 and it was not tested by ISA.
* According to ODJFS, the list of allowable PAA's has been added to several times since BCFTA update # 2011-17 was issued on 3-24-11, the new CFIS Web Report CR112 shows all the valid PAA's and agencies can print this report.
* The process known as “Adjustment to a Prior Period Allocated and Approved Expenditure” or APAA, allows agencies to make adjustments in instances when direct coding is not available (i.e. audit, ERIP, and errors). This process can be initiated by the local agency or by ODJFS and is recorded on form JFS 01179. See [BCFTA updated 2013-17](http://jfs.ohio.gov/ofs/bcfta/BB/20130228-BCFTA-Update-2013-17-APAA.stm) dated 2/28/13 for further information.

**NOTE:** ODJFS is not granting auditors of County JFS programs access to the JFS systems. ODJFS is encouraging County JFS offices to cooperate with audit requests. Per Office of Fiscal and Monitoring Services’ County Monitoring Advisory Bulletin 2012-01 / Workforce Investment Act Advisory Bulletin 2012-01, dated February 13, 2012, in part:

“County agency management personnel are obligated to provide the necessary data to the regional auditors or their designees. However, due care must be taken to safeguard the information provided to the AOS and its contractors. Under no circumstances should agency management or staff give the AOS audit staff access to any ODJFS systems. Each agency must make a reasonable effort to limit the disclosure of protected health information to the minimum necessary to accomplish the intended purpose of the disclosure. The agencies must provide the data to the AOS via encrypted media, i.e. memory sticks, CDs or DVDs, external hard drives etc., in accordance with state guidelines on secure portable media. The method through which data are transferred is at the sole discretion of each local director.”

# Part III – Applicable Compliance Requirements

## A. ACTIVITIES ALLOWED OR UNALLOWED

**Federal awarding agencies adopted/implemented the Uniform Guidance in 2 CFR part 200. The OMB guidance is directed to Federal agencies and, by itself, does not establish regulatory requirements binding on non-federal entities. Throughout the FACCR 2 CFR part 200 has been referenced, however in determining compliance auditors need to refer the applicable agency codification of 2 CFR Part 200. Auditors should review this** [**link**](Agency%20Adoption%20of%20the%20UG%20and%20Example%20Citations.pdf) **for a full discussion of agency adoption of the UG and how to cite non-compliance exceptions. Auditors will need to start with the agency codification of the UG when citing exceptions.**

### OMB Compliance Requirements

**Important Note:** For a cost to be allowable, it must (1) be for a purpose the specific award permits and (2) fall within 45 CFR 75 Subpart E ([2 CFR 200 subpart E](2CFR200_Subpart%20E.pdf)) Cost Principles. These two criteria are roughly analogous to classifying a cost by both program/function and object. That is, the grant award generally prescribes the allowable program/function while 2 CFR 200 subpart E prescribes allowable object cost categories and restrictions that may apply to certain object codes of expenditures.

For example, could a government use an imaginary Homeland Security grant to pay OP&F pension costs for its police force? To determine this, the client (and we) would look to the grant agreement to see if police activities (security of persons and property function cost classification) met the program objectives. Then, the auditor would look to Subpart E (provisions for selected items of cost 45 CFR 75.420-75.475 (2CFR [§ 200.420-200.475](2CFR200.420_thru_200.475.pdf))) to determine if pension costs (an object cost classification) are permissible. 45 CFR 75.431(g) and 2 CFR 200.431(g) state they are allowable, with certain provisions, so we would need to determine if the auditee met the provisions.) Both the client and we should look at 45 CFR 75 Subpart E (2 CFR 200 subpart E) even if the grant agreement includes a budget by object code approved by the grantor agency. Also, keep in mind that granting agencies have codified 2 CFR 200 and some agencies have been granted exceptions to provisions within 2 CFR 200.

*(Source: AOS CFAE)*

The specific requirements for activities allowed or unallowed are unique to each Federal program and are found in the Federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the Federal award pertaining to the program. For programs listed in this Supplement, the specific requirements of the governing statutes and regulations are included in Part 4, “Agency Program Requirements” or Part 5, “Clusters of Programs,” as applicable. This type of compliance requirement specifies the activities that can or cannot be funded under a specific program.

**Source of Governing Requirements**

The requirements for activities allowed or unallowed are contained in program legislation, Federal awarding agency regulations, and the terms and conditions of the award.

*(Source: 2017 OMB Compliance Supplement 3.2)*

**Agency Codification Adjustments/Exceptions:**

* HHS, DOL, HUD, DOT, and EPA have not made any adjustments or exceptions that directly impact references within this compliance requirement.

**Part 4 OMB Program Specific Requirements**

1. *Federal Only*

a. Funds may be used for expenditures for activities that are not permissible under 42 USC 601, but for which the State was authorized to use Title IV- A or IV-F funds under prior law. The previously authorized activities must have been included in a State’s approved State AFDC plan, JOBS plan, or Supportive Services Plan, as in effect on September 30, 1995, or at the State’s option, on August 21, 1996. Examples of such activities are authorized juvenile justice and foster care activities (42 USC 604(a)(2);45 CFR section 263.11(a)(2)).

b. A State may transfer up to 30 percent of the combined total of current fiscal year funds (not prior fiscal year funds carried into the current fiscal year) received under the SFAG, and supplemental grant for population increases for a given fiscal year to carry out programs under the Social Services Block Grant (Title XX) (CFDA 93.667) and/or the Child Care and Development Block Grant (CFDA 93.575). However, no more than 10 percent may be transferred to Title XX, and such amounts may be used only for programs or services to children or their families whose income is less than 200 percent of the poverty level. Neither contingency funds under 42 USC 603(b) nor emergency funds under 42 USC 603(c) (Pub. L. No. 111-5) can be transferred under this authority (); (42 USC604(d)). The poverty guidelines are issued each year in the *Federal* *Register* and HHS maintains a website that provides the poverty guidelines (<http://aspe.hhs.gov/poverty/index.shtml>).

2. *Federal Only and Commingled Federal/State –* Funds may not be used to provide medical services other than pre-pregnancy family planning services (42 USC608(a)(6)).

3. *Federal Only, Commingled Federal/State, Segregated State, Separate State Program*

a. Funds may be used in any manner reasonably calculated to accomplish the purposes of the program, including providing low-income households with assistance in meeting home heating and cooling costs (42 USC 604(a)(1) and 45 CFR section 263.11(a)(1)). As specified in 42 USC 601 and 45 CFR section 260.20, the TANF program has the following purposes (**Note**: In the following sections of this program supplement, these are referenced as TANF purposes 1, 2, 3, and/or 4):

(1) Provide assistance to needy families so that children may be cared for in their own homes or in the homes of relatives;

(2) End dependence of needy parents on government benefits by promoting job preparation, work, and marriage;

(3) Prevent and reduce the incidence of out-of-wedlock pregnancies and establish annual numerical goals for preventing and reducing the incidence of these pregnancies; and

(4) Encourage the formation and maintenance of two-parent families.

b. A State may use funds for programs to prevent and reduce the number of out-of-wedlock pregnancies, including programs targeted to law enforcement officials, the educational system, and counseling services that provide education and training of women and men on the problem of statutory rape (42 USC 602(a)(1)(A)(v) and (vi)).

c. Funds may be used to make payments or provide job placement vouchers to State-approved public and private job placement agencies providing employment placement services to individuals receiving assistance under TANF (42 USC 604(f)).

d. Funds may be used to implement an electronic benefits transfer system (42 USC 604(g)).

e. Funds may be used to carry out a program to fund individual development accounts (42 USC 604(h)(2); 45 CFR sections 263.20 through 263.23) established by individuals eligible to receive assistance under TANF (42 USC 604(h); 45 CFR part 263, subpart C).

f. A State may contract with charitable, religious, and private organizations to provide administrative and programmatic services and may provide beneficiaries of assistance with certificates, vouchers, or other forms of disbursement that are redeemable with such organization (42 USC604a(b),42 USC 604a(k), and 45 CFR section 260.34). However, funds provided directly to participating organizations may not be used for inherently religious activities, such as worship, religious instruction, or proselytization (42 USC 604a(j); 45 CFR section 260.34(c)).

4. *Prohibition on Use of Federal TANF and State MOE funds for Juvenile Justice Services* – See IV, “Other Information,” for area of risk of non-compliance for juvenile justice services.

*(Source: 2017 OMB Compliance Supplement, Part 4, Department of Health and Human Services Tanf (Title IV-A))*

### Additional Program Specific Information

**ODJFS Compliance Requirements**

As noted in the Introduction, counties must develop a plan which documents their PRC program. Each audit team must obtain and review the county’s plan for this program to determine what types of expenditures would be allowed/unallowed for the PRC portion of this program at the county level. CCMEP expenditures are guided by the [CCMEP Services Matrix](http://jfs.ohio.gov/owd/CCMEP/Services-Matrix.stm).

ODJFS issues Fraud Awareness Initiative allocations providing $2,000 to selected counties for fraud awareness month programs, campaigns, activities, and events. May is designated fraud awareness month. Funds must be obligated by June 30th and liquidated by September 30th. Funds must be used to purchase promotional items within the guidelines of [OAC 5101:9-6-70](OAC5101.9.6.70.pdf) as amended by [FAPMTL #344](http://www.odjfs.state.oh.us/lpc/calendar/fileLINKNAME.asp?ID=FAPMTL344). All costs are direct costs.

**RMS**

The following transmittal letters communicate the most recent changes to the OAC rules concerning the web-based RMS system:

* [OAC 5101:9-7-23](OAC5101.9.7.23.pdf) Child Support Random Moment Sample (RMS) Time Study
  + See [FAPMTL No. 358](http://emanuals.jfs.ohio.gov/letter/FAPMTL358/) (eff 10/31/2016)
* [OAC 5101:9-7-20](OAC5101.9.7.20.pdf) Income Maintenance, Workforce, Social Services, and Child Welfare Random Moment Sample (RMS) Time Studies
  + See [FAPMTL No. 318](http://www.odjfs.state.oh.us/lpc/calendar/fileLINKNAME.asp?ID=FAPMTL318) (eff 4/12/15)

See also BCFTA Web WebRMS reports at:

* <http://jfs.ohio.gov/ofs/bcfta/TOOLS/RMS/RMSTADocument.pdf>
* Desk Guide at <http://jfs.ohio.gov/ofs/bcfta/TOOLS/RMS/RMSDeskGuide.pdf> .
* Web RMS user manual was updated April 2015 and is available here <http://jfs.ohio.gov/ofs/bcfta/TOOLS/RMS/RMS_UserManual.stm> .

The RMS observations are time studies which are designed to measure county staff activity regarding income maintenance and social services programs. Both the Income Maintenance RMS (IMRMS) and the Social Services RMS (SSRMS) are completed on a quarterly basis by all positions performing directly related program functions, with the exception of positions performing administrative support or supervisory functions unless the person actually provides direct services. The RMS system selects the staff sample for completing the RMS from FTE Reporting done in CFIS.

Data collected from these time studies are used to calculate the percentage of time spent on the program. The percentages are used by the County agency system to allocate expenditures reported on the ODJFS 2827 financial statements.

County expenditures primarily consist of administrative expenses, most of which are captured through the RMS process discussed above; however, there may be non-RMS related expenditures as noted above performing administrative support or supervisory functions only, such as the JFS Director, human resource employees, etc. These are the administrative staff whose expenses belong in the shared cost pool. If it can be determined that a supervisor only supervises staff in one program- type cost pool, that supervisor’s expenses are included in the program-type cost pool and allocated along with their staff’s expenses by the RMS statistics for that particular program type.

RMS based funding has a one month lag time. For example, RMS reporting for September, October and November drives the quarterly funding for October, November and December.

**RMS sample sizes required per OAC:**

**IMRMS/SSRMS/CWRMS:** [OAC 5101:9-7-20](OAC5101.9.7.20.pdf)(G)

**CSRMS:** [OAC 5101:9-7-23](OAC5101.9.7.23.pdf)(G)

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| RMS Type | Agency Size | # of Observations |
| Income Maintenance (IMRMS) | Ten County Agencies with the Largest IM Cost Pool Expenditures | Minimum of 2,300 |
| Income Maintenance (IMRMS) | All Other County Agencies | Minimum of 354 |
| Social Services (SSRMS), Child Welfare (CWRMS), Juvenile Ct | 1-10 Participating Positions | Minimum of 33 per worker |
| Social Services (SSRMS), Child Welfare (CWRMS), Juvenile Ct | 11-74 Participating Positions | Minimum of 354 |
| Social Services (SSRMS), Child Welfare (CWRMS), Juvenile Ct | 75 or more Participating Positions | Minimum of 2,400 |
| Child Support (CSRMS) | 1-10 Participating positions | Minimum of 33 per worker |
| Child Support (CSRMS) | 11 or more Participating positions | Minimum of 354 |

AOS Additional Testing Consideration

Sections A & B are most often tested using them same sample. Additional program specific requirements / testing considerations are included in Section A that would also affect Section B.

County testing will primarily consist of the following:

* PRC direct expenses
* OWF direct expenses
* CCMEP direct expenses
* Administrative expenses
* FTE/RMS/Cost pools (will not include PRC or CCMEP expenses)

Auditors will need to test pooled costs separately (RMS) from direct charges (County ledgers).

All salaries and indirect expenses are included in cost pools. There are two levels of allocation for County JFS expenditures. Costs benefiting all programs (rent, leases, utilities, supplies, indirect employee costs for positions such as the agency director, personnel, fiscal, related compensation, etc.) are included in the Shared Costs Pool and are allocated based on the Quarterly Report of County JFS Full Time Equivalent (FTE) Positions submitted to ODJFS. Shared costs are distributed in CFIS Web based on the IM, SS, and CSEA FTE percentages.

More information regarding FTE reporting is available at <http://jfs.ohio.gov/ofs/bcfta/TOOLS/TOOLS.stm>. FTE reporting was previously accomplished on Form 4290, which has been replaced by CFIS Web form CR 445.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Allowable costs on FTE Report associated with Employees** | | | |
| **Reported on:** | **Program:** | **County Fund Paid from:** | **RMS Cost Pool** |
| JFS 02827 | Medicaid, CHIP, Food Assistance, TANF, SSBG, CCBG | Public Assistance (PA) Fund | IMRMS / SSRMS |
| JFS 02750 | Child Support Enforcement | Child Support Administrative Fund | CSRMS |
| JFS 02820 | Foster Care & Adoption | Children Services Workers | CWRMS or SSRMS (if combined agency) |

These electronic reports are in CFIS Web.

Costs are then allocated to the program level based on the RMS studies.

Auditors will need to test both FTE reporting and RMS. The FTE reporting and RMS testing is included in the audit program file due to its impact on the allocation of expenditures.

Auditors can determine population for RMS testing from a summary report for the quarter on CFIS that uploads into the RMS system. There is a data file with this information in CFIS that can be downloaded at the County JFS site.

### Audit Objectives and Control Testing

[**See here for the OMB Supplement Audit Objectives and Compliance Requirements**](Activities_Allowed_or_Unallowed_Audit_Objectives.pdf)

|  |
| --- |
| **What Control Procedures Address the Compliance Requirement (reference/link to documentation or where the testing was performed):** |
| **Basis for the control** (reports, resources, etc. providing information needed to understand requirements and prevent or identify and correct errors):  **Control Procedure** (description of how auditee uses the “Basis” to prevent, or identify and correct or detect errors):  **Person(s) responsible for performing the control procedure** (title):  **Description of evidence documenting the control was applied** (i.e. sampling unit):  **Here are some questions that can help in documenting the above control requirements:**  **(Note: The County/District JFS Policies should document controls for meeting compliance requirements. Auditors should review the information provided by the County/District JFS to gain an understanding of the procedures in place.)**   1. Does the County/district JFS pay expenditures to the County via a CAP? 2. How does the County ensure only applicable costs are included in the CAP? 3. What procedures does the County/district JFS have in place to ensure they are only paying for allowable activities? 4. What controls does the County/district JFS have to ensure costs are not paid through the CAP and directly to the County/Fiscal Agent? 5. What procedures does the County/district JFS have in place for only allowable costs input into CFIS? 6. What procedures does the County/district JFS have to ensure administrative employees / costs are not reported as part of RMS, unless these employees provide direct services? 7. How does the County ensure that:  * Employees are properly completing the RMS observation; * Documentation is available to support the program and activity claimed; * Observations for absent employees are properly completed; * FTE allocations for the shared cost pool are correct; * Employees are assigned to the correct cost pool; and * Employees are completing the correct RMS observation.  1. Interview the RMS Coordinator. Document RMS coordinator name and date of interview. Document any weaknesses noted. Interview could include questions such as the following:    1. Are you familiar with the RMS procedures summarized in the [RMS User Manual](http://jfs.ohio.gov/ofs/bcfta/TOOLS/RMS/RMS_UserManual.stm)?    2. What is your role in the RMS process?    3. What do you do if you receive an RMS observation for an employee who no longer works in your office?    4. How do you ensure the observation is filled out correctly?    5. Have you received any special training or instructions on RMS procedures within the past 12 months?    6. How do you complete the RMS control sample? What is the purpose of the control sample? 2. Interview case workers who participate in RMS. Document employee name and date of interview. Interview could include questions such as the following:    * 1. Are you familiar with the RMS procedures summarized in the [RMS User Manual](http://jfs.ohio.gov/ofs/bcfta/TOOLS/RMS/RMS_UserManual.stm)?      2. What do you do when you receive an observation?         1. Complete immediately         2. Hold until appropriate time         3. Complete at my convenience         4. Other (explain)      3. What items need to be completed for the observation?         1. What program you are working with         2. Activity code         3. Case number (or unique identifier)         4. Comment section completed   OWF – Direct Expenditures  Identify and document the control procedures which address the TANF OWF direct expenditures disbursed at the county/district level.  PRC and CCMEP – Program Expenditures  Identify and document the control procedures which address the TANF PRC/CCMEP program expenditures |

### Suggested Audit Procedures – Compliance

|  |
| --- |
| **Suggested Audit Procedures – Compliance (Substantive Tests)**  **(Reference / link to documentation where testing was performed testing):** |
| **This FACCR was written for grants required to be tested under the UG, however if you have material non-UG transactions, please contact CFAE via the FACCR Inbox** [**FACCR@ohioauditor.gov**](mailto:FACCR@ohioauditor.gov)**.**   * **Auditors should gain efficiencies by testing in conjunction with other programs with the same requirements for CAP, FTE and RMS** * **For instances where the compliance affects multiple major programs (i.e. RMS, FTE, financial reporting) we can sometimes have one population for determining sample size. See Government Auditing Standards and Single Audit Guide 11.42.** * **Consider the results of the testing of internal control in assessing the risk of noncompliance. Use this as the basis for determining the nature, timing, and extent (e.g., number of transactions to be selected) of substantive tests of compliance.**   **Consider the results of the testing of internal control in assessing the risk of noncompliance. Use this as the basis for determining the nature, timing, and extent (e.g., number of transactions to be selected) of substantive tests of compliance.** |
| 1. Identify the types of activities which are either specifically allowed or prohibited by Federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the Federal award pertaining to the program.  2. When allowability is determined based upon summary level data, perform procedures to verify that:  a. Activities were allowable.  b. Individual transactions were properly classified and accumulated into the activity total.  3. When allowability is determined based upon individual transactions, select a sample of transactions and perform procedures to verify that the transaction was for an allowable activity.  4. The auditor should be alert for large transfers of funds from program accounts which may have been used to fund unallowable activities.  **Additional ODJFS Steps**  5. If the client has made subawards under the program, select a representative number of awards and determine whether they were only approved for activities as identified in step 1 above. See also Section M.  6. Obtain management’s explanation for any significant questionable expenditures/subawards. Analyze responses and obtain any additional documentation considered necessary.  7. In conjunction with Allowable Costs/Cost Principles in Section B, determine if the disbursements met 45 CFR 75 Subpart E ([2 CFR 200 Subpart E Cost Principles](2CFR200_subpart%20E.pdf)).  Other Attributes:   * Charges were properly coded. * Voucher was properly computed. * Invoice amount agrees to voucher amount * Invoice date precedes voucher date. * If a reimbursement, reimbursement was not claimed greater than 21 months following the payment of the expenditure. * Payments can be made on behalf of eligible and non-eligible children, allowable activities and non-allowable activities per federal terms and conditions.   **CAP (see also CAP testing in Section B)**   1. Summarize monthly payments to the County and review CAP for accuracy of payment. Ensure that payments made were for the current or prior period and they were within the current biennium. 2. Review CAP for reasonableness of County/district JFS expenditures.   **FTE Reporting- the roster is uploaded through the WebRMS system (See** [**OAC 5101:9-7-23**](OAC5101.9.7.23.pdf) **&** [**5101:9-7-20**](OAC5101.9.7.20.pdf) **for additional information.)**   1. Determine if the number of FTE by program area category is consistent with the payroll in the previous quarter. 2. Pull a representative sample of employees and determine if they are reported in the correct program area category based on documentation. (i.e. job duties, job description, personnel file, employee interview, etc.)   **RMS**   1. Determine RMS cost pools that require testing (i.e. Income Maintenance, Social Services, Child Support, Child Welfare). 2. Scan all 4 quarterly RMS Tabulation Reports to identify any indications of misuse or manipulation of RMS codes (could help determine which quarter to test in step 3):    1. High instances of un-funded codes    2. Large variances (over 20%) in RMS coding between quarters    3. Distribution of RMS codes between programs 3. Obtain one quarter’s RMS observations for each population to be tested (i.e. Shared, Income Maintenance, Social Services, Child Support, Child Welfare)   Select a representative sample of observations, test for the following attributes and note any exceptions.   * + 1. Observation includes a case number or other identifier     2. Observation includes the activity, where applicable     3. Determine if documentation exists to substantiate the claimed program and/or activity on the RMS sample observation     4. Employee must respond to the observation within 24 business hours.     5. The RMS Coordinator reviewed and approved all observation moment responses within 48 hours.     6. If the observation had been flagged as part of the quality assurance control group, determine the supervisor/supervisor designee validated the response within the same twenty-four-hour response period that is available to the employee.   Also, determine if it was approved by the supervisor/supervisor designee, and that the response was accepted by the RMS coordinator.     7. No unauthorized or vacant positions were included in the RMS sample  1. From the RMS sample in Step 3, select a sample of employees (no duplicates) and determine if RMS charge is supported    1. Obtain payroll listing with job titles and compare to RMS observations completed    2. Review job duties from observation and / or interview with employee    3. Match job activities from RMS with job descriptions in personnel file    4. If employee is an administrative or supervisory, determine whether they are appropriately completing the RMS observations       1. Administrative support employees can participate in RMS if they provide direct services 50% of the time       2. Supervisory employees can participate in RMS if they provide direct services over 50% of the time 2. The information that was previously included in the County RMS Sample Reference list (the list was a recap from ODJFS of the RMS observations information input into the system by the County/district JFS) is available in the WebRMS system.  * Determine if the required number of observations were performed   **Reminder:** Auditors should not put confidential information in the current working papers and should follow established procedures for protection of confidential information. |

### Audit Implications Summary

|  |
| --- |
| **Audit Implications (adequacy of the system and controls, and the effect on sample size, significant deficiencies / material weaknesses, material non-compliance and management letter comments)** |
| 1. **Results of Test of Controls: (including material weaknesses, significant deficiencies and management letter items)** 2. **Assessment of Control Risk:** 3. **Effect on the Nature, Timing, and Extent of Compliance (Substantive Test) including Sample Size:** 4. **Results of Compliance (Substantive Tests) Tests:** 5. **Questioned Costs: Actual \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Projected \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_** |

## B. ALLOWABLE COSTS/COST PRINCIPLES

**Federal awarding agencies adopted/implemented the Uniform Guidance in 2 CFR part 200. The OMB guidance is directed to Federal agencies and, by itself, does not establish regulatory requirements binding on non-federal entities. Throughout the FACCR 2 CFR part 200 has been referenced, however in determining compliance auditors need to refer the applicable agency codification of 2 CFR Part 200. Auditors should review this** [**link**](Agency%20Adoption%20of%20the%20UG%20and%20Example%20Citations.pdf) **for a full discussion of agency adoption of the UG and how to cite non-compliance exceptions. Auditors will need to start with the agency codification of the UG when citing exceptions.**

### Applicability of Cost Principles

**Important Note:** For a cost to be allowable, it must (1) be for a purpose the specific award permits and (2) fall within 45 CFR 75 Subpart E ([2 CFR 200 subpart E](2CFR200_Subpart%20E.PDF)) Cost Principles. These two criteria are roughly analogous to classifying a cost by both program/function and object. That is, the grant award generally prescribes the allowable program/function while 45 CFR 75 Subpart E ([2 CFR 200 subpart E](2CFR200_Subpart%20E.PDF)) prescribes allowable object cost categories and restrictions that may apply to certain object codes of expenditures.

For example, could a government use an imaginary Homeland Security grant to pay OP&F pension costs for its police force? To determine this, the client (and we) would look to the grant agreement to see if police activities (security of persons and property function cost classification) met the program objectives. Then, the auditor would look to Subpart E (provisions for selected items of cost 45 CFR 75.420-75.575 ([2 CFR 200.420-200.475](2CFR200.420_thru_200.475.pdf))) to determine if pension costs (an object cost classification) are permissible. (200.431(g) states they are allowable, with certain provisions, so we would need to determine if the auditee met the provisions.) Both the client and we should look at 2 CFR 200 subpart E even if the grant agreement includes a budget by object code approved by the grantor agency. Also keep in mind that granting agencies have codified 2 CFR 200 and some agencies have been granted exceptions to provisions within 2 CFR 200.

*(Source: AOS CFAE)*

The cost principles in 45 CFR 75, Subpart E ([2 CFR part 200, subpart E](2CFR200_Subpart%20E.PDF)) (Cost Principles), prescribe the cost accounting requirements associated with the administration of Federal awards by:

* States, local governments and Indian tribes
* Institutions of higher education (IHEs)
* Nonprofit organizations

As provided in 45 CFR 75.101 ([2 CFR section 200.101](2CFR200.101.pdf)), the cost principles requirements apply to all Federal awards with the exception of grant agreements and cooperative agreements providing food commodities; agreements for loans, loan guarantees, interest subsidies, insurance; and programs listed in 45 CFR 75.101 ([2 CFR section 200.101(d)](2CFR200.101(d).pdf)) (see [Appendix I](2CFR200_APPENDIX_I.pdf) of this Supplement). Federal awards administered by publicly owned hospitals and other providers of medical care are exempt from 2 CFR part 200, subpart E, but are subject to the requirements [45 CFR part 75, Appendix IX](45CFR75_Appendix_IX.pdf), the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) implementation of 2 CFR part 200. The cost principles applicable to a non-Federal entity apply to all Federal awards received by the entity, regardless of whether the awards are received directly from the Federal awarding agency or indirectly through a pass-through entity. For this purpose, Federal awards include cost-reimbursement contacts under the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR). The cost principles do not apply to Federal awards under which a non-Federal entity is not required to account to the Federal awarding agency or pass-through entity for actual costs incurred.

**Source of Governing Requirements**

The requirements for allowable costs/cost principles are contained in 45 CFR 75 Subpart E ([2 CFR part 200, subpart E](2CFR200_Subpart%20E.PDF)), program legislation, Federal awarding agency regulations, and the terms and conditions of the award.

The requirements for the development and submission of indirect (facilities and administration (F&A)) cost rate proposals and cost allocation plans (CAPs) are contained in 45 CFR 75 Appendices IV-VII ([2 CFR part 200, Appendices III-VII](2CFR200_Appendix_III_thru_VII.pdf)) as follows:

* Appendix III to Part 200—Indirect (F&A) Const Identification and Assignment and Rate Determination for Institutions of Higher Education (IHEs)
* Appendix IV to Part 200—Indirect (F&A) Costs Identification and Assignment, and Rate Determination for Nonprofit Organizations
* Appendix V to Part 200—State/Local Government-Wide Central Service Cost Allocation Plans
* Appendix VI to Part 200—Public Assistance Cost Allocation Plans
* Appendix VII to Part 200—States and Local Government and Indian Tribe Indirect Cost Proposals

Except for the requirements identified below under “Basic Guidelines,” which are applicable to all types of non-Federal entities, this compliance requirement is divided into sections based on the type of non-Federal entity. The differences that exist are necessary because of the nature of the non-Federal entity organizational structures, programs administered, and breadth of services offered by some non-Federal entities and not others.

*(Source: 2017 OMB Compliance Supplement 3.2)*

**Agency Codification Adjustments/Exceptions:**

* HHS, USDA, and DOL have made additions and edits to subpart E. The most recent compilation of agency additions and exceptions is provided on the COFAR website here <https://cfo.gov/wp-content/uploads/2014/12/Agency-Exceptions.pdf>. However, this list is only updated through 12/2014.

**Basic Guidelines**

Except where otherwise authorized by statute, cost must meet the following general criteria in order to be allowable under Federal awards;

1. Be necessary and reasonable for the performance of the Federal award and be allocable thereto under the principles in 45 CFR 75, Subpart E [(2 CFR part 200, subpart E](2CFR200_subpart%20E.PDF)).

2. Conform to any limitations or exclusions set forth in 45 CFR 75, Subpart E (2 CFR part 200, subpart E) or in the Federal award as to types or amount of cost items.

3. Be consistent with policies and procedures that apply uniformly to both federally financed and other activities of the non-Federal entity.

4. Be accorded consistent treatment. A cost may not be assigned to a Federal award as a direct cost if any other cost incurred for the same purpose in like circumstances has been allocated to the Federal award as an indirect cost.

5. Be determined in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP), except, for State and local governments and Indian tribes only, as otherwise provided for in 2 CFR part 200.

6. Not be included as a cost or used to meet cost-sharing or matching requirements of any other federally financed program in either the current or a prior period.

7. Be adequately documented.

**Selected Items of Cost**

45 CFR 75.420 through 75.475 ([2 CFR sections 200.420 through 200.475](2CFR200.420_thru_200.475.pdf)) provide the principles to be applied in establishing the allowability of certain items of cost, in addition to the basic considerations identified above. These principles apply whether or not a particular item of cost is treated as a direct cost or indirect (F&A) cost. Failure to mention a particular item of cost is not intended to imply that it is either allowable or unallowable; rather, determination of allowability in each case should be based on the treatment provided for similar or related items of cost and the principles described in 45 CFR 75.402 through 75.411 ([2 CFR sections 200.402 through 200.411](2CFR200.402_thru_411.pdf)).

[List of Selected Items of Cost Contained in 2 CFR Part 200](Selected_Items_of_Cost_Part_3.2_ComplianceSupplement.pdf)

*(Source: 2017 OMB Compliance Supplement 3.2)*

**Part 4 OMB Program Specific Requirements**

There are no Program Specific requirements for this compliance requirement.

*(Source: 2017 OMB Compliance Supplement, Part 4, Department of Health and Human Services Tanf (Title IV-A))*

**Written Procedure Requirements:**

45 CFR 75.302(b)(7) ([2 CFR 200.302](2CFR200.302.pdf)(b)(7)) requires written procedures for determining the allowability of costs in accordance with Subpart E-Cost Principles of this part and the terms and conditions of the Federal award.

45 CFR 75.430 ([2 CFR 200.430](2CFR200.430.pdf)) states that costs of compensation are allowable to the extent that they satisfy the specific requirements of this part, and that the total compensation for individual employees: (1) Is reasonable for the services rendered and conforms to the established written policy of the non-Federal entity consistently applied to both Federal and non-Federal activities; (2) Follows an appointment made in accordance with a non-Federal entity's laws and/or rules or written policies and meets the requirements of Federal statute, where applicable; and (3) Is determined and supported as provided in paragraph (i) of this section, Standards for Documentation of Personnel Expenses, when applicable.

45 CFR 75.431 ([2 CFR 200.431](2CFR200.431.pdf)) requires established written leave policies if the entity intends to pay fringe benefits.

45 CFR 75.464(a)(2) ([2 CFR 200.464](2CFR200.464.pdf)(a)(2)) requires reimbursement of relocation costs to employees be in accordance with an established written policy must be consistently followed by the employer.

45 CFR 75.474 ([2 CFR 200.474](2CFR200.474.pdf)) requires reimbursement and/or charges to be consistent with those normally allowed in like circumstances in the non-Federal entity's non-federally-funded activities and in accordance with non-Federal entity's written travel reimbursement policies.

*(Source: CFAE/eCFR)*

### Additional Program Specific Information

**ODJFS Program Specific Requirements**

**Sections A & B are most often test together using the same sample. See also Section A.**

The most significant administrative costs of the County JFS is compensation. Costs of compensation must be allocated by means of full-time equivalents (FTEs) and the RMS system, as set forth in the state cost allocation plan. The costs of providers should normally be charged directly to the benefiting program. Provider costs, including provider administrative costs, should not be charged to a cost pool as this would likely cause costs to be charged to non-benefiting programs, contrary to the federal cost allocation principles (45 CFR 75 Subpart E and [2 CFR 200 Subpart E](2CFR200_subpart%20E.pdf)). Costs which are readily assignable as direct costs should be charged in that manner and not charged to a cost pool, unless required by the statewide cost allocation plan. Costs, whether charged directly or indirectly, should be charged only to benefiting federal programs. Subrecipients may not be paid any amounts in excess of allowable costs, whether as a fee or any other increment. For example, where a contractor is providing both WIA and TANF program services (if it is assignable to each program), each cost should be allocated by the contractor to the appropriate program and charged as direct program costs. On the other hand, where a contractor is providing general administrative services, such as the development of an agency-wide classification system for employees and (is not assignable to individual programs), those costs are not direct program costs. As the costs benefit all programs within the agency, they should be charged to the shared cost pool.

Counties have a cost allocation plan (CAP) for centralized services that includes County JFS Agencies. County JFS pays the County Auditor for their portion of the CAP.

Agencies place administrative expenditures in a pool; for combined agencies it is referred to as the shared cost pool. ODJFS allocates funding from the shared cost pool through FTE statistics and divides the expenditures into program cost pools (IM, SS, CS). Random Moment Sampling (RMS) statistics are used to allocate the expenditures in each of the separate program (IM, SS, CS) cost pools.

Auditors should be alert for the following:

* Expenditures reimbursed as part of the County CAP and being paid directly (could be charged directly to the program or allocated to a cost pool). Many County CAPs include rent therefore the County JFS should not be paying for rent as a direct expense. The County JFS could be paying the County twice for the same expenditure.
* Instances where County JFS offices may show these County CAP expenditures in the CFIS system even when they did not pay them to the County (offset by a negative expenditure in order to balance to the county auditor’s records).
* Less than arm’s length transactions (see example rent issue discussed below).

County family services agencies are not authorized under Ohio law to hold title to real properly. The agencies routinely rent or lease (for federal grants management purposes, the terms are interchangeable) the facilities necessary for their operation. Rental costs are allowable costs to federal programs under 45 CFR 75.465 ([2 CFR 200.465](2CFR200.465.pdf)). However, rates must be reasonable in light of such factors as:

* + Rental costs of comparable property, if any;
  + Market conditions in the area;
  + Alternatives available; and
  + The type, life expectancy, condition, and value of the property leased.

If the County JFS rents facilities from the board of county commissioners, they are subject to additional restrictions under 45 CFR 75.465 ([2 CFR 200.465](2CFR200.465.pdf)). As the county family services agency and the board of county commissioners are “related parties,” a rental transaction between the two is considered a “less-than-arm’s-length” transaction. As a result, allowable rental costs are limited to the amount that would be allowed had title to the property vested in the governmental unit; i.e., depreciation, maintenance, taxes and insurance. If the lease amount is tied to a bond schedule for the repayment of the county’s indebtedness on the building in question, this amount may be more than the allowable rental costs under 45 CFR 75.465 (2 CFR 200.465), and the excessive amount would not be an allowable cost to federal programs.

ODJFS issued [County Monitoring Advisory Bulletin 2008-001](http://jfs.ohio.gov/ofs/bcfta/TOOLS/LEASE/CountyMonitoringAdvisoryBulletin2008-001.pdf) regarding this matter.

Please note if the County capitalizes the interest, they can’t charge the JFS depreciation + interest as this would result in the County double-charging for the interest.

See also [OAC 5101:9-4-11](OAC5101.9.4.11.pdf) (eff. 2-21-15) Rental Costs and Lease Agreements for the rule governing this requirement. This rule is also referred to in FACCR Section F - Equipment and Real Property Management.

Note: ORC § 329.44 allows for JFS Districts to hold title to real property. Auditors will need to evaluate if the district is holding title to real property and will need to import testing procedures from the General boilerplate FACCR. Also keep in mind costs incurred for the acquisition of buildings and land, as “capital expenditures,” are unallowable as direct charges, except where approved in advance by the awarding agency. See 45 CFR 75.318, 75.343 and 75.439 (2 CFR [200.311](2CFR200.311.pdf), [200.329](2CFR200.329.pdf) and [200.439](2CFR200.439.pdf)).

Per [FAPMTL 309](http://www.odjfs.state.oh.us/lpc/calendar/fileLINKNAME.asp?ID=FAPMTL309) (effective 2/16/15), OAC 5101:9-1-15 was rescinded. According to the transmittal, information for the rule can be found in the OMB Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards CFR part 200. Expenditures after this effective date will need to follow cost principles within 2 CFR part 200 Subpart E. Where federal, state, or local requirements differ, the most restrictive shall apply. Part (H) of this section lists selected items of costs where there is more restrictive policy based on Ohio law and/or where policy clarifications have been received.

ODJFS issues Fraud Awareness Initiative allocations providing $2,000 to selected counties for fraud awareness month programs, campaigns, activities, and events. May is designated fraud awareness month. Funds must be obligated by June 30th and liquidated by September 30th. Funds must be used to purchase promotional items within the guidelines of [OAC 5101:9-6-70](OAC5101.9.6.70.pdf) as amended by [FAPMTL #344](http://www.odjfs.state.oh.us/lpc/calendar/fileLINKNAME.asp?ID=FAPMTL344). All costs are direct costs.

As noted in the Introduction, counties must develop a plan which documents their PRC program. Each audit team must obtain and review the county’s plan for this program to determine what types of expenditures would be allowed/unallowed for the PRC portion of this program at the county level. CCMEP expenditures are guided by the [CCMEP Services Matrix](http://jfs.ohio.gov/owd/CCMEP/Services-Matrix.stm). Expenditures from these programs will be direct expenses. Also be aware that the Summer Youth program, while coming to an end after 2016, does run through the PRC program making this FACCR applicable for testing that Summer Youth activity.

### Indirect Cost Rate

Except for those non-Federal entities described in 45 CFR part 75 Appendix VII, paragraph D.1.b ([2 CFR part 200, Appendix VII, paragraph D.1.b](2CFR200_Appendix_VII_Para_D(1)(b).pdf)), if a non-Federal entity has never received a negotiated indirect cost rate, it may elect to charge a de minimis rate of 10 percent of modified total direct costs (MTDC). Such a rate may be used indefinitely or until the non-Federal entity chooses to negotiate a rate, which the non-Federal entity may do at any time. If a non-Federal entity chooses to use the de minimis rate, that rate must be used consistently for all of its Federal awards. Also, as described in 45 CFR 75.403 ([2 CFR section 200.403](2CFR200.403.pdf)), costs must be consistently charged as either indirect or direct, but may not be doubled charged or inconsistently charged as both. In accordance with 45 CFR 75.400(g) ([2 CFR section 200.400(g)](2CFR200.400(g).pdf)), a non-Federal entity may not earn or keep any profit resulting from Federal financial assistance, unless explicitly authorized by the terms and conditions of the award.

*(Source: 2017 OMB Compliance Supplement 3.2)*

#### Audit Objectives (Deminimis Indirect Cost Rate) and Control Testing Procedures

[**See here for the OMB Supplement Audit Objectives and Compliance Requirements**](Allowable%20Costs%20audit%20objectives_deminimis%20indirect%20cost%20rate.pdf)

|  |
| --- |
| **What Control Procedures Address the Compliance Requirement (reference/link to documentation or where the testing was performed):** |
| **Basis for the control** (reports, resources, etc. providing information needed to understand requirements and prevent or identify and correct errors):  **Control Procedure** (description of how auditee uses the “Basis” to prevent, or identify and correct or detect errors):  **Person(s) responsible for performing the control procedure** (title):  **Description of evidence documenting the control was applied** (i.e. sampling unit): |

#### Suggested Compliance Audit Procedures – De Minimis Indirect Cost Rate

**Note**: The following subsections identify requirements specific to each type of non-Federal entity.

|  |
| --- |
| **Suggested Audit Procedures – Compliance (Substantive Tests)**  **(Reference / link to documentation where testing was performed testing):** |
| The following suggested audit procedures apply to any non-Federal entity using a de minimis indirect cost rate, whether as a recipient or a subrecipient. None of the procedures related to indirect costs in the sections organized by type of non-Federal entity apply when a de minimis rate is used.  **Consider the results of the testing of internal control in assessing the risk of noncompliance. Use this as the basis for determining the nature, timing, and extent (e.g., number of transactions to be selected) of substantive tests of compliance.** |
| 1. Determine that the non-Federal entity has not previously claimed indirect costs on the basis of a negotiated rate. Auditors are required to test only for the three fiscal years immediately prior to the current audit period.  2. Test a sample of transactions for conformance with 45 CFR 75.414(f) ([2 CFR section 200.414(f)](2CFR200.414(f).pdf)).  a Select a sample of claims for reimbursement of indirect costs and verify that the de minimis rate was used consistently, the rate was applied to the appropriate base, and the amounts claimed were the product of applying the rate to a modified total direct costs base.  b Verify that the costs included in the base are consistent with the costs that were included in the base year, i.e., verify that current year modified total direct costs do not include costs items that were treated as indirect costs in the base year.  3. For a non-Federal entity conducting a single function, which is predominately funded by Federal awards, determine whether use of the de minimis indirect cost rate resulted in the non-Federal entity double-charging or inconsistently charging costs as both direct and indirect. |

**2 CFR PART 200**

### Cost Principles for States, Local Governments and Indian Tribes

**Introduction**

45 CFR part 75, subpart E ([2 CFR part 200, subpart E](2CFR200_subpart%20E.PDF)), and 45 CFR 75 subpart E Appendices III-VII ([Appendices III-VII](2CFR200_Appendix_III_thru_VII.pdf)) establish principles and standards for determining allowable direct and indirect costs for Federal awards. This section is organized into the following areas of allowable costs: States and Local Government and Indian Tribe Costs (Direct and Indirect); State/Local Government Central Service Costs; and State Public Assistance Agency Costs.

***Cognizant Agency for Indirect Costs***

45 CFR part 75, Appendix V, paragraph F ([2 CFR part 200, Appendix V, paragraph F](2CFR200_Appendix_V_Para_F.pdf)), provides the guidelines to use when determining the Federal agency that will serve as the cognizant agency for indirect costs for States, local governments, and Indian tribes. References to the “cognizant agency for indirect costs” are not equivalent to the cognizant agency for audit responsibilities, which is defined in 45 CFR 75.2 ([2 CFR section 200.18](2CFR200.18.pdf)). In addition, the change from the term “cognizant agency” in OMB Circular A-87 to the term “cognizant agency for indirect costs” in 2 CFR part 200 was not intended to change the scope of cognizance for central service or public assistance cist allocation plans.

For indirect cost rates and departmental indirect cost allocation plans, the cognizant agency is the Federal agency with the largest value of direct Federal awards (excluding pass-through awards) with a governmental unit or component, as appropriate. In general, unless different arrangements are agreed to by the concerned Federal agencies or described in 2 CFR part 200, Appendix V, paragraph F, the cognizant agency for central service cost allocation plans is the Federal agency with the largest dollar value of total Federal awards (including pass-through awards) with a governmental unit.

Once designated as the cognizant agency for indirect costs, the Federal agency remains so for a period of 5 years. In addition, 2 CFR part 200, Appendix V, paragraph F, lists the cognizant agencies for certain specific types of plans and the cognizant agencies for indirect costs for certain types of governmental entities. For example, HHS is cognizant for all public assistance and State-wide cost allocation plans for all States (including the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico), State and local hospitals, libraries, and health districts and the Department of the Interior (DOI) is cognizant for all Indian tribal governments, territorial governments, and State and local park and recreational districts.

*(Source: 2017 OMB Compliance Supplement 3.2)*

#### Audit Objectives/Compliance Requirements and Control Tests Allowable Costs –– Direct and Indirect Costs

The individual State/local government/Indian tribe departments or agencies (also known as “operating agencies”) are responsible for the performance or administration of Federal awards. In order to receive cost reimbursement under Federal awards, the department or agency usually submits claims asserting that allowable and eligible costs (direct and indirect) have been incurred in accordance with 45 CFR part 74, subpart E ([2 CFR part 200, subpart E](2CFR200_subpart%20E.PDF)).

The indirect cost rate proposal (ICRP) provides the documentation prepared by a State/local government/Indian tribe department or agency to substantiate its request for the establishment of an indirect cost rate. The indirect costs include (1) costs originating in the department or agency of the governmental unit carrying out Federal awards, and (2) for States and local governments, costs of central governmental services distributed through the State/local government-wide central service CAP that are not otherwise treated as direct costs. The ICRPs are based on the most current financial data and are used to either establish predetermined, fixed, or provisional indirect cost rates or to finalize provisional rates (for rate definitions refer to 45 CFR part 75, Appendix VII, paragraph B ([2 CFR part 200, Appendix VII, paragraph B](2CFR200_Appendix_VII_Para_B.pdf))).

*(Source: 2017 OMB Compliance Supplement 3.2)*

[**See here for the OMB Supplement Audit Objectives and Compliance Requirements**](Allowable%20Costs_DirectandIndirect_ComplianceReq_Auditobjectives.pdf)

**Additional Control Test Objectives for Written Procedures**

When documenting and identifying the key control(s) in place to address the compliance requirement, consider if the client has written procedures to document the control process.

* UG requires written policies for the requirements outlined in 45 CFR 75.302(b)(7), 45 CFR 75.430, 45 CFR 75.431, 45 CFR 75.464(a)(2), and 45 CFR 75.474 ([2 CFR 200.302](2CFR200.302.pdf)(b)(7), [2 CFR 200.430](2CFR200.430.pdf), [2 CFR 200.431](2CFR200.431.pdf), [2 CFR 200.464](2CFR200.464.pdf)(a)(2), and [2 CFR 200.474](2CFR200.474.pdf))*.*
* Document whether the non-Federal entity established written procedures consistent with the following requirements:
  + 45 CFR 75.302(b)(7) (2 CFR 200.302(b)(7)) for determining the allowability of costs in accordance with Subpart E-Cost Principles.
  + 45 CFR 75.430 (2 CFR 200.430) for allowability of compensation costs.
  + 45 CFR 75.431 (2 CFR 200.431) for written leave policies.
  + 45 CFR 75.464(a)(2) (2 CFR 200.464(a)(2)) for reimbursement of relocation costs.
  + 45 CFR 75.474 (2 CFR 200.474) for travel reimbursements.
* It is auditor judgment how to report instances where the entity either lacks having a written policy or their written policy is insufficient to meet the requirements of 45 CFR 75.302(b)(7), 45 CFR 75.430, 45 CFR 75.431, 45 CFR 75.464(a)(2), and 45 CFR 75.474 (2 CFR 200.302(b)(7), 2 CFR 200.430, 2 CFR 200.431, 2 CFR 200.464(a)(2), and 2 CFR 200.474).
  + While auditors would normally use a written policy as the basis for the compliance control, there could be other key controls in place to ensure program compliance.
  + The lack of a policy would be noncompliance, which could rise to the level of material noncompliance and even a control deficiency (SD / MW) if there were underlying internal control deficiencies.
    - If there are key controls in place operating effectively, AOS auditors would report the lack of the required UG policy as a management letter citation. However, in subsequent audits, evaluate if the noncompliance should be elevated if not adopted. Written policies aid in consistency and adherence to requirements strengthening internal control processes.

|  |
| --- |
| **What Control Procedures Address the Compliance Requirement (reference/link to documentation or where the testing was performed):** |
| **Basis for the control** (reports, resources, etc. providing information needed to understand requirements and prevent or identify and correct errors):  **Control Procedure** (description of how auditee uses the “Basis” to prevent, or identify and correct or detect errors):  **Person(s) responsible for performing the control procedure** (title):  **Description of evidence documenting the control was applied** (i.e. sampling unit): |

#### Suggested Compliance Audit Procedures – Direct and Indirect Costs

|  |
| --- |
| **Suggested Audit Procedures – Compliance (Substantive Tests)**  **(Reference / link to documentation where testing was performed testing):** |
| **This FACCR was written for grants required to be tested under the UG, however if you have material non-UG transactions, please contact CFAE via the FACCR Inbox** [**FACCR@ohioauditor.gov**](mailto:FACCR@ohioauditor.gov)**.**  **Consider the results of the testing of internal control in assessing the risk of noncompliance. Use this as the basis for determining the nature, timing, and extent (e.g., number of transactions to be selected) of substantive tests of compliance.** |
| ***Direct Costs***  Test a sample of transactions for conformance with the following criteria contained in 2 CFR part 200, as applicable:   1. If the auditor identifies unallowable direct costs, the auditor should be aware that “directly associated costs” might have been charged. Directly associated costs are costs incurred solely as a result of incurring another cost, and would not have been incurred if the other cost had not been incurred. For example, fringe benefits are “directly associated” with payroll costs. When an unallowable cost is incurred, directly associated costs are also unallowable. 2. Costs were approved by the Federal awarding agency, if required (see the above table (Selected Items of Cost, Exhibit 1) or 45 CFR 75.407 ([2 CFR section 200.407](2CFR200.407.pdf)) for selected items of cost that require prior written approval). 3. Costs did not consist of improper payments, including (1) payments that should not have been made or that were made in incorrect amounts (including overpayments and underpayments) under statutory, contractual, administrative, or other legally applicable requirements; (2) payments that do not account for credit for applicable discounts; (3) duplicate payments; (4) payments that were made to an ineligible party or for an ineligible good or service; and (5) payments for goods or services not received (except for such payments where authorized by law).   d. Costs were necessary and reasonable for the performance of the Federal award and allocable under the principles of 45 CFR part 75, subpart E ([2 CFR part 200, subpart E](2CFR200_subpart%20E.PDF)).  e. Costs conformed to any limitations or exclusions set forth in 2 CFR part 200, subpart E, or in the Federal award as to types or amount of cost items.  f. Costs were consistent with policies and procedures that apply uniformly to both federally financed and other activities of the State/local government/Indian tribe department or agency.  g. Costs were accorded consistent treatment. Costs were not assigned to a Federal award as a direct cost if any other cost incurred for the same purpose in like circumstances was allocated to the Federal award as an indirect cost.  h. Costs were not included as a cost of any other federally financed program in either the current or a prior period.  i. Costs were not used to meet the cost-sharing or matching requirements of another Federal program, except where authorized by Federal statute.  j. Costs were adequately documented.  ***Indirect Costs***  a. If the State/local department or agency is not required to submit an ICRP and related supporting documentation, the auditor should consider the risk of the reduced level of oversight in designing the nature, timing, and extent of compliance testing.  b. *General Audit Procedures* – The following procedures apply to charges to cost pools that are allocated wholly or partially to Federal awards or used in formulating indirect cost rates used for recovering indirect costs under Federal awards.  (1) Test a sample of transactions for conformance with:  (a) The criteria contained in the “Basic Considerations” section of 45 CFR 75.402 through 75.411 ([2 CFR sections 200.402 through 200.411](2CFR200.402_thru_411.pdf)).  (b) The principles to establish allowability or unallowability of certain items of cost 45 CFR 75.420 through 75.475 ([2 CFR sections 200.420 through 200.475](2CFR200.420_thru_200.475.pdf)).  Note: While several selected items of cost are included in Exhibit 1 , one item to note is *Compensation - Personnel Services*, (formally referred to as Time and Effort/Semi Annual Certification). See 45 CFR 75.430 ([2 CFR 200.430](2CFR200.430.pdf)).  (2) If the auditor identifies unallowable costs, the auditor should be aware that directly associated costs might have been charged. Directly associated costs are costs incurred solely as a result of incurring another cost, and would have not been incurred if the other cost had not been incurred. When an unallowable cost is incurred, directly associated costs are also unallowable. For example, occupancy costs related to unallowable general costs of government are also unallowable.  c. *Special Audit Procedures for State, Local Government, and Indian Tribe ICRPs (see also the AOS discussion on* [*testing the ICRP*](Testing%20the%20ICRP%20discussion.pdf)*)*  (1) Verify that the ICRP includes the required documentation in accordance with 45 CFR part 75, Appendix VII, paragraph D ([2 CFR part 200, Appendix VII, paragraph D](2CFR200_Appendix_VII_Para_D.pdf)).  (2) *Testing of the ICRP* – There may be a timing consideration when the audit is completed before the ICRP is completed. In this instance, the auditor should consider performing interim testing of the costs charged to the cost pools and the allocation bases (e.g., determine from management the cost pools that management expects to include in the ICRP and test the costs for compliance with 2 CFR part 200). Should there be audit exceptions, corrective action may be taken earlier to minimize questioned costs. In the next year’s audit, the auditor should complete testing and verify management’s representations against the completed ICRP.  \The following procedures are some acceptable options the auditor may use to obtain assurance that the costs collected in the cost pools and the allocation methods used are in compliance with 45 CFR part 75, subpart E ([2 CFR part 200, subpart E](2CFR200_subpart%20E.PDF)):  (a) *Indirect Cost Pool* – Test the indirect cost pool to ascertain if it includes only allowable costs in accordance with 2 CFR part 200.  (i) Test to ensure that unallowable costs are identified and eliminated from the indirect cost pool (e.g., capital expenditures, general costs of government).  (ii) Identify significant changes in expense categories between the prior ICRP and the current ICRP. Test a sample of transactions to verify the allowability of the costs.  (iii) Trace the central service costs that are included in the indirect cost pool to the approved State/local government or central service CAP or to plans on file when submission is not required.  (b) *Direct Cost Base* – Test the methods of allocating the costs to ascertain if they are in accordance with the applicable provisions of 2 CFR part 200 and produce an equitable distribution of costs.  (i) Determine that the proposed base(s) includes all activities that benefit from the indirect costs being allocated.  (ii) If the direct cost base is not limited to direct salaries and wages, determine that distorting items are excluded from the base. Examples of distorting items include capital expenditures, flow-through funds (such as benefit payments), and subaward costs in excess of $25,000 per subaward.  (iii) Determine the appropriateness of the allocation base (e.g., salaries and wages, modified total direct costs).  (c) *Other Procedures*  (i) Examine the records for employee compensation to ascertain if they are accurate, and the costs are allowable and properly allocated to the various functional and programmatic activities to which salary and wage costs are charged. (Refer to 45 CFR 75.430 ([2 CFR section 200.430](2CFR200.430.pdf)) for additional information on support of salaries and wages.)  (ii) For an ICRP using the multiple allocation base method, test statistical data (e.g., square footage, audit hours, salaries and wages) to ascertain if the proposed allocation or rate bases are reasonable, updated as necessary, and do not contain any material omissions.  (3) *Testing of Charges Based Upon the ICRA* – Perform the following procedures to test the application of charges to Federal awards based upon an ICRA:  (a) Obtain and read the current ICRA and determine the terms in effect.  (b) Select a sample of claims for reimbursement and verify that the rates used are in accordance with the rate agreement, that rates were applied to the appropriate bases, and that the amounts claimed were the product of applying the rate to the applicable base. Verify that the costs included in the base(s) are consistent with the costs that were included in the base year (e.g., if the allocation base is total direct costs, verify that current-year direct costs do not include costs items that were treated as indirect costs in the base year).  (4) *Other Procedures* – No Negotiated ICRA  (a) If an indirect cost rate has not been negotiated by a cognizant agency for indirect costs, the auditor should determine whether documentation exists to support the costs. Where the auditee has documentation, the suggested general audit procedures under paragraph 3.b above should be performed to determine the appropriateness of the indirect cost charges to awards.  (b) If an indirect cost rate has not been negotiated by a cognizant agency for indirect costs, and documentation to support the indirect costs does not exist, the auditor should question the costs based on a lack of supporting documentation. |

### Allowable Costs – State/Local Government-wide Central Service Costs

Most governmental entities provide services, such as accounting, purchasing, computer services, and fringe benefits, to operating agencies on a centralized basis. Since the Federal awards are performed within the individual operating agencies, there must be a process whereby these central service costs are identified and assigned to benefiting operating agency activities on a reasonable and consistent basis. The State/local government-wide central service cost allocation plan (CAP) provides that process. (Refer to 45 CFR part 75, Appendix V ([2 CFR part 200, Appendix V](2CFR200_Appendix_V.pdf)), for additional information and specific requirements.)

The allowable costs of central services that a governmental unit provides to its agencies may be allocated or billed to the user agencies. The State/local government-wide central service CAP is the required documentation of the methods used by the governmental unit to identify and accumulate these costs, and to allocate them or develop billing rates based on them.

Allocated central service costs (referred to as Section I costs) are allocated to benefiting operating agencies on some reasonable basis. These costs are usually negotiated and approved for a future year on a “fixed-with-carry-forward” basis. Examples of such services might include general accounting, personnel administration, and purchasing. Section I costs assigned to an operating agency through the State/local government-wide central service CAP are typically included in the agency’s indirect cost pool.

Billed central service costs (referred to as Section II costs) are billed to benefiting agencies and/or programs on an individual fee-for-service or similar basis. The billed rates are usually based on the estimated costs for providing the services. An adjustment will be made at least annually for the difference between the revenue generated by each billed service and the actual allowable costs. Examples of such billed services include computer services, transportation services, self- insurance, and fringe benefits. Section II costs billed to an operating agency may be charged as direct costs to the agency’s Federal awards or included in its indirect cost pool.

*(Source: 2017 OMB Compliance Supplement 3.2)*

#### Audit Objectives/Compliance Requirements and Control Tests Allowable Costs - State/Local Government-wide Central Service Costs

[**See here for the OMB Compliance Supplement Audit Objectives and Compliance Requirements**](Allowable%20Costs_StateLocal_Govtwide_Centralservicecosts_ComplianceReq_Auditobjectives.pdf)

|  |
| --- |
| **What Control Procedures Address the Compliance Requirement (reference/link to documentation or where the testing was performed):** |
| **Basis for the control** (reports, resources, etc. providing information needed to understand requirements and prevent or identify and correct errors):  **Control Procedure** (description of how auditee uses the “Basis” to prevent, or identify and correct or detect errors):  **Person(s) responsible for performing the control procedure** (title):  **Description of evidence documenting the control was applied** (i.e. sampling unit): |

#### Suggested Compliance Audit Procedures – State/Local Government-Wide Central Service Costs

|  |
| --- |
| **Suggested Audit Procedures – Compliance (Substantive Tests)**  **(Reference / link to documentation where testing was performed testing):** |
| **This FACCR was written for grants required to be tested under the UG, however if you have material non-UG transactions, please contact CFAE via the FACCR Inbox** [**FACCR@ohioauditor.gov**](mailto:FACCR@ohioauditor.gov)**.**  **Consider the results of the testing of internal control in assessing the risk of noncompliance. Use this as the basis for determining the nature, timing, and extent (e.g., number of transactions to be selected) of substantive tests of compliance.** |
| a. For local governments that are not required to submit the central service CAP and related supporting documentation, the auditor should consider the risk of the reduced level of oversight in designing the nature, timing and extent of compliance testing.  b. *General Audit Procedures for State/Local Government-Wide Central Service CAPs* – The following procedures apply to charges to cost pools that are allocated wholly or partially to Federal awards or used in formulating indirect cost rates used for recovering indirect costs under Federal awards.  (1) Test a sample of transactions for conformance with:  (a) The criteria contained in the “Basic Considerations” section of 45 CFR 75 part 200, subpart E ([2 CFR part 200, subpart E](2CFR200_subpart%20E.PDF)) (sections 45 CFR 75.402 through 75.411 (2 CFR [200.402 through 200.411](2CFR200.402_thru_411.pdf))).  (b) The principles to establish allowability or unallowability of certain items of cost (45 CFR 75.420 through 75.475 [(2 CFR sections 200.420 through 475](2CFR200.420_thru_200.475.pdf))).  (2) If the auditor identifies unallowable costs, the auditor should be aware that directly associated costs might have been charged. Directly associated costs are costs incurred solely as a result of incurring another cost, and would have not been incurred if the other cost had not been incurred. When an unallowable cost is incurred, directly associated costs are also unallowable. For example, occupancy costs related to unallowable general costs of government are also unallowable.  c. *Special Audit Procedures for State/Local Government-Wide Central Service CAPs*  (1) Verify that the central service CAP includes the required documentation in accordance with 45 CFR part 75, Appendix V, paragraph E ([2 CFR part 200 Appendix V, paragraph E](2CFR200_Appendix_V_Para_E.pdf)).  (2) *Testing of the State/Local Government-Wide Central Service CAPs – Allocated Section I Costs*  (a) If new allocated central service costs were added, review the justification for including the item as Section I costs to ascertain if the costs are allowable (e.g., if costs benefit Federal awards).  (b) Identify the central service costs that incurred a significant increase in actual costs from the prior year’s costs. Test a sample of transactions to verify the allowability of the costs.  (c) Ascertain if the bases used to allocate costs are appropriate, i.e., costs are allocated in accordance with relative benefits received.  (d) Ascertain if the proposed bases include all activities that benefit from the central service costs being allocated, including all users that receive the services. For example, the State-wide central service CAP should allocate costs to all benefiting State departments and agencies, and, where appropriate, non-State organizations, such as local government agencies.  (e) Perform an analysis of the allocation bases by selecting agencies with significant Federal awards to determine if the percentage of costs allocated to these agencies has increased from the prior year. For those selected agencies with significant allocation percentage increases, ascertain if the data included in the bases are current and accurate.  (f) Verify that carry-forward adjustments are properly computed in accordance with 45 CFR part 75, Appendix V, paragraph G.3 ([2 CFR part 200, Appendix V, paragraph G.3](2CFR200_Appendix_V_Para_G(3).pdf)).  (3) *Testing of the State/Local Government-Wide Central Service CAPs – Billed Section II Costs*  (a) For billed central service activities accounted for in separate funds (e.g., internal service funds), ascertain if:  (i) Retained earnings/fund balances (including reserves) are computed in accordance with the cost principles;  (ii) Working capital reserves are not excessive in amount (generally not greater than 60 calendar days for cash expenses for normal operations incurred for the period exclusive of depreciation, capital costs, and debt principal costs); and  (iii) Adjustments were made when there is a difference between the revenue generated by each billed service and the actual allowable costs.  (b) Test to ensure that all users of services are billed in a consistent manner. For example, examine selected billings to determine if all users (including users outside the governmental unit) are charged the same rate for the same service.  (c) Test that billing rates exclude unallowable costs, in accordance with the cost principles and Federal statutes.  (d) Test, where billed central service activities are funded through general revenue appropriations, that the billing rates (or charges) were developed based on actual costs and were adjusted to eliminate profits.  (e) For self-insurance and pension funds, ascertain if the fund contributions are appropriate for such activities as indicated in the current actuarial report.  (f) Determine if refunds were made to the Federal Government for its share of funds transferred from the self-insurance reserve to other accounts, including imputed or earned interest from the date of the transfer. |

### Allowable Costs – State Public Assistance Agency Costs

State public assistance agency costs are (1) defined as all costs allocated or incurred by the State agency except expenditures for financial assistance, medical vendor payments, and payments for services and goods provided directly to program recipients (e.g., day care services); and (2) normally charged to Federal awards by implementing the public assistance cost allocation plan (CAP). The public assistance CAP provides a narrative description of the procedures that are used in identifying, measuring, and allocating all costs (direct and indirect) to each of the programs administered or supervised by State public assistance agencies.

45 CFR part 75, Appendix VI, paragraph A ([2 CFR part 200, Appendix VI, paragraph A](2CFR200_Appendix_VI_Para_A.pdf)), states that, since the federally financed programs administered by State public assistance agencies are funded predominantly by HHS, HHS is responsible for the requirements for the development, documentation, submission, negotiation, and approval of public assistance CAPs. These requirements are specified in [45 CFR part 95, subpart E](45CFR95%20Subpart%20E.pdf).

Major Federal programs typically administered by State public assistance agencies include: Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (CFDA 93.558), Medicaid (CFDA 93.778), Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (CFDA 10.561), Child Support Enforcement (CFDA 93.563), Foster Care (CFDA 93.658), Adoption Assistance (CFDA 93.659), and Social Services Block Grant (CFDA 93.667).

*(Source: 2017 OMB Compliance Supplement 3.2)*

#### Audit Objectives/Compliance Requirements and Control Tests Allowable Costs - State Public Assistance Agency Costs

[**See here for the OMB Compliance Supplement Audit Objectives and Compliance Requirements**](Allowable%20Costs_State%20Public%20Assistance%20Agency%20Costs_OMB%20supplement.pdf)

|  |
| --- |
| **What Control Procedures Address the Compliance Requirement (reference/link to documentation or where the testing was performed):** |
| **Basis for the control** (reports, resources, etc. providing information needed to understand requirements and prevent or identify and correct errors):  **Control Procedure** (description of how auditee uses the “Basis” to prevent, or identify and correct or detect errors):  **Person(s) responsible for performing the control procedure** (title):  **Description of evidence documenting the control was applied** (i.e. sampling unit): |

#### Suggested Compliance Audit Procedures – State Public Assistance Agency Costs

|  |
| --- |
| **Suggested Audit Procedures – Compliance (Substantive Tests)**  **(Reference / link to documentation where testing was performed testing):** |
| **This FACCR was written for grants required to be tested under the UG, however if you have material non-UG transactions, please contact CFAE via the FACCR Inbox** [**FACCR@ohioauditor.gov**](mailto:FACCR@ohioauditor.gov)**.**  **Consider the results of the testing of internal control in assessing the risk of noncompliance. Use this as the basis for determining the nature, timing, and extent (e.g., number of transactions to be selected) of substantive tests of compliance.** |
| This may be applicable to public assistance programs at the local level  a. Since a significant amount of the costs in the public assistance CAP are allocated based on employee compensation reporting systems, it is suggested that the auditor consider the risk when designing the nature, timing, and extent of compliance testing.  b. *General Audit Procedures* – The following procedures apply to direct charges to Federal awards as well as charges to cost pools that are allocated wholly or partially to Federal awards.  (1) Test a sample of transactions for conformance with:  (a) The criteria contained in the “Basic Considerations” section of 45 CFR 75.402 through 75.411 (2 CFR part 200 [sections 200.402 through 200.411](2CFR200.402_thru_411.pdf)).  (b) The principles to establish allowability or unallowability of certain items of cost at 45 CFR 75.420 through 75.475 ([2 CFR sections 200.420 through 200.475](2CFR200.420_thru_200.475.pdf)).  (2) If the auditor identifies unallowable costs, the auditor should be aware that directly associated costs might have been charged. Directly associated costs are costs incurred solely as a result of incurring another cost, and would have not been incurred if the other cost had not been incurred. When an unallowable cost is incurred, directly associated costs are also unallowable. For example, occupancy costs related to unallowable general costs of government are also unallowable.  c. *Special Audit Procedures for Public Assistance CAPs*  (1) Verify that the State public assistance agency is complying with the submission requirements, i.e., an amendment is promptly submitted when any of the events identified in [45 CFR section 95.509](45CFR95.509.pdf) occur.  (2) Verify that public assistance CAP includes the required documentation in accordance with [45 CFR section 95.507](45CFR95.507.pdf).  (3) *Testing of the Public Assistance CAP* – Test the methods of allocating the costs to ascertain if they are in accordance with the applicable provisions of the cost principles and produce an equitable distribution of costs. Appropriate detailed tests may include:  (a) Examining the results of the employee compensation system or in addition the records for employee compensation to ascertain if they are accurate, allowable, and properly allocated to the various functional and programmatic activities to which salary and wage costs are charged.  (b) Since the most significant cost pools in terms of dollars are usually allocated based upon the distribution of income maintenance and social services workers’ efforts identified through random moment time studies, determining whether the time studies are implemented and operated in accordance with the methodologies described in the approved public assistance CAP. For example, verifying the adequacy of the controls governing the conduct and evaluation of the study, and determining that the sampled observations were properly selected and performed, the documentation of the observations was properly completed, and the results of the study were correctly accumulated and applied. Testing may include observing or interviewing staff who participate in the time studies to determine if they are correctly recording their activities.  (c) Testing statistical data (e.g., square footage, case counts, salaries and wages) to ascertain if the proposed allocation bases are reasonable, updated as necessary, and do not contain any material omissions.  (4) *Testing of Charges Based Upon the Public Assistance CAP* – If the approved public assistance CAP is determined to be in compliance with the cost principles and produces an equitable distribution of costs, verify that the methods of charging costs to Federal awards are in accordance with the approved CAP and the provisions of the approval documents issued by HHS. Detailed compliance tests may include:  (a) Verifying that the cost allocation schedules, supporting documentation and allocation data are accurate and that the costs are allocated in compliance with the approved CAP.  (b) Reconciling the allocation statistics of labor costs to employee compensation records (e.g., random moment sampling observation forms).  (c) Reconciling the allocation statistics of non-labor costs to allocation data, (e.g., square footage or case counts).  (d) Verifying direct charges to supporting documents (e.g., purchase orders).  (e) Reconciling the costs to the Federal claims. |

### Cost Principles for Nonprofit Organizations

If the federal program is an NPO, pull up the 2017 OMB compliance supplement [Allowable Costs/Cost Principles section](Cost%20Principles%20for%20Nonprofit%20Organizations.pdf). This section can be completed as an addendum to the FACCR, saved within in your working papers and can the cross referenced section can also be added on this page.

Cross Reference to the NPO Allowable cost principles testing: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

*(Source: 2017 OMB Compliance Supplement 3.2)*

### Audit Implications Summary

|  |
| --- |
| **Audit Implications (adequacy of the system and controls, and the effect on sample size, significant deficiencies / material weaknesses, material non-compliance and management letter comments)** |
| 1. **Results of Test of Controls: (including material weaknesses, significant deficiencies and management letter items)** 2. **Assessment of Control Risk:** 3. **Effect on the Nature, Timing, and Extent of Compliance (Substantive Test) including Sample Size:** 4. **Results of Compliance (Substantive Tests) Tests:** 5. **Questioned Costs: Actual \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Projected \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_** |

## C. CASH MANAGEMENT

### OMB Compliance Requirements

**Federal awarding agencies adopted/implemented the Uniform Guidance in 2 CFR part 200. The OMB guidance is directed to Federal agencies and, by itself, does not establish regulatory requirements binding on non-federal entities. Throughout the FACCR 2 CFR part 200 has been referenced, however in determining compliance auditors need to refer the applicable agency codification of 2 CFR Part 200. Auditors should review this** [**link**](Agency%20Adoption%20of%20the%20UG%20and%20Example%20Citations.pdf) **for a full discussion of agency adoption of the UG and how to cite non-compliance exceptions. Auditors will need to start with the agency codification of the UG when citing exceptions.**

***Grants and Cooperative Agreements***

***All Non-Federal Entities***

**Written Procedure Requirements:**

Non-Federal entities must establish written procedures to implement the requirements of 45 CFR 75.305 ([2 CFR section 200.305](2CFR200.305.pdf)) (45 CFR 75.302(b)(6) and ([2 CFR section 200.302(b)(6)](2CFR200.302(b)(6).pdf)).

***States***

[U. S. Department of the Treasury (Treasury) regulations at 31 CFR part 205 implement the Cash Management Improvement Act of 1990 (CMIA), as amended (Pub. L. No. 101-453; 31 USC 6501 et seq.).](UG_Cash_Management_States_US_treasury_support.pdf)

***Non-Federal Entities Other Than States***

Non-Federal entities must minimize the time elapsing between the transfer of funds from the U.S. Treasury or pass-through entity and disbursement by the non-Federal entity for direct program or project costs and the proportionate share of allowable indirect costs, whether the payment is made by electronic funds transfer, or issuance or redemption of checks, warrants, or payment by other means 45 CFR 75.305(b) (2 CFR section 200.305(b)).

[The following link provides for a further discussion on minimized elapsed time.](UG_Cash%20Management_Reimbursement_Advance_discussion.pdf)

To the extent available, the non-Federal entity must disburse funds available from program income (including repayments to a revolving fund), rebates, refunds, contract settlements, audit recoveries, and interest earned on such funds before requesting additional Federal cash draws 45 CFR 75.305(b)(5) ([2 CFR section 200.305(b)(5)](2CFR200.305(b)(5).pdf)).

Except for interest exempt under the Indian Self-Determination and Education Assistance Act (23 USC 450), interest earned by non-Federal entities other than States on advances of Federal funds is required to be remitted annually to the U. S. Department of Health and Human Services, Payment Management System, P.O. Box 6021, Rockville, MD 20852. Up to $500 per year may be kept for administrative expenses 45 CFR 75.305(b)(9) ([2 CFR section 200.305(b)(9)](2CFR200.305(b)(9).pdf)).

[Cost-Reimbursement Contracts under the Federal Acquisition Regulation](UG_Cash%20Management_Cost-Reimbursement_Contracts_under_FAR.pdf)

***Loans, Loan Guarantees, Interest Subsidies, and Insurance***

Non-Federal entities must comply with applicable program requirements for payment under loans, loan guarantees, interest subsidies, and insurance.

***Pass-through Entities***

Pass-through entities must monitor cash drawdowns by their subrecipients to ensure that the time elapsing between the transfer of Federal funds to the subrecipient and their disbursement for program purposes is minimized as required by the applicable cash management requirements in the Federal award to the recipient 45 CFR 75.305(b)(1) ([2 CFR section 200.305(b)(1)](2CFR200.305(b)(1).pdf)).

**Source of Governing Requirements**

The requirements for cash management are contained in 45 CFR 75.302(b)(6) ([2 CFR sections 200.302(b)(6)](2CFR200.302(b)(6).pdf)) and 45 CFR 75.305 (2 CFR [200.305](2CFR200.305.pdf)), [31 CFR part 205](31CFR205.pdf), [48 CFR sections 52.216-7(b)](48CFR52.216-7.pdf) and [52.232-12](48CFR52.232-12.pdf), program legislation, Federal awarding agency regulations, and the terms and conditions of the Federal award.

*(Source: 2017 OMB Compliance Supplement 3.2)*

**Agency Codification Adjustments/Exceptions:**

* HUD, HHS, and DOL have made additions and edits to part 305. The most recent compilation of agency additions and exceptions is provided on the COFAR website here <https://cfo.gov/wp-content/uploads/2014/12/Agency-Exceptions.pdf>. However, this list is only updated through 12/2014.

**Availability of Other Information**

Treasury’s Financial Management Service maintains a Cash Management Improvement Act web page (<http://www.fms.treas.gov/cmia/>). Information about the Department of Health and Human Services Payment Management System and the Department of the Treasury’ Automated Standard Application for Payments is available at <http://www.dpm.psc.gov/> and <http://fms.treas.gov/asap/index.html>, respectively.

*(Source: 2017 OMB Compliance Supplement 3.2)*

**Note:** Violations of cash management rules *alone* generally should not result in a questioned cost unless the entity spent the interest earnings related to the excess grant cash balances on hand throughout the year (these monies would be payable back to the pass-through/federal agency). Further, the interest earnings expended must exceed $25,000 in a single major program to be a questioned cost.

*(Source: AOS CFAE)*

**Part 4 OMB Program Specific Requirements**

There are no Program Specific requirements for this compliance requirement that would relate to Ohio entities.

*(Source: 2017 OMB Compliance Supplement, Part 4, Department of Health and Human Services Tanf (Title IV-A))*

### Additional Program Specific Information

**ODJFS Program Specific Requirement Guidance**

Subgrant Agreement, Article V. Amount of Grant/Payments, Section B indicates the “SUBGRANTEE will limit cash draws from ODJFS to the minimum amount needed for actual, immediate requirements in accordance with Cash Management Improvement Act, 31 CFR Part 205, 45 CFR Parts 75, and ODJFS requirements including Chapter 7 ([OAC 5101:9-7-03](OAC5101.9.7.03.pdf)) of the [Fiscal Administrative Procedures Manual](http://emanuals.jfs.ohio.gov/LocalAdmin/FAPM/). Subgrantee agrees that amounts submitted as the basis for claims for reimbursement will not exceed the amount of actual cash expenditures for lawfully appropriate purposes under the terms of the subaward in question.”

[OAC 5101:9-7-03](OAC5101.9.7.03.pdf) Public assistance (PA) financing and cash management is the State rule for cash management.

The requirements for cash management for the Department of Health and Human Services are contained in [45 CFR 75.305](45CFR75.305.pdf)(b), as follows:

Payment: For non-Federal entities other than states, payment methods must minimize the time elapsing between the transfer of funds from the United States Treasury or the pass-through entity and the disbursement by the non-Federal entity whether the payment is made by electronic funds transfer, or issuance or redemption of checks, warrants, or payment by other means.

See 45 CFR 260.30, which states, in part:

Expenditure means any amount of Federal TANF or State MOE funds that a State expends, spends, pays out, or disburses consistent with the requirements of parts 260 through 265 of this chapter. It may include expenditures on the refundable portions of State or local tax credits, if they are consistent with the provisions at 45 CFR 260.33. It does not include any amounts that merely represent avoided costs or foregone revenue. Avoided costs include such items as contractor penalty payments for poor performance and purchase price discounts, rebates, and credits that a State receives. Foregone revenue includes State tax provisions—such as waivers, deductions, exemptions, or nonrefundable tax credits—that reduce a State's tax revenue

The Ohio Bureau of Workers’ Compensation Board of Directors has proposed a refund/rebate of county contributions to the Public Work-Relief Employees’ Fund for the time period January 1, 2005 through December 31, 2014. The original costs of these contributions were eligible expenditures under the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families or the Food Assistance Employment and Training (NKA SNAP E & T) programs and any refund/rebate must be returned to that program. Per a [BCFTA clarification](ODJFS_BCFTA_BWC_Rebates_Clarification.pdf) on Friday 6/24/16, counties were notified that the BWC refunds/rebates being received must be reported as a receipt against current funding. The term “rebate” should have been used rather than “program income”. Although rebates are not technically defined as program income under TANF and FAET, the receipt of those rebates must still be credited against the Federal government as a cost reduction or cash refund and have the same limitations as the original grant money. In accordance with 45 CFR 75.305 (b)(5) entities must “…disburse funds available from program income (including repayments to a revolving fund), rebates, refunds, contract settlements, audit recoveries, and interest earned on such funds before requesting additional cash payments.”

See also Section L (Reporting). Funding is based on expenditures but is not on a reimbursement basis.

### Audit Objectives and Control Testing

[**See here for the OMB Supplement Audit Objectives and Compliance Requirements**](UG_Cash%20Management_Audit%20Objectives.pdf)

**Additional Control Test Objectives for Written Procedures**

When documenting and identifying the key control(s) in place to address the compliance requirement, consider if the client has written procedures to document the control process.

* UG requires a written policy for the requirements outlined in 45 CFR 75.302(b)(6) [2 CFR 200.302(b)(6)](2CFR200.302(b)(6).pdf) *Payments*
* Document whether the non-Federal entity established written procedures consistent with the requirements in 45 CFR 75.302(b)(6) (2 CFR 200.302(b)(6)) to minimize the time elapsing between the transfer of funds.
* It is auditor judgment how to report instances where the entity either lacks having a written policy or their written policy is insufficient to meet the requirements of 45 CFR 75.302(b)(6) (2 CFR 200.302(b)(6)).
  + While auditors would normally use a written policy as the basis for the compliance control, there could be other key controls in place to ensure program compliance.
  + The lack of a policy would be noncompliance, which could rise to the level of material noncompliance and even a control deficiency (SD / MW) if there were underlying internal control deficiencies.
    - If there are key controls in place operating effectively, AOS auditors would report the lack of the required UG policy as a management letter citation. However, in subsequent audits, evaluate if the noncompliance should be elevated if not adopted. Written policies aid in consistency and adherence to requirements strengthening internal control processes.

|  |
| --- |
| **What Control Procedures Address the Compliance Requirement (reference/link to documentation or where the testing was performed):** |
| **Basis for the control** (reports, resources, etc. providing information needed to understand requirements and prevent or identify and correct errors):  **Control Procedure** (description of how auditee uses the “Basis” to prevent, or identify and correct or detect errors):  **Person(s) responsible for performing the control procedure** (title):  **Description of evidence documenting the control was applied** (i.e. sampling unit):  **Here are some questions that can help in documenting the above control requirements**   1. What control does the County/district have to limit cash draws from ODJFS to the minimum amount needed for actual, immediate requirements? 2. For County/district subrecipients (subgrantee), what control procedures were established to ensure the receipt of reports on subgrantees' cash balances and cash disbursements in sufficient time to enable the County/district to prepare complete and accurate cash transactions reports to the awarding agency? |

### Suggested Audit Procedures – Compliance (Substantive Tests)

|  |
| --- |
| **Suggested Audit Procedures – Compliance (Substantive Tests)**  **(Reference / link to documentation where testing was performed testing):** |
| **Note**: The following procedures are intended to be applied to each program determined to be major. However, due to the nature of cash management and the system of cash management in place in a particular entity, it may be appropriate and more efficient to perform these procedures for all programs collectively rather than separately for each program.  **This FACCR was written for grants required to be tested under the UG, however if you have material non-UG transactions, please contact CFAE via the FACCR Inbox** [**FACCR@ohioauditor.gov**](mailto:FACCR@ohioauditor.gov)**.**  **Consider the results of the testing of internal control in assessing the risk of noncompliance. Use this as the basis for determining the nature, timing, and extent (e.g., number of transactions to be selected) of substantive tests of compliance.** |
| *Grants and cooperative agreements to non-Federal entities other than States*  1. Review trial balances related to Federal funds for unearned revenue. If unearned revenue balances are identified, consider if such balances are consistent with the requirement to minimize the time between drawing and disbursing Federal funds.  2. Select a sample of advance payments and verify that the non-Federal entity minimized the time elapsing between the transfer of funds from the U.S. Treasury or pass-through entity and disbursement by the non-Federal entity.  3. When non-Federal entities are funded under the reimbursement method, select a sample of transfers of funds from the U.S. Treasury or pass-through entity and trace to supporting documentation and ascertain if the entity paid for the costs for which reimbursement was requested prior to the date of the reimbursement request 45 CFR 75.305(b)(3) ([2 CFR section 200.305(b)(3)](2CFR200.305(b)(3).pdf)).  4. When a program receives program income (including repayments to a revolving fund), rebates, refunds, contract settlements, audit recoveries, or interest earned on such funds; perform tests to ascertain if these funds were disbursed before requesting additional Federal cash draws 45 CFR 75.305(b)(5) [(2 CFR section 200.305(b)(5)](2CFR200.305(b)(5).pdf)).  5. Review records to determine if interest in excess of $500 per year was earned on Federal cash draws. If so, determine if it was remitted annually to the Department of Health and Human Services, Payment Management System 45 CFR 75.305(b)(9) ([2 CFR section 200.305(b)(9)](2CFR200.305(b)(9).pdf)).  *Cost-reimbursement contracts under the Federal Acquisition Regulation*  6. Perform tests to ascertain if the non-Federal entity requesting reimbursement (a) disbursed funds prior to the date of the request, or (b) meets the conditions allowing for the request for costs incurred, but not necessarily paid for, i.e., ordinarily within 30 days of the request ([48 CFR section 52.216-7(b](48CFR52.216-7(b)(1).pdf))).  *Loans, Loan Guarantees, Interest Subsidies, and Insurance*  7. Perform tests to ascertain if the non-Federal entity complied with applicable program requirements.  *All Pass-Through Entities*  8. For those programs where a pass-through entity passes Federal funds through to subrecipients, select a representative sample of subrecipient payments and ascertain if the pass-through entity implemented procedures to ensure that the time elapsing between the transfer of Federal funds to the subrecipient and the disbursement of such funds for program purposes by the subrecipient was minimized 45 CFR 75.305(b)(1) ([2 CFR section 200.305(b)(1)](2CFR200.305(b)(1).pdf)). |

### Audit Implications Summary

|  |
| --- |
| **Audit Implications (adequacy of the system and controls, and the effect on sample size, significant deficiencies / material weaknesses, material non-compliance and management letter comments)** |
| 1. **Results of Test of Controls: (including material weaknesses, significant deficiencies and management letter items)** 2. **Assessment of Control Risk:** 3. **Effect on the Nature, Timing, and Extent of Compliance (Substantive Test) including Sample Size:** 4. **Results of Compliance (Substantive Tests) Tests:** 5. **Questioned Costs: Actual \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Projected \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_** |

## E. ELIGIBILITY

### OMB Compliance Requirements

The specific requirements for eligibility are unique to each Federal program and are found in the statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the Federal award pertaining to the program. For programs listed in the Supplement, these specific requirements are in Part 4, “Agency Program Requirements,” or Part 5, “Clusters of Programs,” as applicable. This compliance requirement specifies the criteria for determining the individuals, groups of individuals (including area of service delivery), or subrecipients that can participate in the program and the amounts for which they qualify.

**Source of Governing Requirements**

The requirements for eligibility are contained in program legislation, Federal awarding agency regulations, and the terms and conditions of the award.

*(Source: 2017 OMB Compliance Supplement 3.2)*

**Part 4 OMB Program Specific Requirements**

**1. Eligibility for Individuals**

The State or Tribal Plan provides the specifics on the State or tribal area’s definition of financially needy which the State or tribal area uses in determining eligibility. Whenever used in this section, “assistance,” has the meaning in 45 CFR section 260.31(a) of the TANF regulations for States and 45 CFR section 286.10 of the Tribal TANF regulations for federally recognized tribes operating an approved Tribal TANF program. Plan and eligibility requirements must comply with the following Federal requirements:

a. *Federal Only, Commingled Federal/State, Segregated State, and Separate* *State Program*

(1) Only a financially needy family that consists of, at a minimum, a minor child living with a parent or other caretaker relative, or a pregnant woman may receive TANF “assistance” or most maintenance-of-effort (MOE)-funded benefits, services, or “assistance” regardless of the TANF purpose that the expenditure is reasonably calculated to accomplish (see III.A.3.a, “Activities Allowed or Unallowed – *Federal Only, Commingled Federal/State, Segregated State, Separate State Program*”). The child must be less than 18 years old, or, if a full-time student in a secondary school (or the equivalent level of vocational or technical training), less than 19 years old. (With respect to segregated or separate State MOE funds, the State could use the definition for minor child given in section 419(2) of the Act or some other definition applicable in State law provided the State can articulate a rational basis for the age it chooses.) Financially “needy” means financially eligible according to the State’s quantified income and resource (if applicable) criteria to receive the benefit (42 USC 602 and 602(a)(1)(B)(iii), 42 USC 609(a)(7)(B)(IV), and 42 USC 608(a)(1), 619(2); 45 CFR section 263.2(b)(2)). See III.G.2.1, “Matching, Level of Effort, Earmarking – Level of Effort – *Maintenance of Effort*,” for the limited MOE pro-family exception to this requirement.

**Note**: A State may continue to provide federally funded (*Federal Only*) TANF “assistance” pursuant to 42 USC 604(a)(2) using the financial eligibility criteria contained in the State’s approved AFDC, EA, JOBS, or Supportive Services plan as of September 30, 1995 (or at State option, as of August 21, 1996). A State may also continue this assistance notwithstanding the family composition requirement described above. (See III.A.1.a, “Activities Allowed or Unallowed.”)

Only the financially “needy” are eligible for services, benefits, or “assistance” pursuant to TANF purpose 1 or 2 (see III.A.3.a, “Activities Allowed or Unallowed – *Federal Only, Commingled Federal/State, Segregated State, Separate State Program*”) (42 USC 601(a)(1) and (2); 45 CFR sections 260.20(a) and (b)). Financially “needy” for TANF and MOE purposes means financial deprivation, i.e., lacking adequate income and resources. For example, a needy family or a needy parent is one who is financially eligible according to the State’s quantified financial eligibility criteria (income and resource (if applicable) standards, April 12, 1999, *Federal Register* (64 FR 17825), 45 CFR section 263.2(b)(3)).

States may choose to use Federal only TANF funds to provide benefits that do not constitute “assistance” to the non-needy pursuant to TANF purpose 3 or 4 only (see III.A.3.a, “Activities Allowed or Unallowed – *Federal Only, Commingled Federal/State, Segregated State, Separate State Program*”) (42 USC 601(a)(3) and (4); 45 CFR sections 260.20(c) and (d)). States may also choose to use MOE funds to provide certain pro- family non-assistance benefits to the non-needy under TANF purpose 3 or 4 (see III.G.2.1, “Matching, Level of Effort, Earmarking – Level of Effort – *Maintenance of Effort*,” for the limited MOE pro-family exception to this requirement).

(2) Qualified aliens, as defined in 8 USC 1641(b), are the only non- citizens who may receive a TANF public benefit, as defined in 8 USC 1611(c)), using Federal TANF or commingled funds. Qualified aliens are lawful permanent residents, asylees, refugees, aliens paroled into the U.S. for at least one year, aliens whose deportations are being withheld, aliens granted conditional entry, Cuban/Haitian entrants, and certain battered aliens. Victims of severe forms of trafficking and certain family members are also eligible for federally funded or administered public benefits and services to the same extent as refugees.

Qualified aliens, nonimmigrants under the Immigration and Nationality Act, and individuals paroled into the U.S. for less than a year are the only noncitizen groups that are eligible for a non- commingled State or local MOE-funded public benefit, as defined in 8 USC 1621(c). Aliens that are not lawfully present in the U.S. may also be eligible for a State or local MOE-funded public benefit if the State has enacted a law after August 22, 1996, affirmatively providing for such eligibility. (8 USC 1621(d)) All expenditures must meet all MOE requirements at 45 CFR part 263, subpart A. See III.G.2.1, “Matching, Level of Effort, Earmarking– Level of Effort – Maintenance of Effort.”

States have the authority to decide whether or not to provide a Federal TANF public benefit or a MOE-funded public benefit to otherwise qualified aliens (including nonimmigrants and individuals paroled in the U.S. for less than a year in the case of a noncommingled State or local MOE-funded public benefit) (8 USC 1612(b)(1) and 8 USC 1622(a)). If a State has decided not to help eligible aliens, then the State may not deny eligibility to refugees, asylees, aliens whose deportation has been withheld, Amerasians, and Cuban/Haitian entrants for a period of 5 years after the date of entry into the U.S. or the date asylum or withholding of deportation was granted. Also, such States may never deny eligibility to legal permanent residents who have worked 40 qualifying quarters after December 31, 1996, and have not received any Federal means-tested public benefit during such period (once the 5-year bar has expired for a qualified alien entering the U.S. on or after August 22, 1996 as described in the next paragraph), or to aliens who are veterans, members of the military on active duty, and their spouses and unmarried dependents (8 USC 1612(b)(2)(A)(ii) 8 USC 1621(2)(B) and (C), 8 USC 1622(b)(1)-(3)). In other words, Congress did not give States the authority to deny eligibility to all eligible aliens. If the State elects to help all otherwise eligible aliens (as described in the preceding two paragraphs), then this paragraph does not apply.

Unless exempt under 8 USC 1613(b), qualified aliens, as defined in 8 USC 1641(b), entering the U.S. on or after August 22, 1996, are not eligible for a Federal means-test public benefit (e.g., federally funded TANF assistance), as defined in 8 USC 1611(c), for a period of 5 years (8 USC 1613(a)). The 5-year bar begins either on the date of the alien’s entry into the U.S. as a qualified alien or on the date the alien residing in the U.S. becomes a qualified alien, whichever is later. If the alien entered the U.S. on or after August 22, 1996, but does not have an immigration status that qualifies (as defined in 8 USC 1641(b)), the individual is not eligible for a Federal public benefit (as defined in 8 USC 1611(c)). The following qualified aliens are exempt from the 5-year bar: refugees, asylees, aliens whose deportation is being withheld, Amerasians, Cuban/Haitian entrants, as well as veterans, members of the military on active duty, and their spouses and unmarried dependent children (8 USC 1613(b)).

If a noncash Federal or State and local public benefit meets the specifications in the Attorney General’s Final Order (Order No. 2353-2001 published January 16, 2001 at 66 FR 3613), then the State may provide the benefit regardless of immigration status (8 USC 1611 (b)(1)(D) and 8 USC 1621(b)(4)).

b. *Federal Only and Commingled Federal/State*

(1) Any family that includes an adult or minor child head of household or a spouse of the head of household who has received assistance under any State program funded by Federal TANF funds for 60 months (whether or not consecutive) is ineligible for additional federally funded TANF assistance. However, the State may extend assistance to a family on the basis of hardship, as defined by the State, or if a family member has been battered or subjected to extreme cruelty. In determining the number of months for which the head of household or the spouse of the head of household has received assistance, the State must not count any month during which the adult received the assistance while living in Indian country or in an Alaskan Native Village and the most reliable data available with respect to that month (or a period including that month) indicate at least 50 percent of the adults living in Indian country or in the village were not employed (42 USC 608(a)(7); 45 CFR sections 264.1(a), (b), and (c)).

(See III.G.3, “Matching, Level of Effort, Earmarking – Earmarking,” for testing the limits related to the number of exemptions.)

(2) A State may not provide assistance to an individual who is under age 18, is unmarried, has a minor child at least 12 weeks old, and has not successfully completed high school or its equivalent unless the individual either participates in education activities directed toward attainment of a high school diploma or its equivalent, or participates in an alternative education or training program approved by the State (42 USC 608(a)(4); 45 CFR section 263.11(b)).

(3) A State may not provide assistance to an unmarried individual under 18 caring for a child, if the minor parent and child are not residing with a parent, legal guardian, or other adult relative, unless one of the statutory exceptions applies (42 USC 608(a)(5)).

(4) A State may not provide assistance for a minor child who has been or is expected to be absent from the home for a period of 45 consecutive days or, at the option of the State, such period of not less than 30 and not more than 180 consecutive days unless the State grants a good cause exception, as provided in its State Plan (42 USC 608(a)(10)).

(5) A State may not provide assistance for an individual who is a parent (or other caretaker relative) of a minor child who fails to notify the State agency of the absence of the minor child from the home within 5 days of the date that it becomes clear to that individual that the child will be absent for the specified period of time (42 USC 608(a)(10)(C)).

(6) A State may not use funds to provide cash assistance to an individual during the 10-year period that begins on the date the individual is convicted in Federal or State court of having made a fraudulent statement or representation with respect to place of residence in order to simultaneously receive assistance from two or more States under TANF, Title XIX, or the Food Stamp Act of 1977, or benefits in two or more States under the Supplemental Security Income program under Title XVI of the Social Security Act. If the President of the United States grants a pardon with respect to the conduct that was the subject of the conviction, this prohibition will not apply for any month beginning after the date of the pardon (42 USC 608(a)(8)).

(7) A State may not provide assistance to any individual who is fleeing to avoid prosecution, or custody or confinement after conviction, for a felony or attempt to commit a felony (or in the State of New Jersey, a high misdemeanor), or who is violating a condition of probation or parole imposed under Federal or State law (42 USC 608(a)(9)(A)).

c. *Federal Only, Commingled Federal/State, Segregated State*

(1) A State shall require, as a condition of providing assistance, that a member of the family assign to the State the rights the family member may have for support from any other person. This assignment does not exceed the amount of assistance provided (42 USC 608(a)(3)).

(2) An individual convicted under Federal or State law of any offense which is classified as a felony and which involves the possession, use, or distribution of a controlled substance (as defined the Controlled Substances Act (21 USC 802(6)) is ineligible for assistance if the conviction was based on conduct occurring after August 22, 1996. A State shall require each individual applying for TANF assistance to state in writing whether the individual or any member of their household has been convicted of such a felony involving a controlled substance. However, a State may by law enacted after August 22, 1996, exempt any or all individuals from this prohibition or limit the time period that this prohibition applies to any or all individuals 21 USC 862a).

(3) If an individual in a family receiving assistance refuses to engage in required work, a State must reduce assistance to the family, at least pro rata, with respect to any period during the month in which the individual so refuses, or may terminate assistance. Any reduction or termination is subject to good cause or other exceptions as the State may establish (42 USC 607(e)(1); 45 CFR sections 261.13 and 261.14(a) and (b)). However, a State may not reduce or terminate assistance based on a refusal to work if the individual is a single custodial parent caring for a child who is less than 6 years of age if the individual can demonstrate the inability (as determined by the State) to obtain child care for one or more of the following reasons: (a) the unavailability of appropriate care within a reasonable distance of the individual’s work or home; (b) unavailability or unsuitability of informal child care; or (c) unavailability of appropriate and affordable formal child care (42 USC 607(e)(2); 45 CFR sections 261.15(a), 261.56, and 261.57).

**2. Eligibility for Group of Individuals or Area of Service Delivery** – Not Applicable

**3. Eligibility for Subrecipients** – Not Applicable

*(Source: 2017 OMB Compliance Supplement, Part 4, Department of Health and Human Services Tanf (Title IV-A))*

### Additional Program Specific Information

**ODJFS Compliance Requirements**

OWF

OWF - (TANF non-PRC) eligibility will be tested by the State Region.

Prevention, Retention and Contingency (PRC) Program

PRC - Since each County could conceivably have a different PRC plan with varying eligibility requirements. Auditors must obtain and review the county’s plan to determine the eligibility requirements for the PRC portion of this program at the county level and will need to tailor this FACCR Section in accordance to the plan(s) in effect during their audit period.

Per ODJFS, there may be some counties who do not allow PRC for families on an OWF sanction. If an OWF recipient is under sanctions in [OAC 5101:1-3-15](OAC5101.1.3.15.pdf), the county may stipulate the recipient is not eligible for PRC benefits. If they do not provide PRC to families with an OWF sanction, that must be listed in their plan.

Even though each County has a different plan, all counties must follow the requirements in [OAC 5101:1-24-20](OAC5101.1.24.20.pdf) and [OAC 5101:1-23-75](OAC5101.1.23.75.pdf) for their Prevention, Retention, and Contingency (PRC) Program. OAC 5101:1-23-75 covers the Ohio works first (OWF) and prevention, retention and contingency (PRC): assistance group ineligibility due to receipt of fraudulent assistance. Auditors should refer to this section if they have such a situation.

[FAL #166](http://jfs.ohio.gov/ofam/FAL-166.stm) establishes updated Federal Poverty Guidelines. The guidelines go into effect on the day they are published unless an office administering a program using the guidelines specifies a different effective date for that particular program. The letter states that if a county agency PRC plan includes a specified date to apply for new federal poverty guidelines, adjustments should be made by that date.

See [OAC 5101:1-24-20](OAC5101.1.24.20.pdf) Prevention, retention and contingency (PRC) program: excluded income and resources. (Updated 7/1/16).

TANF Summer Youth Program

Participation in the TANF Summer Youth program for 2016 is outlined within [FAL #152](http://jfs.ohio.gov/ofam/FAL-152-TANF-Summer-Youth-Employment-Program.stm). The program provides assistance to needy families so that the children may be cared for in their homes or the homes of relatives and to end dependence of needy parents on governmental benefits by promoting job preparation, work and marriage. The program will operate May 1, 2016 through August 31, 2016. This program operates as part of the PRC plan. Counties are required to amend their PRC plan to include the Summer Youth Employment Program if the program is not included already and they wish to participate in the program. The program follows state and federal TANF and PRC requirements.

This will be the last year of the TANF Summer Youth program, as outlined in [FAL #159](http://jfs.ohio.gov/ofam/FAL-159-Ohio-Youth-Works-Program.stm), the Summer Youth Employment Program will be replaced with the new Ohio Youth Works Program in 2017. The program will also operate under the PRC plan however there will changes to eligibility criteria. See [FAPL 71](http://www.odjfs.state.oh.us/lpc/calendar/fileLINKNAME.asp?ID=FAPL71) for additional guidance.

Comprehensive Case Management And Employment Program (CCMEP)

As outlined in the introduction section, the CCMP is a new program framework serving 16-24 year olds and is a replacement program to the WIOA Youth program. The CCMP was impletemented statewide beginning July 1, 2016.

CCMEP - While each Lead Agency could conceivably have a different CCMEP Program Plan, basic eligibility requirements remain consistent. However, auditors must obtain and review the Agency’s plan to determine the eligibility requirements for the CCMEP portion of this program at the county level and will need to tailor this FACCR Section in accordance to the plan(s) in effect during their audit period.

Even though each Lead Agencies have different plans, all entities must follow the requirements in OAC [5101:14-1-02](OAC5101.14.1.02.pdf)(D)(6) and [OAC 5101:14-1-03](OAC5101.14.1.03.pdf).

Per [CCMEPPL 4](http://www.odjfs.state.oh.us/lpc/calendar/fileLINKNAME.asp?ID=CCMEPPL4): “CCMEP will be modified to allow the CCMEP case manager to provide work and training activities to establish a 20 hour activity standard, but this may vary on a case-by-case basis. The lead agency will continue to use 20 hours or more of assigned CCMEP services and activities as the guideline to ensure engagement of the participant. However, there may be situations in which the CCMEP participant may have less than the 20 hour standard.

### Audit Objectives and Control Testing

[**See here for the OMB Supplement Audit Objectives and Compliance Requirements**](Eligibility_Auditobjectives.pdf)

|  |
| --- |
| **What Control Procedures Address the Compliance Requirement (reference/link to documentation or where the testing was performed):** |
| Note: For Prevention, Retention, and Contingency (PRC), per ODJFS, internal controls would be based upon the eligibility criteria established at the county/district level.  Auditors will need to identify and document the control procedures in place at the county/district over eligibility determinations for the PRC portion of the TANF program. Control must include, but are not limited to what is documented below.  (Source: AOS CFAE)  **Basis for the control** (reports, resources, etc. providing information needed to understand requirements and prevent or identify and correct errors):   * Approved PRC ApplicationsCompleted JFS 03002 WIOA Youth Eligibility Applications   **Control Procedure** (description of how auditee uses the “Basis” to prevent, or identify and correct or detect errors):   * PRC application was reviewed and approved by the appropriate personnel and client. * JFS 03002 application was reviewed and approved by the appropriate personnel and client.   **Person(s) responsible for performing the control procedure** (title):  **Description of evidence documenting the control was applied** (i.e. sampling unit): |

### Suggested Audit Procedures – Compliance

|  |
| --- |
| **Suggested Audit Procedures – Compliance (Substantive Tests)**  **(Reference / link to documentation where testing was performed testing):** |
| **This FACCR was written for grants required to be tested under the UG, however if you have material non-UG transactions, please contact CFAE via the FACCR Inbox** [**FACCR@ohioauditor.gov**](mailto:FACCR@ohioauditor.gov)**.**  **Consider the results of the testing of internal control in assessing the risk of noncompliance. Use this as the basis for determining the nature, timing, and extent (e.g., number of transactions to be selected) of substantive tests of compliance.** |
| **Additional ODJFS Steps**  **PRC**   1. Obtain and evaluate the County/district PRC plan developed by the County/district, as well as written policies and procedures pertaining to the PRC Program. 2. Based on the results of your control testing, select PRC case files and determine whether payments were made to eligible recipients by verifying the following: (adjust as necessary based on your county/district’s PRC plan)  * Service provided under PRC fell within the PRC plan. * Participants in the PRC program are residents of the county/district in which they received benefits. * Assistance group contains at least one minor child or pregnant with no other children (for TANF purposes 1 and 2). * Assistance group meets income requirements. * Applications are contained within applicant’s case file. (Per ODJFS, this depends upon the TANF purpose. Only purpose 1 and 2 require an application; purposes 3 and 4 do not unless the county/district applies an economic need standard.) * Applicant’s needs are below the applicable economic need standard (applicable for purposes 1 and 2). * Applicants assistance did not exceeded the county/district threshold as indicated in their PRC plan. (Per ODJFS, this requirement is county/district specific.) * Payment was made to an eligible recipient.   **Note**: The sample selected for testing Activities Allowed or Unallowed (Compliance Requirement A) may be used for these tests, if appropriate.  **CCMEP**   1. Obtain and evaluate the Lead Agency Program Plan developed by the Lead Agency, as well as written policies and procedures pertaining to the CCMEP. 2. Based on the results of your control testing, select CCMEP case files and determine whether payments were made to eligible recipients by verifying the following: (adjust as necessary based on your Lead Agency Program Plan)  * Service provided fell within the CCMEP plan and the [CCMEP Services Matrix](http://jfs.ohio.gov/owd/CCMEP/Services-Matrix.stm). * If the individual was referred to CCMEP from OWF or PRC, the participant is:   + Low-income adult (under 24 yrs) , in-school youth, or out of school youth AND   + Considered to have a barrier to employment under WIOA * If the individual was referred to CCMEP from WIOA, the participant:   + Has (or has applied for) a social security number;   + United States citizen or non-citizen national or qualified alien;   + Does not owe any of the cost of fraudulent TANF assistance paid to the individual;   + Has been afforded the opportunity to register to vote;   + Has a gross household income in the previous thirty-day period of less than two hundred per cent of the federal poverty level; and   + Is one of the following:   + a minor child;   + The parent, specified relative, legal guardian or legal custodian of a minor child;   + A non-custodial parent who lives in the state, but does not reside with his/her minor child(ren);   + A pregnant individual; or   + An individual age 18 to 24 that is part of a family that includes a minor child. * Applications are contained properly completed and maintained within applicant’s case file. * Payment was made to on behalf of an eligible recipient. |

### Audit Implications Summary

|  |
| --- |
| **Audit Implications (adequacy of the system and controls, and the effect on sample size, significant deficiencies / material weaknesses, material non-compliance and management letter comments)** |
| 1. **Results of Test of Controls: (including material weaknesses, significant deficiencies and management letter items)** 2. **Assessment of Control Risk:** 3. **Effect on the Nature, Timing, and Extent of Compliance (Substantive Test) including Sample Size:** 4. **Results of Compliance (Substantive Tests) Tests:** 5. **Questioned Costs: Actual \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Projected \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_** |

## F. EQUIPMENT AND REAL PROPERTY MANAGEMENT

**Federal awarding agencies adopted/implemented the Uniform Guidance in 2 CFR part 200. The OMB guidance is directed to Federal agencies and, by itself, does not establish regulatory requirements binding on non-federal entities. Throughout the FACCR 2 CFR part 200 has been referenced, however in determining compliance auditors need to refer the applicable agency codification of 2 CFR Part 200. Auditors should review this** [**link**](Agency%20Adoption%20of%20the%20UG%20and%20Example%20Citations.pdf) **for a full discussion of agency adoption of the UG and how to cite non-compliance exceptions. Auditors will need to start with the agency codification of the UG when citing exceptions.**

### OMB Compliance Requirements

***Equipment Management -- Grants and Cooperative Agreements***

Equipment means tangible personal property, including information technology systems, having a useful life of more than one year and a per-unit acquisition cost which equals or exceeds the lesser of the capitalization level established by the non-Federal entity for financial statement purposes or $5,000 45 CFR 75.2 ([2 CFR section 200.33](2CFR200.33.pdf)). Title to equipment acquired by a non-Federal entity under grants and cooperative agreements vests in the non-Federal entity subject to certain obligations and conditions (2 CFR section 200.313(a)).

*Non-Federal Entities Other than States – See here for 45 CFR 75.230(a)-(e) (*[*2 CFR 200.313 (a)-(e)*](2CFR200.313.pdf)*)*

Non-Federal entities other than States must follow 2 CFR sections 200.313(c) through (e) which require that:

1. Equipment, including replacement equipment, be used in the program or project for which it was acquired as long as needed, whether or not the project or program continues to be supported by the Federal award or, when appropriate, under other Federal awards; however, the non-Federal entity must not encumber the equipment without prior approval of the Federal awarding agency (2 CFR sections 200.313(c) and (e)).
2. Property records must be maintained that include a description of the property, a serial number or other identification number, the source of funding for the property (including the Federal award identification number), who holds title, the acquisition date, cost of the property, percentage of Federal participation in the project costs for the Federal award under which the property was acquired, the location, use and condition of the property, and any ultimate disposition data including the date of disposal and sales price of the property (2 CFR section 200.313(d)(1)).
3. A physical inventory of the property must be taken and the results reconciled with the property records at least once every 2 years (2 CFR section 200.313(d)(2)).
4. A control system must be developed to ensure adequate safeguards to prevent loss, damage, or theft of the property. Any loss, damage, or theft must be investigated (2 CFR section 200.313(d)(3)).
5. Adequate maintenance procedures must be developed to keep the property in good condition (2 CFR section 200.313(d)(4)).
6. If the non-Federal entity is authorized or required to sell the property, proper sales procedures must be established to ensure the highest possible return (2 CFR section 200.313(d)(5)).

7. When original or replacement equipment acquired under a Federal award is no longer needed for a Federal program (whether the original project or program or other activities currently or previously supported by the Federal government), the non-Federal entity must request disposition instructions from the Federal awarding agency if required by the terms and conditions of the award. Items of equipment with a current per-unit fair market value of $5,000 or less may be retained, sold, or otherwise disposed of with no further obligation to the Federal awarding agency. If the Federal awarding agency fails to provide requested disposition instructions within 120 days, items of equipment with a current per-unit fair market value in excess of $5,000 may be retained or sold. The Federal awarding agency is entitled to the Federal interest in the equipment, which is the amount calculated by multiplying the current market value or sale proceeds by the Federal agency’s participation in total project costs 45 CFR 75.320(e) and 75.2 ([2 CFR section 200.313](2CFR200.313.pdf)(e) and [200.41](2CFR200.41.pdf)).

The COFAR’s Frequently Asked Questions includes the following, which addresses the relationship between the requirement for property records to show the percentage of Federal participation in the project costs and the calculation of the Federal interest.

.313-2 Changes to Equipment Inventory Systems.

*Section 200.313(d)(1) of the guidance specifies the attributes that must be maintained in property records of the non-Federal entity. For non-Federal entities that have followed Circular A-110, there are two changes: “percentage of Federal participation in the project costs” (Uniform Guidance) versus “information from which one can calculate the percentage of Federal participation in the cost of the equipment” (A-110.34(f)(1)(vi), and “the location, use and condition of the property” (Uniform Guidance) versus “location and condition of the equipment and the date the information was reported” (A-110.34(f)(1)(vii). Are non-Federal entities expected to change the attributes of their property records and ultimately be required to implement costly changes to their existing equipment inventory systems?*

No. The requirements for property records have not substantively changed in the Uniform Guidance. The requirements for property records are meant to ensure that the non-Federal entity maintains an equipment inventory system that demonstrates the Federal entity has an effective system of controls to account for and track equipment that has been acquired with Federal funds. Non-Federal entities are not expected to change their equipment inventory systems or the data elements contained in those systems, if they are in compliance with the current requirements in Circular A-110. In the examples in question:

- The percentage of Federal participation in the cost of equipment in Circular A-110 was identical to the percentage of Federal participation in the cost of the original project or program. One could infer that from the amount of compensation a recipient was required under 2 CFR 215.34(g) to make to a Federal agency at the time of disposition—i.e., “compensation shall be computed by applying the percentage of Federal participation in the cost of the original project or program to the current fair market value of the equipment.” The A-110 requirement in 2 CFR 215.34 for the recipient’s records to have information from which one could calculate the percentage of Federal participation in the cost of the equipment then required two numbers, the percentage of Federal participation in the original project or program and information from which one could derive the current fair market value. The Uniform Guidance makes that more explicitly clear through the definition of Federal interest in 45 CFR 75.2 ([2 CFR 200.41](2CFR200.41.pdf)); and

-“the location, use and condition of the property” is referring to an indicator in the property records that the specific equipment item I active and linked with the appropriate Federal award, identical to the requirement in Circular A-110.

**Note**: Intangible property that is acquired under a Federal award, rather than developed or produced under the award, is subject the requirements of 45 CFR 75.320(e) ([2 CFR section 200.313(e)](2CFR200.313.pdf)) regarding disposition 45 CFR 75.322 ([2 CFR section 200.315(a)](2CFR200.315a.pdf)).

***Real Property Management -- Grants and Cooperative Agreements***

Title to real property acquired or improved by non-Federal entities under grants and cooperative agreements vests in the non-Federal entity subject to the obligations and conditions specified in 45 CFR 75.318 ([2 CFR section 200.311](2CFR200.311.pdf)) (2 CFR section 200.311(a)). Real property will be used for the originally authorized purpose as long as needed for that purpose, during which time the non-Federal entity must not dispose of or encumber title to or other interests in the real property 45 CFR 75.318 (2 CFR section 200.311(b)).

When real property is no longer needed for the originally authorized purpose, the non-Federal entity must obtain disposition instructions from the Federal awarding agency or the pass-through entity, as applicable. When real property is sold, sales procedures must be followed that provide for competition to the extent practicable and result in the highest possible return. If sold, non-Federal entities must compensate the Federal awarding agency for the portion of the net sales proceeds that represents the Federal agency’s interest in the real property, which is the amount calculated by multiplying the current market value or sale proceeds by the Federal agency’s participation in total project costs. If the property is retained, the non-Federal entity must compensate the Federal awarding agency for the Federal portion of the current fair market value of the property. Disposition instructions may also provide for transfer of title to the Federal awarding agency or a designated third party, in which case the non-Federal entity is entitled to the non-Federal interest in the property, which is calculated by multiplying the current market value or sale proceeds by the non-Federal entity’s share in total project costs (2 CFR section 200.311(c)(3)).

***Equipment and Real Property Management – Cost-Reimbursement Contracts Under the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR)***

Equipment and real property management requirements for cost-reimbursement contracts are specified in the FAR clause at [48 CFR section 52.245-1](48CFR52.245.1.pdf). Federal government property as defined in the FAR includes both equipment and real property. Title to Federal government property acquired by a non-Federal entity normally vests in the Federal government, unless otherwise noted in the contract terms and conditions. The FAR requires:

1. A system of internal controls to manage (control, use, preserve, protect, repair, and maintain) Federal government property and a process to enable the prompt recognition, investigation, disclosure and reporting of loss of Federal government property.
2. Federal government property must be used for performing the contract for which it was acquired unless otherwise provided for in the contract or approved by the Federal awarding agency.
3. Property records must be maintained and include the name, part number and description, and other elements as necessary and required in accordance with the terms and conditions of the contract, quantity received, unit acquisition cost, unique-item identifier, accountable contract number, location, disposition, and posting reference and date of transaction.
4. A physical inventory must be periodically performed, recorded, and disclosed.

Except as provided for in the contract, the non-Federal entity must not dispose of inventory until authorized by the Federal awarding agency. The non-Federal entity may purchase the property at the unit acquisition cost if desired or make reasonable efforts to return unused property to the appropriate supplier at fair market value.

**Source of Governing Requirements**

The requirements for equipment and real property are contained in 45 CFR 75.320 ([2 CFR section 200.313](2CFR200.313.pdf)) (equipment), 45 CFR 75.318 ([2 CFR section 200.311](2CFR200.311.pdf) (real property)), [48 CFR section 52.245-1](48CFR52.245.1.pdf) (equipment and real property), program legislation, Federal awarding agency regulations, and the terms and conditions of the Federal award.

*(Source: 2017 OMB Compliance Supplement 3.2)*

**Agency Codification Adjustments/Exceptions:**

* DOT and HHS, have made additions and edits to part 313. Additionally HHS has made additions and edits to part 311. The most recent compilation of agency additions and exceptions is provided on the COFAR website here <https://cfo.gov/wp-content/uploads/2014/12/Agency-Exceptions.pdf>. However, this list is only updated through 12/2014.

**Part 4 OMB Program Specific Requirements**

There are no Program Specific requirements for this compliance requirement.

*(Source: 2017 OMB Compliance Supplement, Part 4, Department of Health and Human Services Tanf (Title IV-A))*

### Additional Program Specific Information

**ODJFS Compliance Requirements**

The use, management and disposition of equipment acquired under a subgrant of federal monies is subject to the requirements of 45 CFR 75.320 and 75.439 ([2 CFR 200.313](2CFR200.313.pdf) and [2 CFR 200.439](2CFR200.439.pdf)) and Ohio Administrative Code (OAC) [5101:9-4-02](OAC5101.9.4.02.pdf), Standards of Acquisition, [5101:9-4-15](OAC5101.9.4.15.pdf), Disposal of Personal Property, [5101:9-4-10](OAC5101.9.4.10.pdf), Asset Reimbursement Methods and [5101:9-4-11](OAC5101.9.4.11.pdf) Rental Costs and Lease Agreements.

[OAC 5101:9-4-02](OAC5101.9.4.02.pdf) (eff. 1-22-10, new eff. 3-5-15) states “Each County Family Services Agency and Workforce Development Agency shall develop written acquisition standards. These acquisition standards shall comply with all applicable federal and state acquisition statutes, regulations, rules, and circulars. The written standards shall also contain all relevant requirements of the provisions of this chapter, including the requirements listed in rule [5101:9-4-07](OAC5101.9.4.07.pdf) and [5101:9-4-07.1](OAC5101.9.4.07.1.pdf) of the Administrative Code.” See the above note for the revisions to this administrative code section and their applicability.

[OAC 5101:9-4-15](OAC5101.9.4.15.pdf) (eff. 12-10-2018) states “Personal Property acquired in whole or in part with federal funds shall be disposed of in compliance with 2 CFR 200, subpart E, 7 CFR part 277, 29 CFR part 97, and 45 CFR part 75 and Ohio Rev. Code Section 307.12 in accordance with state and local requirements. The most restrictive regulations shall apply.” This section also states the County Commissioners must be notified for disposal of personal property and gives disposal options when personal property is not needed for public use or is obsolete or unfit for the use for which it was acquired.

[OAC 5101:9-4-11](OAC5101.9.4.11.pdf) (eff. 2-17-12, new eff. 2-21-15) states “The county family service agency shall follow federal, state, and local regulations when seeking federal financial participation (FFP) for the costs associated with the rent or lease of property or equipment. The costs must be necessary and reasonable for proper and efficient performance and administration of the specific program financing the cost and must be in compliance with 2 CFR 200, subpart E.” This section also gives guidance on determining the reasonableness of the costs. See the above note for the revisions to this administrative code section and their applicability.

Note: ORC § 329.44 allows for JFS Districts to hold title to real property. Auditors will need to evaluate if the district is holding title to real property and will need to import testing procedures from the General boilerplate FACCR. Also keep in mind costs incurred for the acquisition of buildings and land, as “capital expenditures,” are unallowable as direct charges, except where approved in advance by the awarding agency. See 45 CFR 75.318, 75.343, and 75.439 (2 CFR [200.311](2CFR200.311.pdf), [200.329](2CFR200.329.pdf), and [200.439](2CFR200.439.pdf)).

### Audit Objectives and Control Testing

[**See here for the OMB Supplement Audit Objectives and Compliance Requirements**](Equipment_and_Real_Property_Management_Auditobjectives.pdf)

|  |
| --- |
| **What Control Procedures Address the Compliance Requirement (reference/link to documentation or where the testing was performed):** |
| **Basis for the control** (reports, resources, etc. providing information needed to understand requirements and prevent or identify and correct errors):  **Control Procedure** (description of how auditee uses the “Basis” to prevent, or identify and correct or detect errors):  **Person(s) responsible for performing the control procedure** (title):  **Description of evidence documenting the control was applied** (i.e. sampling unit):  **Here are some questions that can help in documenting the above control requirements:**  **(Note: The County/District JFS policies should document controls for meeting compliance requirements. Auditors should review the information provided by the County/District JFS to gain an understanding of the procedures in place.)**   1. Are policies and procedures in place to establish responsibility for the required recordkeeping for equipment? 2. Are policies and procedures in place to ensure the maintenance of property records including the following information for federally funded equipment:   • Description of the property;  • Serial number or other identifying number;  • Source of the property;  • Who holds title to the property;  • Acquisition date of the property;  • Cost of the property;  • The percentage of federal participation in the cost of the property (if property records indicate the original coding of the cost upon acquisition, this should be sufficient);  • Location, use and condition of the property; and  • Disposition of the property, including the date of disposal and the sale price.   1. Did the County/district JFS develop a written policy as required for the reimbursement of costs of local agency/area assets that complies with state, federal, and local requirements and includes asset classification standards and a useful life schedule in accordance? 2. Are there policies and procedures in place for the disposition of equipment in accordance with the federal requirements? 3. Were the County Commissioners/Governing Board notified of the need for the disposal of the asset? 4. Are there policies and procedures in place for remitting to the federal government their share of the proceeds of amounts received from the sale or other disposition of equipment? 5. How do you ensure that such policies and procedures are in place and operating as planned? 6. Are there policies and procedures in place to follow federal, state, and local regulations when seeking federal financial participation (FFP) for the costs associated with the rent or lease of property or equipment? |

### Suggested Audit Procedures – Compliance

|  |
| --- |
| **Suggested Audit Procedures – Compliance (Substantive Tests)**  **(Reference / link to documentation where testing was performed testing):** |
| **This FACCR was written for grants required to be tested under the UG, however if you have material non-UG transactions, please contact CFAE via the FACCR Inbox** [**FACCR@ohioauditor.gov**](mailto:FACCR@ohioauditor.gov)**.**  **Consider the results of the testing of internal control in assessing the risk of noncompliance. Use this as the basis for determining the nature, timing, and extent (e.g., number of transactions to be selected) of substantive tests of compliance.** |
| 1. Inventory Management of Equipment Acquired Under Federal Awards  a. Identify equipment acquired and trace selected purchases to the property records. Verify that the property records contain the required information.  b. Verify that the required physical inventory of equipment was performed. Test whether any differences between the physical inventory and equipment records were resolved.  c. Select a sample from all equipment acquired under Federal awards from the property records and physically inspect the equipment and determine whether the equipment is appropriately safeguarded and maintained.  2. Disposition of Equipment Acquired Under Federal Awards  a. Identify equipment dispositions for the audit period and perform procedures to verify that the dispositions of equipment acquired under Federal awards were properly reflected in the property records.  b. For dispositions of equipment acquired under grants and cooperative agreements with a current per-unit fair market value of $5,000 or more, verify whether the Federal awarding agency was reimbursed for the Federal portion of the current market value or sales proceeds.  c. For dispositions of equipment acquired under cost-reimbursement contracts, verify that the non-Federal entity followed Federal awarding agency disposition instructions.  3. Disposition of Real Property Acquired Under Federal Awards  a. Identify real property dispositions for the audit period and determine whether such real property was acquired or improved under Federal awards.  b. For dispositions of real property acquired or improved under Federal awards, perform procedures to verify that the non-Federal entity followed the instructions of the Federal awarding agency or pass-through entity, which normally require reimbursement to the Federal awarding agency for the Federal portion of net sales proceeds or fair market value at the time of disposition, as applicable. |

### Audit Implications Summary

|  |
| --- |
| **Audit Implications (adequacy of the system and controls, and the effect on sample size, significant deficiencies / material weaknesses, material non-compliance and management letter comments)** |
| 1. **Results of Test of Controls: (including material weaknesses, significant deficiencies and management letter items)** 2. **Assessment of Control Risk:** 3. **Effect on the Nature, Timing, and Extent of Compliance (Substantive Test) including Sample Size:** 4. **Results of Compliance (Substantive Tests) Tests:** 5. **Questioned Costs: Actual \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Projected \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_** |

## G. MATCHING, LEVEL OF EFFORT, EARMARKING

**Federal awarding agencies adopted/implemented the Uniform Guidance in 2 CFR part 200. The OMB guidance is directed to Federal agencies and, by itself, does not establish regulatory requirements binding on non-federal entities. Throughout the FACCR 2 CFR part 200 has been referenced, however in determining compliance auditors need to refer the applicable agency codification of 2 CFR Part 200. Auditors should review this** [**link**](Agency%20Adoption%20of%20the%20UG%20and%20Example%20Citations.pdf) **for a full discussion of agency adoption of the UG and how to cite non-compliance exceptions. Auditors will need to start with the agency codification of the UG when citing exceptions.**

### OMB Compliance Requirements

The specific requirements for matching, level of effort, and earmarking are unique to each Federal program and are found in the statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of awards pertaining to the program. For programs listed in this Supplement, these specific requirements are in Part 4, “Agency Program Requirements,” or Part 5, “Clusters of Programs,” as applicable.

However, for matching, 45 CFR 75.306 ([2 CFR section 200.306](2CFR200.306.pdf)) provides detailed criteria for acceptable costs and contributions. The following is a list of the basic criteria for acceptable matching:

- Are verifiable from the non-Federal entity’s records;

- Are not included as contributions for any other Federal award;

- Are necessary and reasonable for accomplishment of project or program objectives;

- Are allowed under [45 CFR part 75, subpart E (2 CFR part 200, subpart E](2CFR200_subpart%20E.PDF)) (Cost Principles);

- Are not paid by the Federal Government under another award, except where the Federal statute authorizing a program specifically provides that Federal funds made available for such program can be applied to matching or cost sharing requirements of other Federal programs;

- Are provided for in the approved budget when required by the Federal awarding agency; and

- Conform to other provisions of this part, as applicable.

“Matching,” “level of effort,” and “earmarking” are defined as follows:

1. *Matching* or cost sharing includes requirements to provide contributions (usually non-Federal) of a specified amount or percentage to match Federal awards. Matching may be in the form of allowable costs incurred or in-kind contributions (including third-party in-kind contributions).

2. *Level of effort* includes requirements for (a) a specified level of service to be provided from period to period, (b) a specified level of expenditures from non-Federal or Federal sources for specified activities to be maintained from period to period, and (c) Federal funds to supplement and not supplant non-Federal funding of services.

3. *Earmarking* includes requirements that specify the minimum and/or maximum amount or percentage of the program’s funding that must/may be used for specified activities, including funds provided to subrecipients. Earmarking may also be specified in relation to the types of participants covered.

**Source of Governing Requirements**

The requirements for matching are contained in 45 CFR 75.306 [(2 CFR section 200.306),](2CFR200.306.pdf) program legislation, Federal awarding agency regulations, and the terms and conditions of the award. The requirements for level of effort and earmarking are contained in program legislation, Federal awarding agency regulations, and the terms and conditions of the award.

*(Source: 2017 OMB Compliance Supplement 3.2)*

**Agency Codification Adjustments/Exceptions:**

* USDA, HUD, DOT, HHS and EPA have not made any adjustments or exceptions that directly impact references within this compliance requirement.

**Part 4 OMB Program Specific Requirements**

There are no Program Specific requirements for this compliance requirement.

*(Source: 2017 OMB Compliance Supplement, Part 4, Department of Health and Human Services Tanf (Title IV-A))*

### Additional Program Specific Information

**CCMEP Administrative Costs**

Per [OAC 5101:9-5-01](OAC5101.9.5.01.pdf), when a WDA serves as the lead agency, up to ten per cent of the CCMEP TANF administration allocation may be retained at the WIOA fiscal agent level for the administrative costs of carrying out CCMEP TANF administration activities as described in rule [5101:14-1-05](OAC5101.14.1.05.pdf) of the Administrative Code. Allowable administrative cost may be found in rule [5101:9-6-08.8](OAC5101.9.6.08.8.pdf) of the Administrative Code.

### Audit Objectives and Control Testing

[**See here for the OMB Supplement Audit Objectives and Compliance Requirements**](Matching_LevelofEffort_Earmarking_Auditobjectives.pdf)

|  |
| --- |
| **What Control Procedures Address the Compliance Requirement (reference/link to documentation or where the testing was performed):** |
| **Basis for the control** (reports, resources, etc. providing information needed to understand requirements and prevent or identify and correct errors):  **Control Procedure** (description of how auditee uses the “Basis” to prevent, or identify and correct or detect errors):  **Person(s) responsible for performing the control procedure** (title):  **Description of evidence documenting the control was applied** (i.e. sampling unit): |

### Suggested Audit Procedures – Compliance

|  |
| --- |
| **Suggested Audit Procedures – Compliance (Substantive Tests)**  **(Reference / link to documentation where testing was performed testing):** |
| **This FACCR was written for grants required to be tested under the UG, however if you have material non-UG transactions, please contact CFAE via the FACCR Inbox** [**FACCR@ohioauditor.gov**](mailto:FACCR@ohioauditor.gov)**.**  **Consider the results of the testing of internal control in assessing the risk of noncompliance. Use this as the basis for determining the nature, timing, and- extent (e.g., number of transactions to be selected) of substantive tests of compliance.** |
| **1.** **Matching** *– Not Applicable*  **2.1** **Level of Effort** – *Maintenance of Effort – Not Applicable*  **2.2** **Level of Effort** – *Supplement Not Supplant – Not Applicable*  **3. Earmarking**  a. Identify the applicable percentage or dollar requirements for earmarking.  b. Perform procedures to verify that the amounts recorded in the financial records met the requirements (e.g., when a minimum amount is required to be spent for a specified type of service, perform procedures to verify that the financial records show that at least the minimum amount for this type of service was charged to the program; or, when the amount spent on a specified type of service may not exceed a maximum amount, perform procedures to verify that the financial records show no more than this maximum amount for the specified type of service was charged to the program).  c. When earmarking requirements specify a minimum percentage or amount, select a sample of transactions supporting the specified amount or percentage and perform tests to verify proper classification to meet the minimum percentage or amount.  d. When the earmarking requirements specify a maximum percentage or amount, review the financial records to identify transactions for the specified activity which were improperly classified in another account (e.g., if only 10 percent may be spent for administrative costs, review accounts for other than administrative costs to identify administrative costs which were improperly classified elsewhere and cause the maximum percentage or amount to be exceeded).  e. When earmarking requirements prescribe the minimum number or percentage of specified types of participants that can be served, select a sample of participants that are counted toward meeting the minimum requirement and perform tests to verify that they were properly classified.  f. When earmarking requirements prescribe the maximum number or percentage of specified types of participants that can be served, select a sample of other participants and perform tests to verify that they were not of the specified type. |

### Audit Implications Summary

|  |
| --- |
| **Audit Implications (adequacy of the system and controls, and the effect on sample size, significant deficiencies / material weaknesses, material non-compliance and management letter comments)** |
| 1. **Results of Test of Controls: (including material weaknesses, significant deficiencies and management letter items)** 2. **Assessment of Control Risk:** 3. **Effect on the Nature, Timing, and Extent of Compliance (Substantive Test) including Sample Size:** 4. **Results of Compliance (Substantive Tests) Tests:** 5. **Questioned Costs: Actual \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Projected \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_** |

## H. PERIOD OF PERFORMANCE

**Federal awarding agencies adopted/implemented the Uniform Guidance in 2 CFR part 200. The OMB guidance is directed to Federal agencies and, by itself, does not establish regulatory requirements binding on non-federal entities. Throughout the FACCR 2 CFR part 200 has been referenced, however in determining compliance auditors need to refer the applicable agency codification of 2 CFR Part 200. Auditors should review this** [**link**](Agency%20Adoption%20of%20the%20UG%20and%20Example%20Citations.pdf) **for a full discussion of agency adoption of the UG and how to cite non-compliance exceptions. Auditors will need to start with the agency codification of the UG when citing exceptions.**

### OMB Compliance Requirements

A non-Federal entity may charge to the Federal award only allowable costs incurred during the period of performance and any costs incurred before the Federal awarding agency or pass-through entity made the Federal award that were authorized by the Federal awarding agency or pass-through entity 45 CFR 75.309 ([2 CFR section 200.309](2CFR200.309.pdf)).

Unless the Federal awarding agency or pass-through entity authorizes an extension, a non-Federal entity must liquidate all obligations incurred under the Federal award not later than 90 calendar days after the end date of the period of performance as specified in the terms and conditions of the Federal award 45 CFR 75.381(b) ([2 CFR section 200.343(b)](2CFR200.343(b).pdf)). When used in connection with a non-Federal entity’s utilization of funds under a Federal award, “obligations” means orders placed for property and services, contracts and subawards made, and similar transactions during a given period that require payment by the non-Federal entity during the same or a future period 45 CFR 75.2 ([2 CFR section 200.71](2CFR200.71.pdf)).

**Source of Governing Requirements**

The requirements for the period of performance are contained in 2 CFR section 200.71 (definition of “obligations”), 45 CFR 75.2 [(2 CFR section 200.77](2CFR200.77.pdf)) (definition of “period of performance”), 45 CFR 75.309 ([2 CFR section 200.309](2CFR200.309.pdf)) (period of performance), 45 CFR 75.381 ([2 CFR section 200.343](2CFR200.343.pdf)) (closeout), program legislation, Federal awarding agency regulations; and the terms and conditions of the award.

*(Source: 2017 OMB Compliance Supplement 3.2)*

**Agency Codification Adjustments/Exceptions:**

* HHS has made additions and edits to parts 71, 77, 309 and 343. DOL has made additions and edits to part 343. The most recent compilation of agency additions and exceptions is provided on the COFAR website here <https://cfo.gov/wp-content/uploads/2014/12/Agency-Exceptions.pdf>. However, this list is only updated through 12/2014.

**Part 4 OMB Program Specific Requirements**

*States*

Prior to October 1, 2008, a State could reserve amounts awarded to it, without fiscal year limitation, to provide assistance under the State TANF program. However, a State could only expend such funds beyond the fiscal year in which they were awarded on benefits that met the definition of “assistance” at 45 CFR section 260.31 or on the administrative costs directly associated with providing that assistance. Effective October 1, 2008, States may use Federal TANF funds carried forward into a fiscal year from a prior fiscal year to provide any benefit or service provided under the State’s TANF program. By contrast a State may only use contingency funds for qualified expenditures in the fiscal year for which the funds were awarded (42 USC 603(b) and 604(e); 45 CFR sections 263.11(b) and 265.3(c)).

a. *Unobligated Balances Reported on a State Fourth Quarter Financial Report for the Immediately Preceding Fiscal Year*

States may use any Federal TANF funds carried forward into a fiscal year from a prior fiscal year to provide, without fiscal year limitation, any benefit or service provided under the State’s TANF program (42 USC 604(e), as amended by ARRA).

States have several options for claiming administrative costs when providing assistance with prior year unobligated balances. The State may charge administrative costs related to providing the assistance to the prior year grant if the State has not expended 15 percent of the prior year’s Adjusted SFAG on administrative costs previously. If the State has an unobligated balance and has expended the maximum 15 percent on administrative cost previously, the State may charge the administrative costs associated with providing the assistance to current year administrative costs. If the State chooses this option, the administrative costs associated with providing assistance with prior year unobligated balances will be included within the 15 percent administrative cost cap for the current fiscal year.

The Federal TANF 15 percent administrative cost cap is based on:

(1) For the administrative expenditure cap applicable to State Family Assistance Grant (SFAG) funds (Column A), cumulative Administrative Costs (reported on Line 22.a. of the ACF-196R and Line 6.j. of the ACF-196) may not exceed 15 percent of the Adjusted Award on Line 4 (Column A);

(2) For the administrative expenditure cap applicable to Contingency Funds the State may have received (Column D), Administrative Costs (reported on Line 22.a. of the ACF-196R) may not exceed 15 percent of the Total Expenditures on Line 24 (Column D);

(3) For the Administrative Cost cap applicable to Emergency Contingency Funds the State may have received (Column E), cumulative Administrative Costs (reported on Line 22.a. of the ACF-196R and Line 6.j. of the ACF-196) may not exceed 15 percent of the amount Awarded on Line 1 (Column E); and

(4) For Territories, the Adjusted SFAG (reported in Line 4, Columns (A) and (G) on the ACF-196-TR) if a Territory receives Federal emergency TANF funds for FYs 2009 and 2010 *divided* by the total amount entered in Line 6j, Columns (A) and (G).

The administrative cost cap is tracked by the fiscal year for which the funds were awarded and not by the total the State expends on administrative costs in a given fiscal year.

b. *Current Fiscal Year Federal Expenditures on Non-Assistance* –Prior to October 1, 2008, the State must obligate by September 30 of the current fiscal year any funds for expenditures on non-assistance. Non-assistance expenditures are reported on Line 6 categories on the *ACF-196 TANF Financial Report* and the ACF-196-TR, *Territorial Financial Report.* The State must liquidate these obligations by September 30 of the immediately succeeding Federal fiscal year for which the funds were awarded. If the final liquidation amounts are lower than the original amount obligated, this difference must be included in the Unobligated Balance Line Item for the year in which they were awarded. Unobligated balances from previous fiscal years may only be expended on benefits that meet the definition of assistance at 45 CFR section 260.31(a) and related administrative costs associated with providing such assistance.

Effective October 1, 2008, States may use Federal TANF funds carried forward into a fiscal year from a prior fiscal year to provide, without fiscal year limitation, any benefit or service provided under the State’s TANF program (42 USC 604(e), as amended by ARRA).

*(Source: 2017 OMB Compliance Supplement, Part 4, Department of Health and Human Services Tanf (Title IV-A))*

### Additional Program Specific Information

**ODJFS Compliance Requirements**

Agencies may occasionally have 2 grants open at the same time. (Example: Both TANF FFY 18 and TANF FFY 19 will be available during the Oct 2018 – Dec 2018 quarter.) It is important for agencies to consider the period of performance and the liquidation period of those grants, as entered into CFIS, in order to make the appropriate grant choice during this time.

Other than claims for Title XX funding, DHHS allows a State to file a claim for FFP within 2 years after the calendar quarter in which the expenditure was made (45 CFR 95.7). See OMB Specific Information on previous page.County agencies must report those expenditures to ODJFS within 7 calendar quarters after the expenditure was made to ensure the State reports the expenditure within the time frames. (Please refer to 45 CFR 95.13 regarding how to determine when an expenditure was made.)

Per ODJFS, Federal regulations in 45 CFR 95.13 define incurred as the quarter in which a payment was made even if the payment was for a month in a previous quarter. And for depreciation – the quarter the expenditure was recorded in the accounting records.

Because of the two-year time limit, agencies have the option of posting expenditures incurred prior to 9/30/18 (and after 10/1/17) to either the FFY 18 grants or FFY 19 grants. Expenditures may be charged to a future grant (within 2 years) but cannot be charged to a grant that is past its period of performance.

* Agencies are encouraged to utilize FFY 18 allocation balances by completing a Post Allocated Adjustment (PAA) for expenditures that occurred for services as of 9/30/2018,
* Agencies may not, under any circumstances, post expenditures incurred after 9/30/18 to a FFY 18 grant. FFY 19 grants must be used for expenditures incurred on or after the beginning of the new FFY (10/1/18.)

**Accessing FFY 17 Grants**

* FFY 17 grants begin on 10/01/2016 are available for expenditures incurred through 9/30/2017. FFY 17 grants will have a liquidation period of 10/01/2017 – 12/31/2017; agencies may post expenditures and submit draw requests until 12/31/2017.
* Since the FFY 17 grants begin on 10/01/2016 expenditures posted via CFIS Web will automatically be mapped to the FFY 17 grants.
* Agencies only need to do a PAA for those expenditures that they are opting to move to the FFY 16 grant (those incurred before 10/1/2016). Again, a PAA for this purpose is not a requirement; it is an option for those with remaining FFY16 balances.

**Accessing FFY 18 Grants**

* FFY 18 grants begin on 10/01/2017 are available for expenditures incurred through 9/30/2018. FFY 18 grants will have a liquidation period of 10/01/2018 – 12/31/2018; agencies may post expenditures and submit draw requests until 12/31/2018.
* Since the FFY 18 grants begin on 10/01/2017 expenditures posted via CFIS Web will automatically be mapped to the FFY 18 grants.
* Agencies only need to do a PAA for those expenditures that they are opting to move to the FFY 17 grant (those incurred before 10/1/2017). Again, a PAA for this purpose is not a requirement; it is an option for those with remaining FFY17 balances.

**Accessing FFY 19 Grants**

* FFY 19 grants begin on 10/01/2018 are available for expenditures incurred through 9/30/2019. FFY 19 grants will have a liquidation period of 10/01/2019 – 12/31/2019; agencies may post expenditures and submit draw requests until 12/31/2019.
* Since the FFY 19 grants begin on 10/01/2018 expenditures posted via CFIS Web will automatically be mapped to the FFY 19 grants.
* Agencies only need to do a PAA for those expenditures that they are opting to move to the FFY 18 grant (those incurred before 10/1/18). Again, a PAA for this purpose is not a requirement; it is an option for those with remaining FFY18 balances.

ODJFS issues Fraud Awareness Initiative allocations providing $2,000 to selected counties for fraud awareness month programs, campaigns, activities, and events. May is designated fraud awareness month. Funds must be obligated by June 30th and liquidated by September 30th. Funds must be used to purchase promotional items within the guidelines of [OAC 5101:9-6-70](OAC5101.9.6.70.pdf) as amended by [FAPMTL #344](http://www.odjfs.state.oh.us/lpc/calendar/fileLINKNAME.asp?ID=FAPMTL344).

CCMEP

[OAC 5101:9-5-03](OAC5101.9.5.03.pdf) states the CCMEP program year is July 1 through June 30. Funds must be expended by the period of performance and reported and disbursed no later than the end of the liquidation period.

### Audit Objectives and Control Testing

[**See here for the OMB Supplement Audit Objectives and Compliance Requirements**](Period%20_of_Performance_Federal_Funds_Auditobjectives.pdf)

|  |
| --- |
| **What Control Procedures Address the Compliance Requirement (reference/link to documentation or where the testing was performed):** |
| **Basis for the control** (reports, resources, etc. providing information needed to understand requirements and prevent or identify and correct errors):  **Control Procedure** (description of how auditee uses the “Basis” to prevent, or identify and correct or detect errors):  **Person(s) responsible for performing the control procedure** (title):  **Description of evidence documenting the control was applied** (i.e. sampling unit):  **Here are some questions that can help in documenting the above control requirements**  What procedures does the County/district JFS have in place to report expenditures within two years after the expense incurred?  What procedures does the County/district JFS have in place for coding adjustments submitted to ODJFS one quarter prior to the end of the two-year period? |

### Suggested Audit Procedures – Compliance

|  |
| --- |
| **Suggested Audit Procedures – Compliance (Substantive Tests)**  **(Reference / link to documentation where testing was performed testing):** |
| **This FACCR was written for grants required to be tested under the UG, however if you have material non-UG transactions, please contact CFAE via the FACCR Inbox** [**FACCR@ohioauditor.gov**](mailto:FACCR@ohioauditor.gov)**.**  **Consider the results of the testing of internal control in assessing the risk of noncompliance. Use this as the basis for determining the nature, timing, and extent (e.g., number of transactions to be selected) of substantive tests of compliance.** |
| 1. Review the award documents and regulations pertaining to the program and determine any award-specific requirements related to the period of performance.  2. For Federal awards with performance period beginning dates during the audit period, test transactions for costs recorded during the beginning of the period of performance and verify that the costs were not incurred prior to the start of the period of performance unless authorized by the Federal awarding agency or the pass-through entity.  3. For Federal awards with performance period ending dates during the audit period, test transactions for costs recorded during the latter part and after the period of performance and verify that the costs had been incurred within the period of performance.  4. For Federal awards with performance period ending dates during the audit period, test transactions for Federal award costs for which the obligation had not been liquidated (payment made) as of the end of the period of performance and verify that the liquidation occurred within the allowed time period.  5. Test adjustments (e.g., manual journal entries) for Federal award costs and verify that these adjustments were for transactions that occurred during the period of performance.  As long as the auditor obtains sufficient, appropriate evidence to meet the period of performance audit objectives, the auditor may test period of performance using the same test items used to test other types of compliance requirements (e.g., activities allowed or unallowed or allowable costs/cost principles). However, if this approach is used, the auditor should exercise care in designing the sample to ensure that sample items are suitable for testing the stated objectives of compliance requirements covered by the sample.  (Source: AOS CFAE) |

### Audit Implications Summary

|  |
| --- |
| **Audit Implications (adequacy of the system and controls, and the effect on sample size, significant deficiencies / material weaknesses, material non-compliance and management letter comments)** |
| 1. **Results of Test of Controls: (including material weaknesses, significant deficiencies and management letter items)** 2. **Assessment of Control Risk:** 3. **Effect on the Nature, Timing, and Extent of Compliance (Substantive Test) including Sample Size:** 4. **Results of Compliance (Substantive Tests) Tests:** 5. **Questioned Costs: Actual \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Projected \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_** |

## I. PROCUREMENT AND SUSPENSION AND DEBARMENT

### OMB Compliance Requirements – Procurement

**Federal awarding agencies adopted/implemented the Uniform Guidance in 2 CFR part 200. The OMB guidance is directed to Federal agencies and, by itself, does not establish regulatory requirements binding on non-federal entities. Throughout the FACCR 2 CFR part 200 has been referenced, however in determining compliance auditors need to refer the applicable agency codification of 2 CFR Part 200. Auditors should review this** [**link**](Agency%20Adoption%20of%20the%20UG%20and%20Example%20Citations.pdf) **for a full discussion of agency adoption of the UG and how to cite non-compliance exceptions. Auditors will need to start with the agency codification of the UG when citing exceptions.**

***Procurement—Grants and Cooperative Agreements***

*Non-Federal Entities Other than States*

Non-Federal entities other than States, including those operating Federal programs as subrecipients of States, must follow the procurement standards set out at 45 CFR 75.327 through 75.335 ([2 CFR sections 200.318 through 200.326](2CFR200.317_thru_200.326.pdf)). They must use their own documented procurement procedures, which reflect applicable State and local laws and regulations, provided that the procurements conform to applicable Federal statutes and the procurement requirements identified in 2 CFR part 200. A non-Federal entity must:

1. Meet the general procurement standards in 45 CFR 75.327 (2 CFR section 200.318), which include oversight of contractors’ performance, maintaining written standards of conduct for employees involved in contracting, awarding contracts only to responsible contractors, and maintaining records to document history of procurements.

2. Conduct all procurement transactions in a manner providing full and open competition, in accordance with 45 CFR 75.328 (2 CFR section 200.319).

3. Use the micro-purchase and small purchase methods only for procurements that meet the applicable criteria under 45 CFR 75.329 (a) and (b) (2 CFR sections 200.320(a) and (b)). Under the micro-purchase method, the aggregate dollar amount does not exceed $3,500 ($2,000 in the case of acquisition for construction subject to the Wage Rate Requirements (Davis-Bacon Act)). Small purchase procedures are used for purchases that exceed the micro-purchase amount but do not exceed the simplified acquisition threshold. Micro-purchases may be awarded without soliciting competitive quotations if the non-Federal entity considers the price to be reasonable 45 CFR 75.329(d) (2 CFR section 200.320(a)). If small purchase procedures are used, price or rate quotations must be obtained from an adequate number of qualified sources 45 CFR 75.329(b) (2 CFR section 200.320(b)). Note exceptions described in subsequent sections for the provisions under the 2017 and 2018 National Defense Authorization Act.

4. For acquisitions exceeding the simplified acquisition threshold, the non-Federal entity must use one of the following procurement methods: the sealed bid method if the acquisition meets the criteria in 45 CFR 75.329(c) (2 CFR section 200.320(c)); the competitive proposals method under the conditions specified in 45 CFR 75.329(d) (2 CFR section 200.320(d)); or the noncompetitive proposals method (i.e., solicit a proposal from only one source) but only when one or more of four circumstances are met, in accordance with 45 CFR 75.329(f) (2 CFR section 200.320(f)).

5. Perform a cost or price analysis in connection with every procurement action in excess of the simplified acquisition threshold, including contract modifications 45 CFR 75.332(a) (2 CFR section 200.323(a)). The cost plus a percentage of cost and percentage of construction cost methods of contracting must not be used 45 CFR 75.332(d) (2 CFR section 200.323(d)).

6. Ensure that every purchase order or other contract includes applicable provisions required by 45 CFR 75.335 (2 CFR section 200.326). These provisions are described in Appendix II to 45 CFR part 75 ([Appendix II to 2 CFR part 200](2CFR200_Appendix_II.pdf)), “Contract Provisions for Non-Federal Entity Contracts Under Federal Awards.”

***Procurement—Cost-Reimbursement Contracts under the Federal Acquisition Regulation***

When awarding subcontracts, non-Federal entities receiving cost-reimbursement contracts under the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) must comply with the clauses at [48 CFR section 52.244-2](48CFR52.244-2.pdf) (consent to subcontract), [52.244-5](48CFR52.244-5.pdf) (competition), [52.203-13](48CFR52.203-13.pdf) (code of business ethics), [52.203-16](48CFR52.203-16.pdf) (conflicts of interest), and [52.215.12](48CFR52.215-12.pdf) (cost or pricing data); and the terms and conditions of the contract. The FAR defines “subcontracts” as a contract, i.e., a mutually binding legal relationship obligating the seller to furnish the supplies or services (including construction) and the buyer to pay for them, entered into by a subcontractor to furnish supplies or services for performance of a prime contract or a subcontract. It includes, but is not limited to, purchase orders, and changes and modifications to purchase orders.

**Source of Governing Requirements – Procurement**

The requirements that apply to procurement under grants and cooperative agreements are contained in 45 CFR 75.326 through 75.335 ([2 CFR sections 200.317 through 200.326](2CFR200.317_thru_200.326.pdf)), program legislation, Federal awarding agency regulations, and the terms and conditions of the award. The requirements that apply to procurement under cost-reimbursement contracts under the FAR are contained in 48 CFR parts [03](48CFR_Part_3.pdf), [15](48CFR_Part_15.pdf), [44](48CFR_Part_44.pdf) and the clauses at [48 CFR section 52.244-2](48CFR52.244-2.pdf), [52.244-5](48CFR52.244-5.pdf), [52.203-13](48CFR52.203-13.pdf), [52.203-16](48CFR52.203-16.pdf), and [52.215-12](48CFR52.215-12.pdf); agency FAR Supplements; and the terms and conditions of the contract.

*(Source: 2018 OMB Compliance Supplement 3.2)*

**National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) of 2017 and 2018**

The following information is provided regarding timing and impact of the NDAA of 2017 and 2018. Additional guidance to the auditor is provided in Appendix VII -A – “Other Audit Advisories – Hurricane and NDAA Addendum” of the 2018 Supplement.

*NDAA of 2017*

The NDAA of 2017, Section 217 (Pub. L. No. 114-328, 130 Stat. 6 (2051)) and 41 USC 1902(a)(2) contained the following provisions.

Raise the micro-purchase threshold to $10,000 for procurements under grants and cooperative agreements to institutions of higher education, or related or affiliated nonprofit entities, nonprofit research organizations or independent research institutes..

Allow a threshold higher than $10,000 as determined appropriate by the head of the relevant executive agency.

The provisions of this Act are specific to, institutions of higher education, or related or affiliated nonprofit entities, nonprofit research organizations or independent research institutes. As of the date of this 2018 Supplement, OMB has not issued guidance to clarify the applicability date which would allow the specified entities to raise their micro-purchase threshold up to $10,000. Once the applicability date is determined, the non-Federal entity must document this decision in its internal procurement policies. Institutions of higher education, or related or affiliated nonprofit entities, nonprofit research organizations or independent research institutes, which had established micro-purchase thresholds up to the $10,000 prior to the enactment of the NDAA 2017, are allowed to continue the use of the same threshold as documented in their internal procurement policies.

Note that the exception for the $10,000 micro-purchase threshold is not available to ALL auditees; however when implemented by an eligible auditee, the exception would apply to procurements purchased under ALL federal grants.

Institutions of higher education, or related or affiliated nonprofit entities, nonprofit research organizations or independent research institutes may request micro-purchase threshold higher than $10,000, but it requires a formal approval from an appropriate executive agency. Once approved, the non-Federal entity must document this decision in its internal procurement policies.

*NDAA of 2018*

The NDAA of 2018, Sections 805 (41 USC 134) and 806 (41 USC. 1902 (a) (1)), increased the simplified acquisition threshold to $250,000 and the micro-purchase threshold to $10,000, respectively for ALL auditees for ALL Federal grants. These changes effectively redefine the level for the simplified acquisition threshold (section 200.88 of the Uniform Guidance) and the micro-purchase threshold (section 200.67 of the Uniform Guidance). These changes will become effective when they are formally codified in the Federal Acquisition Regulations at 48 CFR Subpart 2.1 (Definitions). Early implementation is not permissible.

Note exception for institutions of higher education, or related or affiliated nonprofit entities, nonprofit research organizations or independent research institutes provided under 2017 NDAA (and described in previous section).

Once codified, the higher thresholds will be available to all non-Federal entities except States. The non-Federal entity must document this decision in its internal procurement policies.

*(Source: 2018 OMB Compliance Supplement 3.2)*

**Agency Codification Adjustments/Exceptions:**

* DOT has made additions and edits to part 317. EPA has made additions and edits to part 318. HHS has made additions and edits to parts 212, 318, 320 and 325. The most recent compilation of agency additions and exceptions is provided on the COFAR website here <https://cfo.gov/wp-content/uploads/2014/12/Agency-Exceptions.pdf>. However, this list is only updated through 12/2014.

### OMB Compliance Requirements – Suspension and Debarment

**Auditors will need to review Appendix II in the link under Source of Governing requirements to determine where the agency codified 2 CFR 180. Citations of non-compliance must start with the agencies codification of 2 CFR part 180.**

Non-Federal entities are prohibited from contracting with or making subawards under covered transactions to parties that are suspended or debarred. “Covered transactions” include contracts for goods and services awarded under a non-procurement transaction (e.g., grant or cooperative agreement) that are expected to equal or exceed $25,000 or meet certain other criteria as specified in [2 CFR section 180.220](2CFR180.220.pdf). All non-procurement transactions entered into by a pass-through entity (i.e., subawards to subrecipients), irrespective of award amount, are considered covered transactions, unless they are exempt as provided in [2 CFR section 180.215](2CFR180.215.pdf).

When a non-Federal entity enters into a covered transaction with an entity at a lower tier, the non-Federal entity must verify that the entity, as defined in [2 CFR section 180.995](2CFR180.995.pdf) and agency adopting regulations, is not suspended or debarred or otherwise excluded from participating in the transaction. This verification may be accomplished by (1) checking the *Excluded Parties List System (EPLS)* maintained by the General Services Administration (GSA) and available at <https://www.sam.gov/portal/public/SAM/>, (2) collecting a certification from the entity, or (3) adding a clause or condition to the covered transaction with that entity ([2 CFR section 180.300](2CFR180.300.pdf)).

Non-Federal entities receiving contracts from the Federal Government are required to comply with the contract clause at [48 CFR 52.209-6](48CFR52.209-6.pdf) before entering into a subcontract that will exceed $30,000, other than a subcontract for a commercially available off-the-shelf item.

**Source of Governing Requirements – Suspension and Debarment**

The requirements for nonprocurement suspension and debarment are contained in OMB guidance in [2 CFR part 180](2CFR_Part_180.pdf), which implements Executive Orders 12549 and 12689, “Debarment and Suspension;” Federal awarding agency regulations in Title 2 of the CFR adopting/implementing the OMB guidance in 2 CFR part 180; program legislation; and the terms and conditions of the award.

Most of the Federal agencies have adopted or implemented 2 CFR part 180, generally by relocating their associated agency rules in Title 2 of the CFR. [Appendix II to the Supplement](OMB_Compliance_Supplement_APP_II.pdf) includes the current CFR citations for all agencies adoption or implementation of the nonprocurement suspension and debarment guidance.

Government-wide requirements related to suspension and debarment and doing business with suspended or debarred subcontractors under cost reimbursement contracts under the FAR are contained in [48 CFR section 9.405-2(b)](48CFR9.405-2(b).pdf) and the clause at [48 CFR section 52.209-6](48CFR52.209-6.pdf).

**Availability of Other Information**

2 CFR part 200.110(a) Effective/Applicability Date, was amended of May 17, 2017, to allow non-Federal entities to continue to comply with the procurement standards in OMB Circular A-110 or the A-102 common rule, as applicable, through December 25, 2017 extending the grace period from 2 years to 3 years. Implementation of the procurement standards in [2 CFR sections 200.317 through 200.326](2CFR200.317_thru_200.326.pdf) is now required for auditee fiscal years beginning on or after December 26, 2017. For example, for a non-Federal entity with a June 30th year end, implementation is required for its fiscal years beginning July 1, 2018.

If a non-Federal entity chooses to use the previous procurement standards for the additional three fiscal years before adopting the procurement standards in 2 CFR part 200, the non-Federal entity must document this decision in its internal procurement policies.

Auditors will review procurement policies and procedures based on the documented standard. Once the grace period ends, all non-Federal entities will be required to comply fully with the uniform guidance.

*(Source: 2018 OMB Compliance Supplement 3.2)*

**Part 4 OMB Program Specific Requirements**

There are no Program Specific requirements for this compliance requirement.

*(Source: 2017 OMB Compliance Supplement, Part 4, Department of Health and Human Services Tanf (Title IV-A))*

**Written Procedure Requirements:**

45 CFR 75.327(c)(1) ([2 CFR 200.318](2CFR200.318.pdf)(c)(1)) requires non-Federal entities maintain written standards of conduct covering conflicts of interest and governing the actions of its employees engaged in the selection, award and administration of contracts.

45 CFR 75.327(c)(2) ([2 CFR 200.318](2CFR200.318.pdf)(c)(2)) requires non-Federal entities maintain written standards of conduct covering organizational conflicts of interest when the non-federal entity has a parent, affiliate, or subsidiary organization that is not a state, local government, or Indian tribe.

45 CFR 75.329(d)(3) ([2 CFR 200.320](2CFR200.320.pdf)(d)(3)) requires non-federal entities to have a written method for conducting technical evaluations of the competitive proposals received and for selecting contract recipients.

45 CFR 75.328(c) ([2 CFR 200.319](2CFR200.319.pdf)(c)) requires that the written procedures required by 2 CFR 200.320(d)(3) ensure all solicitations incorporate a clear and accurate description of the technical requirements for the material, product, or service to be procured and identify all requirements which the offerors must fulfill and all other factors to be used in evaluating bids or proposals.

*(Source: CFAE/eCFR)*

### Additional Program Specific Information

**ODJFS Compliance Requirements**

45 CFR 75.329 ([2 CFR 200.320](2CFR200.320.pdf)) includes procurement requirements.

These methods are:

* Micro-purchases;
* Small Purchases;
* Sealed bids;
* Competitive proposals; and
* Noncompetitive proposals.

The federal regulation provides specific requirements as to the circumstances under which each procurement method may be used and as to the manner in which each procurement method is applied. All procurements with federal monies are to be made in accordance with one of the four approved procedures.

[OAC 5101:9-4-07](OAC5101.9.4.07.pdf) (eff. 1-30-12, revised 7/16/15) also includes the procurement requirements as noted below. Auditors should review these requirements for specific information on the procurement methods.

[OAC 5101:9-4-07.1](OAC5101.9.4.07.1.pdf) (eff. 1-30-12, revised 4/25/2016) provides a detailed procurement methods.

Per [FAPMTL 325](http://www.odjfs.state.oh.us/lpc/calendar/fileLINKNAME.asp?ID=FAPMTL325) (eff. 7/6/15), [OAC 5101:9-4-07](OAC5101.9.4.07.pdf) and [FAPMTL 346](http://www.odjfs.state.oh.us/lpc/calendar/fileLINKNAME.asp?ID=FAPMTL346) (eff. 4/25/16) [OAC 5101:9-4-07.1](OAC5101.9.4.07.1.pdf) was revised to include Uniform Guidance updates in regards to Procurement methods. Auditors should review these requirements for specific information on the procurement methods. [FAPMTL 346](http://www.odjfs.state.oh.us/lpc/calendar/fileLINKNAME.asp?ID=FAPMTL346) updates 5101:9-4-07.1 and is effective 4-25-2016.

Auditors should review OAC [5101:9-4-07](OAC5101.9.4.07.pdf), [5101:9-4-07.1](OAC5101.9.4.07.1.pdf) and [45 CFR 75.329](45CFR75.329.pdf) for further detail on the procurement methods above as well as other procurement requirements. The rule updates do not change the requirements or allowable methods of procurement, but have only been formatted to provide a better understanding of the competitive and noncompetitive process.

### Audit Objectives and Control Testing

[**See here for the OMB Supplement Audit Objectives and Compliance Requirements**](Procurement_Suspension_Debarment_Auditobjectives.pdf)

**Additional Control Test Objectives for Written Procedures:**

When documenting and identifying the key control(s) in place to address the compliance requirement, consider if the client has written procedures to document the control process.

* UG requires a written policy for the requirements outlined in 45 CFR 75.327(c)(1), 45 CFR 75.327(c)(2), 45 CFR 75.329(d)(3), and 45 CFR 75.328(c) ([2 CFR 200.318](2CFR200.318.pdf)(c)(1), [2 CFR 200.318](2CFR200.318.pdf)(c)(2), [2 CFR 200.320](2CFR200.320.pdf)(d)(3), and [2 CFR 200.319](2CFR200.319.pdf)(c))*.*
* Document whether the non-Federal entity established written procedures consistent with the following requirements:
  + 45 CFR 75.327(c)(1) (2 CFR 200.318(c)(1)) for employee conflicts of interest.
  + 45 CFR 75.327(c)(2) (2 CFR 200.318(c)(2)) for organizational conflicts of interest.
  + 45 CFR 75.329(d)(3) (2 CFR 200.320(d)(3)) for selection and awarding of competitive contracts.
  + 45 CFR 75.328(c) (2 CFR 200.319(c)) for minimum evaluation criteria for bids and proposals.
* It is auditor judgment how to report instances where the entity either lacks having a written policy or their written policy is insufficient to meet the requirements of 45 CFR 75.327(c)(1), 45 CFR 75.327(c)(2), 45 CFR 75.329(d)(3), and 45 CFR 75.328(c) (2 CFR 200.318(c)(1), 2 CFR 200.318(c)(2), 2 CFR 200.320(d)(3), and 2 CFR 200.319(c)).
  + While auditors would normally use a written policy as the basis for the compliance control, there could be other key controls in place to ensure program compliance.
  + The lack of a policy would be noncompliance, which could rise to the level of material noncompliance and even a control deficiency (SD / MW) if there were underlying internal control deficiencies.
    - If there are key controls in place operating effectively, AOS auditors would report the lack of the required UG policy as a management letter citation. However, in subsequent audits, evaluate if the noncompliance should be elevated if not adopted. Written policies aid in consistency and adherence to requirements strengthening internal control processes.

|  |
| --- |
| **What Control Procedures Address the Compliance Requirement (reference/link to documentation or where the testing was performed):** |
| **Basis for the control** (reports, resources, etc. providing information needed to understand requirements and prevent or identify and correct errors):  **Control Procedure** (description of how auditee uses the “Basis” to prevent, or identify and correct or detect errors):  **Person(s) responsible for performing the control procedure** (title):  **Description of evidence documenting the control was applied** (i.e. sampling unit):  **Here are some questions that can help in documenting the above control requirements**   * Has the County/district JFS agency established written acquisition standards to ensure that all purchases of services, supplies, and equipment performed in accordance with applicable state / federal law and regulations? * Has the County/district JFS agency established procedures to ensure that any sub-grantee entity was aware of the requirements contained in paragraph (A) of the OAC rule above and given written notice contained in any contract or grant agreement that all acquisitions made by the sub-grantee entity must conform to these requirements? |

### Suggested Audit Procedures – Compliance

|  |
| --- |
| **Suggested Audit Procedures – Compliance (Substantive Tests)**  **(Reference / link to documentation where testing was performed testing):** |
| **This FACCR was written for grants required to be tested under the UG, however if you have material non-UG transactions, please contact CFAE via the FACCR Inbox** [**FACCR@ohioauditor.gov**](mailto:FACCR@ohioauditor.gov)**.**  **Consider the results of the testing of internal control in assessing the risk of noncompliance. Use this as the basis for determining the nature, timing, and extent (e.g., number of transactions to be selected) of substantive tests of compliance.** |
| *(Procedures 2 – 5 apply to non-Federal entities other than States.)*  2. Obtain the entity’s procurement policies and verify that the policies comply with the compliance requirements highlighted above.  3. Verify that the entity has written standards of conduct that cover conflicts of interest and govern the performance of its employees engaged in the selection, award, and administration of contracts (45 CFR 75.329(c) ([2 CFR section 200.318(c)](2CFR200.318(c).pdf)) and [48 CFR sections 52.203-13](48CFR52.203-13.pdf) and [52.203-16](48CFR52.203-16.pdf)).  4. Ascertain if the entity has a policy to use statutorily or administratively imposed in‑State or local geographical preferences in the evaluation of bids or proposals. If yes, verify that these limitations were not applied to federally funded procurements except where applicable Federal statutes expressly mandate or encourage geographic preference (45 CFR 75.328(b)) ([2 CFR section 200.319(b)](2CFR200.319(b).pdf)).  5. Select a sample of procurements and perform the following procedures:  a. Examine contract files and verify that they document the history of the procurement, including the rationale for the method of procurement, selection of contract type, basis for contractor selection, and the basis for the contract price (45 CFR 75.327(i)) (([2 CFR section 200.318(i)](2CFR200.318(i).pdf)) and [48 CFR part 44](48CFR_Part_44.pdf) and section 45 CFR [52.244-2](48CFR52.244-2.pdf)).  b. For grants and cooperative agreements, verify that the procurement method used was appropriate based on the dollar amount and conditions specified in 45 CFR 75.329 ([2 CFR section 200.320](2CFR200.320.pdf)).Current micro-purchase and simplified acquisition thresholds can be found in the FAR (48 CFR subpart 2.1, “Definitions”) (<https://www.acquisition.gov/sites/default/files/current/far/html/Subpart%202_1.html>).  c. Verify that procurements provide full and open competition (45 CFR 75.328 ([2 CFR section 200.319](2CFR200.319.pdf)) and [48 CFR section 52.244-5](48CFR52.244-5.pdf)).  d. Examine documentation in support of the rationale to limit competition in those cases where competition was limited and ascertain if the limitation was justified (45 CFR 75.328 ([2 CFR sections 200.319](2CFR200.319.pdf)) and 45 CFR 75.329 (2 CFR [200.320(f)](2CFR200.320(f).pdf)) and [48 CFR section 52.244-5](48CFR52.244-5.pdf)).  e. Ascertain if cost or price analysis was performed in connection with all procurement actions exceeding the simplified acquisition threshold, including contract modifications, and that this analysis supported the procurement action (45 CFR 75.332 [(2 CFR section 200.323](2CFR200.323.pdf)) and [48 CFR section 15.404-3](48CFR15.404-3.pdf)).  **Note**: A cost or price analysis is required for each procurement action, including each contract modification, when the total amount of the contract and related modifications is greater than the simplified acquisition threshold.)  f. Verify consent to subcontract was obtained when required by the terms and conditions of a cost reimbursement contract under the FAR ([48 CFR section 52.244-2](48CFR52.244-2.pdf)).  **Note**: If the non-Federal entity has an approved purchasing system, consent to subcontract may not be required unless specifically identified by contract terms or conditions. The auditor should verify that the approval of the purchasing system is effective for the audit period being reviewed.  g. Refer to Appendix VII-A for guidance on reporting audit test results for the National Defense Authorization Acts of 2017 and 2018.  *(Procedures 6 and 7 apply to all non-Federal entities)*  6. Review the non-Federal entity’s procedures for verifying that an entity with which it plans to enter into a covered transaction is not debarred, suspended, or otherwise excluded (45 CFR 75.212 ([2 CFR sections 200.212](2CFR200.212.pdf)) and 45 CFR 75.327(h) (2 CFR [200.318(h)](2CFR200.318(h).pdf)); [2 CFR section 180.300](2CFR180.300.pdf); [48 CFR section 52.209-6](48CFR52.209-6.pdf)).  7. Select a sample of procurements and subawards and test whether the non-Federal entity followed its procedures before entering into a covered transaction. |

### Audit Implications Summary

|  |
| --- |
| **Audit Implications (adequacy of the system and controls, and the effect on sample size, significant deficiencies / material weaknesses, material non-compliance and management letter comments)** |
| 1. **Results of Test of Controls: (including material weaknesses, significant deficiencies and management letter items)** 2. **Assessment of Control Risk:** 3. **Effect on the Nature, Timing, and Extent of Compliance (Substantive Test) including Sample Size:** 4. **Results of Compliance (Substantive Tests) Tests:** 5. **Questioned Costs: Actual \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Projected \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_** |

## J. PROGRAM INCOME – Not Applicable

Per 2017 OMB Compliance Supplement Part 2

## L. REPORTING

**Federal awarding agencies adopted/implemented the Uniform Guidance in 2 CFR part 200. The OMB guidance is directed to Federal agencies and, by itself, does not establish regulatory requirements binding on non-federal entities. Throughout the FACCR 2 CFR part 200 has been referenced, however in determining compliance auditors need to refer the applicable agency codification of 2 CFR Part 200. Auditors should review this** [**link**](Agency%20Adoption%20of%20the%20UG%20and%20Example%20Citations.pdf) **for a full discussion of agency adoption of the UG and how to cite non-compliance exceptions. Auditors will need to start with the agency codification of the UG when citing exceptions.**

### OMB Compliance Requirements

For purposes of programs included in Parts 4 and 5 of this Supplement, the designation “Not Applicable” in relation to “Financial Reporting,” “Performance Reporting,” and “Special Reporting” means that the auditor is not expected to audit anything in these categories, whether or not award terms and conditions may require such reporting.

*Financial Reporting*

Recipients must use the standard financial reporting forms or such other forms as may be authorized by OMB (approval is indicated by an OMB paperwork control number on the form) when reporting to the Federal awarding agency. Each recipient must report program outlays and program income on a cash or accrual basis, as prescribed by the Federal awarding agency. If the Federal awarding agency requires reporting of accrual information and the recipient’s accounting records are not normally maintained on the accrual basis, the recipient is not required to convert its accounting system to an accrual basis but may develop such accrual information through analysis of available documentation. The Federal awarding agency may accept identical information from the recipient in machine-readable format, computer printouts, or electronic outputs in lieu of closed formats or on paper.

Similarly, a pass-through entity must not require a subrecipient to establish an accrual accounting system and must allow the subrecipient to develop accrual data for its reports on the basis of an analysis of available documentation.

The financial reporting requirements for subrecipients are as specified by the pass-through entity. In many cases, these will be the same as or similar to those for recipients.

The standard financial reporting forms for grants and cooperative agreements are as follows:

* *Request for Advance or Reimbursement (SF-270) (OMB No. 0348-0004))*. Recipients are required to use the SF-270 to request reimbursement payments under non-construction programs, and may be required to use it to request advance payments.
* *Outlay Report and Request for Reimbursement for Construction Programs (SF-271) (OMB No. 0348-0002))*. Recipients use the SF-271 to request funds for construction projects unless they are paid in advance or the SF-270 is used.
* *Federal Financial Report (FFR) (SF-425/SF-425A) (OMB No. 0348-0061)).* Recipients use the FFR as a standardized format to report expenditures under Federal awards, as well as, when applicable, cash status (Lines 10.a, 10.b, and 10c). References to this report include its applicability as both an expenditure and a cash status report unless otherwise indicated.

Electronic versions of the standard forms are located on OMB’s home page <http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/grants_forms>).Financial reporting requirements for cost reimbursement contracts subject to the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) are contained in the terms and conditions of the contract.

*Performance and Special Reporting*

Non-Federal entities may be required to submit performance reports at least annually but not more frequently than quarterly, except in unusual circumstances, using a form or format authorized by OMB 45 CFR 75.342 ([2 CFR section 200.328(b)(1)](2CFR200.328(b)(1).pdf)). They also may be required to submit special reports as required by the terms and conditions of the Federal award.

Compliance testing of performance and special reporting are only required for data that are quantifiable and meet the following criteria:

1. Have a direct and material effect on the program.

2. Are capable of evaluation against objective criteria stated in the statutes, regulations, contract or grant agreements pertaining to the program.

Performance and special reporting data specified in Part 4, “Agency Program Requirements,” and Part 5, “Clusters of Programs,” meet the above criteria.

**Source of Governing Requirements**

**Reporting requirements are contained in the following:**

* Financial reporting, 45 CFR 75.341 ([2 CFR section 200.327](2CFR200.327.pdf))
* Monitoring and reporting program performance, 45 CFR 75.342 ([2 CFR section 200.328](2CFR200.328.pdf))
* Program legislation.
* Federal awarding agency regulations.
* The terms and conditions of the award.

*(Source: 2017 OMB Compliance Supplement 3.2)*

**Agency Codification Adjustments/Exceptions:**

* USDA, HUD, EPA and HHS have not made any adjustments or exceptions that directly impact references within this compliance requirement.

**Part 4 OMB Program Specific Requirements**

There are no Program Specific requirements for this compliance requirement to be tested at the local level. However, in order for ODJFS to comply with the state requirements, Counties do need to follow in the next section – Additional Program Specific Information - ODJFS Compliance Requirements.

*(Source: 2017 OMB Compliance Supplement, Part 4, Department of Health and Human Services Tanf (Title IV-A))*

### Additional Program Specific Information

**ODJFS Compliance Requirements**

[OAC 5101:9-7-03](file:///\\aos03\shared%20folders\CFAE\A&A\FACCRs_All\FACCRs-Standard\93%20-%20HHS\CFDA%20) and [5101:9-7-03.1](file:///\\aos03\shared%20folders\CFAE\A&A\FACCRs_All\FACCRs-Standard\93%20-%20HHS\CFDA%20), provide guidance on the financing, cash management, and quarterly reconciliation (including some Form 02827 reporting requirements). Public Assistance (PA) funds are determined quarterly and disbursed weekly to the County JFS, upon receipt of the county cash draw request for funds. Available funds are limited by state appropriation and federal grant awards. All payments are issued via electronic funds transfer (EFT). County JFS shall report receipt of revenue, disbursements of funds and provide documentation to justify the allocation of costs and various funds by the submission of the Income Maintenance RMS – Random Moment Sample Observations or the Social Services Random Moment Sample Observations. A state expenditure reconciliation report of the PA data subset is prepared quarterly to show a summary of net expenditures and receipts. The county agency is given the opportunity to review the reconciliation (over / under) reports for accuracy. The quarterly PA fund reconciliation review requirement is intended to correct instances where ODJFS or the county agency discover errors, i.e. incorrect splits of shared costs or wrong allocations, incorrect time study codes, and/or codes and expenditures. Quarterly close - The PA fund is reconciled each quarter based on the final reconciliation reports.

Additionally per [BCFTA Update 2016-08](http://jfs.ohio.gov/ofs/bcfta/BB/2016-Updates/BCFTA_Update_2016-8_Food_Assistance_Earnings_10_27.stm) beginning with the October – December 2016 quarter, Public Assistance (PA) agencies will no longer be required to calculate and report Food Assistance (FA) earnings on erroneous payments in the County Finance & Information System (CFIS).

* FA earnings for the July-September 2016 quarter and *all prior quarters* MUST be reported in CFIS during the July-September 2016 quarter
* Beginning with the October 2016 financials:
  + PA agencies will no longer report FA earnings in CFIS; JFSFA980-452525 will be inactivated as of October 1, 2016
  + PA agencies will continue to use JFSFA980-470604 to receipt FA cash repayments in CFIS and will also report the cash repayments in CRISe.

ODJFS established coding and communicated that coding via a [Bureau of County Finance Technical Assistance (BCFTA) update 2013-15](http://jfs.ohio.gov/ofs/bcfta/BB/20130110-BCFTA-Update-2013-15-New-Receipt-Coding.stm) (dated 1/10/2013).

The Rule governing county reporting collections earnings on erroneous payments is outlined within [OAC 5101:9-7-06](file:///\\aos03\shared%20folders\CFAE\A&A\FACCRs_All\FACCRs-Standard\93%20-%20HHS\CFDA%20), please refer to the link for detailed guidance. Also it should be noted as described above, this code was modified and the impact will be on the last quarter of the calendar year.

**County Level Requirements - can be tested in conjunction with other programs requiring the same form.**

In order for ODJFS to prepare the financial reports required, they must obtain financial information from the counties. The JFS 02827 is generated in CFIS web, however the County Auditor still needs to sign and certify the final report. If the report generated from CFIS web is not signed it is not considered final. See [OAC 5101:9-7-03](file:///\\aos03\shared%20folders\CFAE\A&A\FACCRs_All\FACCRs-Standard\93%20-%20HHS\CFDA%20), [5101:9-7-03.1](file:///\\aos03\shared%20folders\CFAE\A&A\FACCRs_All\FACCRs-Standard\93%20-%20HHS\CFDA%20) & [5101:9-7-29](file:///\\aos03\shared%20folders\CFAE\A&A\FACCRs_All\FACCRs-Standard\93%20-%20HHS\CFDA%20). Tests related to reporting at the county level for public assistance will be limited to the JFS 02827 form and include the following:

1. The CDJFS director must certify the accuracy and amount of disbursements in Section C.

2. The signed quarterly financial statement shall be submitted to BCFTA no later than the 10th day of the second month following the quarter the report represents. ([OAC 5101:9-7-29](file:///\\aos03\shared%20folders\CFAE\A&A\FACCRs_All\FACCRs-Standard\93%20-%20HHS\CFDA%20)(C)(2)(c))

Please note: The 02827 should be reported on a cash basis.

The Counties are also required to include cash or benefit overpayments on JFS 02827. Counties retain benefit recoveries monies (incentive monies) and report quarterly on the JFS 02827 to offset future draws from ODJFS. Most recoveries are from court convictions and many are uncollectible. The County recovers collectible benefits via payback plans or a reduction in benefits.

ODJFS 02827 form and instructions can be found at <http://jfs.ohio.gov/ofs/bcfta/TOOLS/TOOLS.stm> .

Counties are still required to submit monthly financial data as an upload in CFIS no later than the eighteenth day of the month following the month of the transaction (see [OAC 5101:9-7-29](file:///\\aos03\shared%20folders\CFAE\A&A\FACCRs_All\FACCRs-Standard\93%20-%20HHS\CFDA%20))

Auditors should test the ODJFS 02827 Form in conjunction with other programs also reported on the Form. The following is a list of programs reported on the ODJFS 02827 Quarterly Financial Statement Public Assistance Fund Certification Sheet:

Medicaid

CHIP / SCHIP

Food Assistance / SNAP

TANF

Child Care Cluster

Social Service Block Grant

### Audit Objectives and Control Testing

[**See here for the OMB Supplement Audit Objectives and Compliance Requirements**](Reporting_Auditobjectives.pdf)

|  |
| --- |
| **What Control Procedures Address the Compliance Requirement (reference/link to documentation or where the testing was performed):** |
| **Basis for the control** (reports, resources, etc. providing information needed to understand requirements and prevent or identify and correct errors):  **Control Procedure** (description of how auditee uses the “Basis” to prevent, or identify and correct or detect errors):  **Person(s) responsible for performing the control procedure** (title):  **Description of evidence documenting the control was applied** (i.e. sampling unit): |

### Suggested Audit Procedures – Compliance

|  |
| --- |
| **Suggested Audit Procedures – Compliance (Substantive Tests)**  **(Reference / link to documentation where testing was performed testing):** |
| **Note for Direct Awards Only**: For recipients using HHS’ Payment Management System (PMS) to draw Federal funds, the auditor should consider the following steps numbered 1 through 4 as they pertain to the cash reporting portion of the SF-425A, regardless of the source of the data included in the PMS reports. (During FY2016, HHS is completing the transition from pooled payment to use of subaccounts.) Although certain data is supplied by the Federal awarding agency (e.g., award authorization amounts) and certain amounts are provided by HHS’ Payment Management Services, the auditor should ensure that such amounts are in agreement with the recipient’s records and are otherwise accurate.  **This FACCR was written for grants required to be tested under the UG, however if you have material non-UG transactions, please contact CFAE via the FACCR Inbox** [**FACCR@ohioauditor.gov**](mailto:FACCR@ohioauditor.gov)**.**  **Consider the results of the testing of internal control in assessing the risk of noncompliance. Use this as the basis for determining the nature, timing, and extent (e.g., number of transactions to be selected) of substantive tests of compliance.** |
| **Additional ODJFS Steps**  **ODJFS 02827:**   * + - 1. Based on the results of the test of controls, select the quarterly ODJFS 02827 reports and perform the following:   Review each report to determine if:   * All amounts reported are traceable to appropriate supporting documentation and appear to be code properly. * All amounts reported agree to the Quarterly CFIS reconciliation from ODJFS. * All amounts reported agree to the County Auditors/fiscal agents records. * Form 2827 was signed by County Auditor/fiscal agent and Director and imported into CFIS Web no later than the tenth calendar day of the second month following the quarter the report represents   **Other**  1. Determine if the County/district JFS reviewed the grant reconciliation (over / under) report and responded to ODJFS.  2. Obtain written representation from management that the reports provided to the auditor are true copies of the reports submitted or electronically transmitted to the Federal awarding agency, the applicable payment system, or pass-through entity in the case of a subrecipient. |

### Audit Implications Summary

|  |
| --- |
| **Audit Implications (adequacy of the system and controls, and the effect on sample size, significant deficiencies / material weaknesses, material non-compliance and management letter comments)** |
| 1. **Results of Test of Controls: (including material weaknesses, significant deficiencies and management letter items)** 2. **Assessment of Control Risk:** 3. **Effect on the Nature, Timing, and Extent of Compliance (Substantive Test) including Sample Size:** 4. **Results of Compliance (Substantive Tests) Tests:** 5. **Questioned Costs: Actual \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Projected \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_** |

## M. SUBRECIPIENT MONITORING

**Federal awarding agencies adopted/implemented the Uniform Guidance in 2 CFR part 200. The OMB guidance is directed to Federal agencies and, by itself, does not establish regulatory requirements binding on non-federal entities. Throughout the FACCR 2 CFR part 200 has been referenced, however in determining compliance auditors need to refer the applicable agency codification of 2 CFR Part 200. Auditors should review this** [**link**](Agency%20Adoption%20of%20the%20UG%20and%20Example%20Citations.pdf) **for a full discussion of agency adoption of the UG and how to cite non-compliance exceptions. Auditors will need to start with the agency codification of the UG when citing exceptions.**

**Note:** Transfers of Federal awards to another component of the same auditee under 45 CFR part 75, subpart F ([2 CFR part 200, subpart F](2CFR200_subpart_F.pdf)), do not constitute a subrecipient or contractor relationship.

### OMB Compliance Requirements

A pass-through entity (PTE) must (see here for 45 CFR 75.352 ([2 CFR 200.331(a)](2CFR200.331(a).pdf))):

- *Identify the Award* *and Applicable Requirements* – Clearly identify to the subrecipient: (1) the award as a subaward at the time of subaward (or subsequent subaward modification) by providing the information described in 2 CFR section 200.331(a)(1); (2) all requirements imposed by the PTE on the subrecipient so that the Federal award is used in accordance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the award (2 CFR section 200.331(a)(2)); and (3) any additional requirements that the PTE imposes on the subrecipient in order for the PTE to meet its own responsibility for the Federal award (e.g., financial, performance, and special reports) (2 CFR section 200.331(a)(3)).

- *Evaluate Risk* – Evaluate each subrecipient’s risk of noncompliance for purposes of determining the appropriate subrecipient monitoring related to the subaward (2 CFR section 200.331(b)). This evaluation of risk may include consideration of such factors as the following (see here for 45 CFR 75.352 ([2 CFR 200.331(b)-(f)](2CFR200.331(b)_through_(f).pdf))):

1. The subrecipient’s prior experience with the same or similar subawards;
2. The results of previous audits including whether or not the subrecipient receives single audit in accordance with 2 CFR part 200, subpart F, and the extent to which the same or similar subaward has been audited as a major program;
3. Whether the subrecipient has new personnel or new or substantially changed systems; and
4. The extent and results of Federal awarding agency monitoring (e.g., if the subrecipient also receives Federal awards directly from a Federal awarding agency).

- *Monitor* – Monitor the activities of the subrecipient as necessary to ensure that the subaward is used for authorized purposes, complies with the terms and conditions of the subaward, and achieves performance goals (2 CFR sections 200.331(d) through (f)). In addition to procedures identified as necessary based upon the evaluation of subrecipient risk or specifically required by the terms and conditions of the award, subaward monitoring must include the following:

1. Reviewing financial and programmatic (performance and special reports) required by the PTE.
2. Following-up and ensuring that the subrecipient takes timely and appropriate action on all deficiencies pertaining to the Federal award provided to the subrecipient from the PTE detected through audits, on-site reviews, and other means.
3. Issuing a management decision for audit findings pertaining to the Federal award provided to the subrecipient from the PTE as required by 45 CFR 75.521 ([2 CFR section 200.521](2CFR200.521.pdf)).

* *Ensure Accountability of For-Profit Subrecipients* – Some Federal awards may be passed through to for-profit entities. For-profit subrecipients are accountable to the PTE for the use of the Federal funds provided. Because 2 CFR part 200 does not make subpart F applicable to for-profit subrecipients, the PTE is responsible for establishing requirements, as necessary, to ensure compliance by for-profit subrecipients for the subaward. The agreement with the for-profit subrecipient must describe applicable compliance requirements and the for-profit subrecipient's compliance responsibility. Methods to ensure compliance for Federal awards made to for-profit subrecipients may include pre-award audits, monitoring during the agreement, and post-award audits 45 CFR 75.501 ([2 CFR section 200.501(h)](2CFR200.501(h).pdf)).

**Source of Governing Requirements**

The requirements for subrecipient monitoring for the subaward are contained in 31 USC 7502(f)(2) (Single Audit Act Amendments of 1996 (Pub. L. No. 104-156)), 45 CFR 75.351, 75.352, and 75.501 ([2 CFR sections 200.330](2CFR200.330.pdf), [.331](2CFR200.331.pdf), and .[501(h)](2CFR200.501(h).pdf)); Federal awarding agency regulations; and the terms and conditions of the award.

*(Source: 2017 OMB Compliance Supplement 3.2)*

**Agency Codification Adjustments/Exceptions:**

* HHS made additions and edits to part 501 (subpart F). The most recent compilation of agency additions and exceptions is provided on the COFAR website here <https://cfo.gov/wp-content/uploads/2014/12/Agency-Exceptions.pdf>. However, this list is only updated through 12/2014.

**Part 4 OMB Program Specific Requirements**

There are no Program Specific requirements for this compliance requirement.

*(Source: 2017 OMB Compliance Supplement, Part 4, Department of Health and Human Services Tanf (Title IV-A))*

### Additional Program Specific Information

**ODJFS Compliance Requirements**

**County Requirements**

Some counties have elected to contract with outside parties to provide services for TANF recipients. Each county audit team must inquire with county management to determine if disbursements were made to subrecipients during the audit period. In some cases, the CDJFS has allowed the subrecipients to determine eligibility for services. As such, the CDJFS should stipulate within each contract the eligibility criteria. Furthermore, the CDJFS should monitor the subrecipients to ensure eligibility is properly determined and services are provided only to eligible recipients.

Contracts (whether vendor or subrecipient) are not required to be submitted or approved by ODJFS. Auditors should review contracts entered into by the County JFS for services to determine if a vendor or subrecipient relationship exists. Auditors should also look for reoccurring expenditures to determine if such a relationship exists without entering into a formal contract.

See ORC 5108.11 Contract for county's prevention, retention, and contingency program. (eff. 9-26-03)

(A) To the extent permitted by section 307.982 of the Revised Code, a board of county commissioners may enter into a written contract with a private or government entity for the entity to do either or both of the following for the county’s prevention, retention, and contingency program: (1) Certify eligibility for benefits and services that do not have a financial need eligibility requirement; (2) Accept applications and determine and verify eligibility for benefits and services that have a financial need eligibility requirement.

(B) If a board of county commissioners enters into a contract under division (A) of this section with a private or government entity, the county department of job and family services shall do all of the following: (1) Ensure that eligibility for benefits and services is certified or determined and verified in accordance with the statement of policies adopted under section 5108.04 of the Revised Code; (2) Ensure that the private or government entity maintains all records that are necessary for audits; (3) Monitor the private or government entity for compliance with Title IV-A, this chapter of the Revised Code, and the statement of policies; (4) Take actions that are necessary to recover any funds that are not spent in accordance with Title IV-A or this chapter of the Revised Code.

ODJFS has a mandated process for subrecipient monitoring in [OAC 5101:9-1-88](OAC5101.9.1.88.pdf) Subrecipient annual risk assessment review and subrecipient monitoring process.

### Audit Objectives and Control Testing

[**See here for the OMB Supplement Audit Objectives and Compliance Requirements**](Subrecipient_Monitoring_Auditobjectives.pdf)

|  |
| --- |
| **What Control Procedures Address the Compliance Requirement (reference/link to documentation or where the testing was performed):** |
| **Basis for the control** (reports, resources, etc. providing information needed to understand requirements and prevent or identify and correct errors):  **Control Procedure** (description of how auditee uses the “Basis” to prevent, or identify and correct or detect errors):  **Person(s) responsible for performing the control procedure** (title):  **Description of evidence documenting the control was applied** (i.e. sampling unit):  **Here are some questions that can help in documenting the above control requirements**  • Some counties have elected to contract with outside parties to provide services for TANF recipients. Each county/district audit team must inquire with county/district management to determine if disbursements were made to subrecipients during the audit period. In some cases, the County/district JFS has allowed the subrecipients to determine eligibility for services. As such, the County/district JFS should stipulate within each contract the eligibility criteria. Furthermore, the County/district JFS should monitor the subrecipients to ensure eligibility is properly determined and services are provided only to eligible recipients.  • Discuss subrecipient monitoring with the county/district’s staff to gain an understanding of the scope of monitoring activities, including the number, size, and complexity of awards to subrecipients during the audit period. If program funds were disbursed to subrecipients at your County/district, identify and document below control procedures over the County/district’s monitoring of subrecipient activities, ensuring program compliance is achieved, ensuring required audits and on-site reviews are performed, requiring appropriate corrective action on monitoring and audit findings, and evaluating the impact of subrecipient activities. |

### Suggested Audit Procedures – Compliance

|  |
| --- |
| **Suggested Audit Procedures – Compliance (Substantive Tests)**  **(Reference / link to documentation where testing was performed testing):** |
| **Note**: The auditor may consider coordinating the tests related to subrecipients performed as part of C., “Cash Management” (tests of cash reporting submitted by subrecipients); E., “Eligibility” (tests that subawards were made only to eligible subrecipients); and I., “Procurement and Suspension and Debarment” (tests of ensuring that a subrecipient is not suspended or debarred) with the testing of “Subrecipient Monitoring.”  **This FACCR was written for grants required to be tested under the UG, however if you have material non-UG transactions, please contact CFAE via the FACCR Inbox** [**FACCR@ohioauditor.gov**](mailto:FACCR@ohioauditor.gov)**.**  **Consider the results of the testing of internal control in assessing the risk of noncompliance. Use this as the basis for determining the nature, timing, and extent (e.g., number of transactions to be selected) of substantive tests of compliance.** |
| 1. Review the PTE’s subrecipient monitoring policies and procedures to gain an understanding of the PTE’s process to identify subawards, evaluate risk of noncompliance, and perform monitoring procedures based upon identified risks.   2. Review subaward documents including the terms and conditions of the subaward to ascertain if, at the time of subaward (or subsequent subaward modification), the PTE made the subrecipient aware of the award information required by, 45 CFR 75.352 [(2 CFR section 200.331(a))](2CFR200.331(a).pdf) sufficient for the PTE to comply with Federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the award.  3. Review the PTE’s documentation of monitoring the subaward and consider if the PTE’s monitoring provided reasonable assurance that the subrecipient used the subaward for authorized purposes in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the subaward.  4. Ascertain if the PTE verified that subrecipients expected to be audited as required by 45 CFR part 75, subpart F ([2 CFR part 200, subpart F](2CFR200_subpart_F.pdf)), met this requirement 45 CFR 75.352 [(2 CFR section 200.331(f)](2CFR200.331(f).pdf)). This verification may be performed as part of the required monitoring under 45 CFR 75.352 ([2 CFR section 200.331(d)(2)](2CFR200.331(d)(2).pdf)) to ensure that the subrecipient takes timely and appropriate action on deficiencies detected though audits. |

### Audit Implications Summary

|  |
| --- |
| **Audit Implications (adequacy of the system and controls, and the effect on sample size, significant deficiencies / material weaknesses, material non-compliance and management letter comments)** |
| 1. **Results of Test of Controls: (including material weaknesses, significant deficiencies and management letter items)** 2. **Assessment of Control Risk:** 3. **Effect on the Nature, Timing, and Extent of Compliance (Substantive Test) including Sample Size:** 4. **Results of Compliance (Substantive Tests) Tests:** 5. **Questioned Costs: Actual \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Projected \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_** |

## N. SPECIAL TESTS AND PROVISIONS – Not Applicable

Per ODJFS, there are no OMB Special Tests and Provisions for Tanf at the County level. This includes the following sections:

• Child Support Non-Coorperation

• Income Eligibility and Verification System

• Penalty for Refusal to Work

• Adult Custodial Parent of Child under Six When Child Care Not Available

• Penalty for Failure to Comply with Work Verification Plan

• Accountability, Deposit, and Investment of Lump Sum Drawdowns

## Program Testing Conclusion

We have performed procedures sufficient to provide reasonable assurance for federal award program compliance requirements (to support our opinions). The procedures performed, relevant evidence obtained, and our conclusions are adequately documented. (If you are unable to conclude, prepare a memo documenting your reason and the implications for the engagement, including the audit reports.)

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Conclusion** | | |
| **The opinion on this major program should be:** | |  |
| **Unmodified:** |  | |
| **Qualified (describe):** |  | |
| **Adverse (describe):** |  | |
| **Disclaimer (describe):** |  | |

Per paragraph 13.39 of the **2018** **AICPA Audit Guide, *Government Auditing Standards and Single Audits*,** **[Permalink to here](https://checkpoint.riag.com/app/view/docPermaLink?DocID=iAICPAIGS:767.2440&docTid=T0AICPAIGS:767.2440-1&feature=ttoc&lastCpReqId=97899&tlltype=AICPAIGS:767.2668)**, the **following are required to be reported** as audit findings in the federal awards section of the schedule of findings and questioned costs **(45 CFR 75.516) (**[**see 2CFR200 section 516**](2CFR200.516.pdf)**):**

* Significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in internal control over major programs
* Material noncompliance with the federal statues, regulations, or the terms and conditions of federal awards related to major programs
* Known questioned costs that are greater than $25,000 for a type of compliance requirement for a major program. The auditor also must report (in the schedule of findings and questioned costs) known questioned costs when likely questioned costs are greater than $25,000 for a type of compliance requirement for a major program.
* Known questioned costs that are greater than $25,000 for programs that are not audited as major.
* The circumstances concerning why the opinion in the auditor's report on compliance for major programs is other than an unmodified opinion, unless such circumstances are otherwise reported as audit findings in the schedule of findings and questioned costs for federal awards (for example, a scope limitation that is not otherwise reported as a finding).
* Known or likely fraud affecting a federal award, unless such fraud is otherwise reported as an audit finding in the schedule of findings and questioned costs for federal awards.
* Significant instances of abuse relating to major programs
* Instances in which the results of audit follow-up procedures disclosed that the summary schedule\* of prior audit findings prepared by the auditee in accordance with 45 CFR 75.511 [(2 CFR Section 200.511(b)](2CFR200.511(b).pdf)) of the Uniform Guidance, materially misrepresents the status of any prior audit finding.

45 CFR Appendix I ([Appendix I)](OMB_Compliance_Supplement_APP_I.pdf) lists block grants and other programs excluded from the requirements of specified portions of 2 CFR part 200.

45 CFR Appendix I ([Appendix II](OMB_Compliance_Supplement_APP_II.pdf)) provides regulatory citations for Federal agencies’ codification of the OMB guidance on “Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements” (in 2 CFR part 200).

All departments and agencies other than the following have OMB-approved exceptions as part of their adoption/implementation: Departments of Commerce, Homeland Security, Housing and Urban Development, and Veterans Affairs; Gulf Coast Restoration Council; Institute of Museum and Library Services; National Endowments for the Arts and Humanities; Office of National Drug Control Policy; and Social Security Administration. The complete list of exceptions is available at <https://cfo.gov/wp-content/uploads/2014/12/Agency-Exceptions.pdf> and Appendix II of the OMB Compliance Supplement.

|  |
| --- |
| **Cross-reference to internal control matters (significant deficiencies or material weaknesses), if any, documented in the FACCR:** |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Cross-reference to questioned costs and matter of noncompliance, if any, documented in this FACCR:** |
|  |

**Per paragraph 13.49 of the 2018 AICPA Audit Guide, *Government Auditing Standards and Single Audits*,** the schedule of findings and questioned costs should include all audit findings required to be reported under the Uniform Guidance. A separate written communication (such as a communication sometimes referred to as a management letter) may not be used to communicate such matters to the auditee in lieu of reporting them as audit findings in accordance with the Uniform Guidance. See the discussion beginning at paragraph 13.33 for information on Uniform Guidance requirements for the schedule of findings and questioned costs. If there are other matters that do not meet the Uniform Guidance requirements for reporting but, in the auditor's judgment, warrant the attention those charged with governance, they should be communicated in writing or orally. If such a communication is provided in writing to the auditee, there is no requirement for that communication to be referenced in the Uniform Guidance compliance report. Per table 13-2 **a matter must meet the following in order to be communicated in the management letter:**

* Other deficiencies in internal control over compliance that are not significant deficiencies or material weaknesses required to be reported but, in the auditor's judgment, are of sufficient importance to be communicated to management.
* Noncompliance with federal statutes, regulations or terms and conditions of federal awards related to a major program that does not meet the criteria for reporting under the Uniform Guidance but, in the auditor's judgment, is of sufficient importance to communicate to management or those charged with governance.
* Abuse that is less than material to a major program and not otherwise required to be reported but that, in the auditor's judgment, is of sufficient importance to communicate to management and those charged with governance.
* Other findings or issues arising from the compliance audit that are not otherwise required to be reported but are, in the auditor's professional judgment, significant and relevant to those charged with governance.

|  |
| --- |
| **Cross-reference to any Management Letter items and explain why not included in the Single Audit Compliance Report:** |
|  |